



Final
Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL)
for
Moores Creek

Assessment Unit ID # AL03130002-0907-100

Pathogens (*E. coli*)

Chambers County

Alabama Department of Environmental Management
Water Quality Branch
Water Division
July 2026

Figure 1: Moores Creek Watershed




Table of Contents

1.0	Executive Summary	1
2.0	Basis for §303(d) Listing	3
2.1	Introduction.....	3
2.2	Problem Definition	3
3.0	Technical Basis for TMDL Development.....	5
3.1	Water Quality Target Identification.....	5
3.2	Source Assessment.....	5
3.2.1	Point Sources in the Moores Creek Watershed	5
3.2.2	Nonpoint Sources in the Moores Creek Watershed	6
3.3	Land Use Assessment	7
3.4	Linkage between Numeric Targets and Sources.....	9
3.5	Data Availability and Analysis.....	10
3.6	Critical Conditions/Seasonal Variation	12
3.7	Margin of Safety	12
4.0	TMDL Development	12
4.1	Definition of a TMDL	12
4.2	Load Calculations.....	13
4.3	TMDL Summary	15
5.0	Follow-up Monitoring	16
6.0	Public Participation	16
7.0	Appendices.....	17
7.1	References	17
7.2	Moores Creek Watershed Photos	18
7.3	Moores Creek Watershed Sanitary Sewer Overflows (SSOs)	19

List of Tables

Table 1: <i>E. coli</i> Loads and Required Reductions for Moores Creek	2
Table 2: <i>E. coli</i> TMDL for Moores Creek.....	2
Table 3: Data for §303(d) Listing - Ambient Monitoring	5
Table 4: Moores Creek Watershed Landuse (2021 NLCD)	8
Table 5: Station Description.....	10
Table 6: 2024 <i>E. coli</i> Data for Moores Creek	11
Table 7: <i>E. coli</i> Loads and Required Reductions for AL03130002-0907-100	14
Table 8: <i>E. coli</i> TMDL for Moores Creek.....	15
Table 9: Follow-up Monitoring Schedule.....	16

List of Figures

Figure 1: Moores Creek Watershed	ii
Figure 2: Moores Creek Watershed Cumulative Land Use.....	8
Figure 3: 2021 NLCD Map of the Moores Creek Watershed	9
Figure 4: ADEM 2024 Sampling Stations in the Moores Creek Watershed.....	10
Figure 5: At MOOC-3: Upstream View of Moores Creek (5/28/2024)	18
Figure 6: At MOOC-3: Downstream View of Moores Creek (5/28/2024)	18

1.0 Executive Summary

Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Water Quality Planning and Management Regulations (40 CFR Part 130) require states to identify waterbodies which are not meeting their designated uses and to determine the total maximum daily load (TMDL) for pollutants causing the use impairment. A TMDL is the sum of individual wasteload allocations (WLAs) for point sources, load allocations (LAs) for nonpoint sources including natural background levels, and a margin of safety (MOS).

Moores Creek, part of the Chattahoochee River basin, originates just north of Alabama Highway 50 in Chambers County (west of Lanett, Alabama) and flows southeast for 11.4 miles into the Chattahoochee River. The total drainage area for the Moores Creek watershed is approximately 18.1 square miles. Moores Creek has a designated use classification of Fish and Wildlife (F&W) and is currently included on Alabama's §303(d) list as impaired for pathogens (*E. coli*) from the Chattahoochee River to its source. (This segment is also listed as impaired for siltation; this TMDL only addresses the pathogens impairment.)

Moores Creek was first included on the §303(d) list for pathogens in 2018 based on data collected by the Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM) in 2014 and 2016 at ADEM station MOOC-3. This data, which can be found in Table 3, indicated that the stream was impaired for pathogens (*E. coli*), which will be the basis for this TMDL.

In 2024, §303(d) sampling studies were performed by ADEM on Moores Creek to further assess the water quality of the impaired stream. ADEM collected eight *E. coli* samples from Moores Creek at station MOOC-2 and fifteen *E. coli* samples from Moores Creek at station MOOC-3. A review of the general water quality and intensive *E. coli* study revealed that the listed segment of Moores Creek was still not meeting the pathogen criteria applicable to its use classification (F&W).

A mass balance approach was used for calculating the pathogen TMDL for Moores Creek. The mass balance approach utilizes the conservation of mass principle. The TMDL was calculated using the single sample or geometric mean sample exceedance event that resulted in the highest percent reduction. Existing loads were calculated by multiplying the *E. coli* concentrations times the respective in-stream flows and a conversion factor. In the same manner as existing loads were calculated, allowable loads were calculated for the single sample *E. coli* target of 268.2 colonies/100 ml (298 colonies/100 ml – 10% Margin of Safety) and geometric mean *E. coli* target of 113.4 colonies/100 ml (126 colonies/100 ml – 10% Margin of Safety). In this case, it was determined that the highest percent reduction was calculated from a single sample *E. coli* exceedance at station MOOC-3 on May 28, 2024, with a value of 2851 colonies/100 ml. This violation calls for a reduction of 91%.

Table 1 is a summary of the estimated existing load, allowable load, and percent reduction for the single sample criterion and the geometric mean criterion. Table 2 lists the TMDL, defined as the maximum allowable *E. coli* loading under critical conditions for Moores Creek.

Table 1: *E. coli* Loads and Required Reductions for Moores Creek

Source	Existing Load (colonies/day)	Allowable Load (colonies/day)	Required Reduction (colonies/day)	% Reduction
Single Sample Load	1.88E+12	1.77E+11	1.70E+12	91%
Geometric Mean Load	7.61E+10	2.20E+10	5.41E+10	71%

Table 2: *E. coli* TMDL for Moores Creek

TMDL ^e	Margin of Safety (MOS)	Waste Load Allocation (WLA) ^a			Load Allocation (LA)	
		WWTPs ^b	Stormwater (MS4s and other NPDES sources) ^c	Leaking Collection Systems ^d		
(col/day)	(col/day)	(col/day)	% reduction	(col/day)	(col/day)	% reduction
1.96 x 10 ¹¹	1.96 x 10 ¹⁰	NA	NA	0	1.77E+11	91%

Note: NA = not applicable

a. There are no CAFOs in the Moores Creek watershed. Future CAFOs will be assigned a WLA of zero.

b. There are currently no NPDES-permitted WWTPs in the Moores Creek watershed. Future WWTPs must meet the applicable in-stream water quality criteria for pathogens at the point of discharge.

c. Future MS4 areas and other NPDES stormwater sources will be required to demonstrate consistency with the assumptions and requirements of this TMDL through implementation and maintenance of BMPs on a case-by-case basis.

d. The objective for leaking collection systems is a WLA of zero. It is recognized, however, that a WLA of 0 colonies/day may not be practical. For these sources, the WLA is interpreted to mean a reduction in *E. coli* loading to the maximum extent practical, consistent with the requirement that these sources not contribute to a violation of the water quality criteria for *E. coli*.

e. TMDL was established using the single sample criterion of 298 colonies/100 ml.

Compliance with the terms and conditions of existing and future National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permits will effectively implement the WLA and demonstrate consistency with the assumptions and requirements of the TMDL. Required load reductions in the LA portion of this TMDL can be implemented through voluntary measures and may be eligible for CWA §319 grants.

The Department recognizes that adaptive implementation of this TMDL will be needed to achieve applicable water quality criteria, and we are committed to targeting the load reductions to improve water quality in the Moores Creek watershed. As additional data and/or information become available, it may become necessary to revise and/or modify the TMDL accordingly.

2.0 Basis for §303(d) Listing

2.1 Introduction

Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act and EPA's Water Quality Planning and Management Regulations (40 CFR Part 130) require states to identify waterbodies which are not meeting their designated uses and to determine the TMDL for pollutants causing use impairment. The TMDL process establishes the allowable loading of pollutants for a waterbody based on the relationship between pollution sources and in-stream water quality conditions, so that states can establish water-quality based controls to reduce pollution and restore and maintain the quality of their water resources (USEPA, 1991).

The State of Alabama has identified Moores Creek as impaired for pathogens. The §303(d)-listing was originally reported on Alabama's 2018 List of Impaired Waters based on data collected in 2014 and 2016 and has been included on all subsequent lists.

2.2 Problem Definition

Waterbody Impaired:	Moores Creek – from the Chattahoochee River to its source
Impaired Reach Length:	11.4 miles
Impaired Drainage Area:	18.1 sq. miles
Water Quality Standard Violation:	Pathogens (Single Sample Maximum)
Pollutant of Concern:	Pathogens (<i>E. coli</i>)
Water Use Classification:	Fish and Wildlife

Usage Related to Classification:

Moores Creek is classified as Fish and Wildlife (F&W). Usage of waters in the F&W classification is described in ADEM Admin. Code r. 335-6-10-.09(5)(a), (b), (c), and (d).

(a) *Best usage of waters: fishing, propagation of fish, aquatic life, and wildlife.*

(b) *Conditions related to best usage: the waters will be suitable for fish, aquatic life and wildlife propagation. The quality of salt and estuarine waters to which this classification is assigned will also be suitable for the propagation of shrimp and crabs.*

(c) *Other usage of waters: it is recognized that the waters may be used for incidental water contact year-round and whole body water-contact recreation during the months of May through October, except that water contact is strongly discouraged in the vicinity of discharges or other conditions beyond the control of the Department or the Alabama Department of Public Health.*

(d) *Conditions related to other usage: the waters, under proper sanitary supervision by the controlling health authorities, will meet accepted standards of water quality for outdoor swimming areas and will be considered satisfactory for swimming and other whole body water-contact sports.*

E. coli Criteria:

Criteria for acceptable bacteria levels for the F&W use classification are described in ADEM Admin. Code R. 335-6-10-.09(5)(e)7(i) and (ii) as follows:

7. Bacteria:

(i) *In non-coastal waters, bacteria of the E. coli group shall not exceed a geometric mean of 548 colonies/100 ml; nor exceed a maximum of 2,507 colonies/100 ml in any sample. In coastal waters, bacteria of the enterococci group shall not exceed a maximum of 275 colonies/100 ml in any sample. The geometric mean shall be calculated from no less than five samples collected at a given station over a 30-day period at intervals not less than 24 hours.*

(ii) *For incidental water contact and whole body water-contact recreation during the months of May through October, the bacterial quality of water is acceptable when a sanitary survey by the controlling health authorities reveals no source of dangerous pollution and when the geometric mean E. coli organism density does not exceed 126 colonies/100 ml nor exceed a maximum of 298 colonies/100 ml in any sample in non-coastal waters. In coastal waters, bacteria of the enterococci group shall not exceed a geometric mean of 35 colonies/100 ml nor exceed a maximum of 158 colonies/100 ml in any sample. The geometric mean shall be calculated from no less than five samples collected at a given station over a 30-day period at intervals not less than 24 hours. When the geometric bacterial coliform organism density exceeds these levels, the bacterial water quality shall be considered acceptable only if a second detailed sanitary survey and evaluation discloses no significant public health risk in the use of the waters. Waters in the immediate vicinity of discharges of sewage or other wastes likely to contain bacteria harmful to humans, regardless of the degree of treatment afforded these wastes, are not acceptable for swimming or other whole body water contact sports.*

Criteria Exceeded:

Moores Creek was first included on the §303(d) list for pathogens in 2018 based on data collected by ADEM in 2014 and 2016 at ADEM station MOOC-3. *E. coli* sampling at station MOOC-3 showed that the applicable single sample criterion was exceeded in five out of sixteen samples. The listing data is summarized below in Table 3.

Table 3: Data for §303(d) Listing - Ambient Monitoring

Station ID	Date/Time	Flow Measured	Flow cfs	<i>E. coli</i> (MPN/DL)	Lab Qualifier*	Single Sample Criteria
MOOC-3	3/11/2014 8:48	Yes	14.31	307.6		2507
MOOC-3	4/2/2014 9:59	Yes	20.26	161.6		2507
MOOC-3	5/7/2014 9:59	Yes	11.59	228.2		298
MOOC-3	6/4/2014 9:50	Yes	8.06	151.5		298
MOOC-3	7/1/2014 9:16	Yes	16.17	613.1		298
MOOC-3	8/5/2014 9:38	Yes	2.91	156.5		298
MOOC-3	9/16/2014 9:48	Yes	4.64	149.7		298
MOOC-3	10/9/2014 10:11	Yes	1.61	153.9		298
MOOC-3	3/9/2016 9:56	Yes	28.23	116.2	H	2507
MOOC-3	4/6/2016 14:35	Yes	26.01	235.9		2507
MOOC-3	5/3/2016 10:23	Yes	14.34	260.3	H	298
MOOC-3	6/15/2016 10:09	Yes	2.7	2419.6	GH	298
MOOC-3	7/12/2016 9:33	Yes	1.5	92.8	H	298
MOOC-3	8/10/2016 9:48	Yes	3.08	307.6	H	298
MOOC-3	9/13/2016 10:18	Yes	3.14	774.6	H	298
MOOC-3	10/25/2016 10:16	No - Visible but not measurable		816.4	H	298

*Lab Qualifier "H" denotes that the analytical holding times for the analysis were exceeded.

*Lab Qualifier "GH" denotes the analytical holding times for analysis were exceeded. The actual number was probably greater than the number reported.

3.0 Technical Basis for TMDL Development

3.1 Water Quality Target Identification

For the purpose of this TMDL, a single sample maximum *E. coli* target of 268.2 colonies/100 ml will be used. This target was derived by using a 10% explicit margin of safety from the single sample maximum criterion of 298 colonies/100 ml. This target is considered protective of water quality standards and should not allow the single sample maximum criterion to be exceeded. In addition, a geometric mean target of 113.4 colonies/100 ml will be used for a series of at least five samples taken no less than 24 hours apart over the course of 30 days. This target was also derived by using a 10% explicit margin of safety from the geometric mean criterion of 126 colonies/100 ml. This target is considered protective of water quality standards and should not allow the geometric mean criterion to be exceeded.

3.2 Source Assessment

3.2.1 Point Sources in the Moores Creek Watershed

A point source can be defined as a discernible, confined, and discrete conveyance from which pollutants are or may be discharged to surface waters. Point source pathogen contributions can typically be attributed to municipal wastewater facilities, illicit discharges, and leaking sewer systems in urban areas. Municipal wastewater treatment facilities are permitted through the NPDES process administered by ADEM. In urban settings, sewer lines typically run parallel to streams in the floodplain. If a leaking sewer line is present, high concentrations of bacteria can flow into the stream or leach into the groundwater. Illicit discharges are found at facilities that are discharging bacteria when not permitted, or when the pathogens criterion established in the issued NPDES permit is not being upheld.

There are currently several dischargers with General NPDES Permits (concrete, metals, etc.) in the Moores Creek watershed. These facilities are not required to monitor *E. coli* and are not considered to be a source of pathogens due to the nature of their operations. As such, no *E. coli* loading will be attributed to these facilities, nor will they receive an allocation in this TMDL.

The Moores Creek watershed does not presently qualify as a municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4) area. There are also currently no Animal Feeding Operation/Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (AFO/CAFO) facilities located within the Moores Creek watershed. The ADEM AFO/CAFO rules prohibit discharges of pollutants from the facilities and their associated waste land application activities. As a result, future AFOs/CAFOs will receive a wasteload allocation of zero.

Sanitary sewer overflows (SSOs) have the potential to severely impact water quality and can often result in the violation of water quality standards. It is the responsibility of the NPDES wastewater discharger or collection system operator for non-permitted “collection only” systems to ensure that releases do not occur. Unfortunately, releases to surface waters from SSOs are not always preventable or reported. From a review of the Department’s Alabama Environmental Permitting and Compliance System (AEPACS) database, it was found that numerous SSOs have been reported in the Moores Creek watershed in recent years. During 2019-2025, there were sixty-four SSOs related to the East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP and Lanett WWTP in the Moores Creek watershed. Further details of the SSOs in the watershed are included in Appendix 7.3.

There are currently no registered sites in the Moores Creek watershed where land application of by-products for beneficial use is present. Beneficial use sites are regulated by ADEM’s Land Division and are required to implement appropriate best management practices (BMPs) and agronomic application rates to protect the environment.

Any future NPDES-regulated discharger that is considered by the Department to be a pathogen source will be required to demonstrate consistency with the assumptions and requirements of this TMDL.

3.2.2 Nonpoint Sources in the Moores Creek Watershed

Nonpoint sources of bacteria do not have a defined discharge point but rather occur over the entire length of a stream or waterbody. On the land surface, bacteria can accumulate over time and be washed into streams or waterbodies during rain events. Therefore, there is some net loading of bacteria into streams as dictated by the watershed hydrology.

Agricultural land is commonly a source of *E. coli* bacteria. Runoff from pastures, animal feeding areas, improper land application of animal wastes, and animals with direct access to streams are all mechanisms that can contribute bacteria to waterbodies. To account for the potential influence from animals with direct access to stream reaches in the watershed, *E. coli* loads can be calculated as a direct source into the stream.

E. coli bacteria can also originate from forested areas due to the presence of wild animals such as deer, raccoons, turkey, waterfowl, etc. Wildlife will deposit feces onto land surfaces, where it can be transported during rainfall events to nearby streams. Control of these sources is usually limited to land management BMPs and may be impracticable in most cases. As a result, forested areas are not specifically targeted in this TMDL.

E. coli loading from developed areas is potentially attributable to multiple sources including stormwater runoff, unpermitted discharges of wastewater, runoff from improper disposal of waste materials, failing septic tanks, and domestic animals. On-site septic systems may be direct or indirect sources of bacterial pollution via ground and surface waters due to system failures and malfunctions.

The nature and extent of bacterial sources in the watershed will be identified more specifically during the implementation phase of the TMDL.

3.3 Land Use Assessment

Land use percentages for the Moores Creek watershed were determined from the 2021 National Land Cover Dataset (NLCD). The total drainage area of the Moores Creek watershed is approximately 18.1 square miles. Table 4 lists the various land uses and their associated percentages for the Moores Creek watershed. A pie chart illustrating the major cumulative land use types for the Moores Creek watershed is shown in Figure 2.

Table 4: Moores Creek Watershed Landuse (2021 NLCD)

2021 NLCD Land Cover	NLCD Legend	Area (square miles)	%
Open Water	11	0.13	0.7%
Developed, Open Space	21	2.48	13.7%
Developed, Low Intensity	22	2.46	13.6%
Developed, Medium Intensity	23	0.86	4.8%
Developed, High Intensity	24	0.29	1.6%
Barren Land	31	0.03	0.1%
Deciduous Forest	41	2.21	12.2%
Evergreen Forest	42	3.78	20.9%
Mixed Forest	43	1.80	10.0%
Shrub/Scrub	52	0.27	1.5%
Herbaceous	71	0.92	5.1%
Hay/Pasture	81	1.83	10.1%
Woody Wetlands	90	0.98	5.4%
Emergent Herbaceous Wetlands	95	0.02	0.1%

Cumulative Land Cover	NLCD Legend	Area (square miles)	%
Open Water	11	0.13	0.7%
Developed	21,22,23,24	6.09	33.7%
Barren Land	31	0.03	0.1%
Forested	41,42,43	7.79	43.1%
Grassland/Shrub	52,71	1.19	6.6%
Agriculture	81,82	1.83	10.1%
Wetlands	90,95	1.00	5.5%

Figure 2: Moores Creek Watershed Cumulative Land Use





Figure 3: 2021 NLCD Map of the Moores Creek Watershed



As can be seen from an inspection of the table, chart, and map above, forested land and developed land are the predominant land uses in the watershed at 43% and 34%, respectively. Developed land represents both commercial and residential urbanized land uses and includes the following individual land use categories: Developed – Open Space, Developed – Low Intensity, Developed – Medium Intensity, and Developed – High Intensity.

3.4 Linkage between Numeric Targets and Sources

The primary land uses in the Moores Creek watershed are forested land and developed land. Pollutant loadings from forested areas tend to be low due to their filtering capabilities and will be considered as background conditions. The most likely sources of pathogen loadings in Moores Creek are from urban runoff, unpermitted discharges of wastewater, and leaking or failing septic tanks. It is not considered a logical approach to calculate individual components for nonpoint source loadings. Hence, there will not be individual loads or reductions calculated for the various

nonpoint sources. The loadings and reductions will only be calculated as a single total nonpoint source load and reduction.


3.5 Data Availability and Analysis

In 2024, §303(d) sampling studies were performed by ADEM on Moores Creek at stations MOOC-2 and MOOC-3 to further assess the water quality of the impaired stream. For purposes of this TMDL, the 2024 data will be used to assess the water quality of Moores Creek because it is the most recent data and provides the best picture of the current water quality conditions of the stream. The 2026 edition of *Alabama's Water Quality Assessment and Listing Methodology*, prepared by ADEM, provides the rationale for the Department to use the most recent data to prepare a TMDL for an impaired waterbody.

Table 5: Station Description

Station	Agency	Latitude	Longitude	Description
MOOC-2	ADEM	32.850845	-85.198232	Moores Creek at AL Hwy 50
MOOC-3	ADEM	32.815213	-85.171293	Moores Ck at AL Hwy 29

Figure 4: ADEM 2024 Sampling Stations in the Moores Creek Watershed



Eight *E. coli* samples were collected during 2024 at station MOOC-2. Fifteen *E. coli* samples were collected during 2024 at station MOOC-3, and intensive bacteria studies were performed at this station during May/June and October. The intensive bacteria studies consisted of collecting at least five *E. coli* samples over a thirty-day time window, with a minimum of 24 hours between each sample collection.

At station MOOC-2, five of the eight *E. coli* samples collected in 2024 exceeded the applicable single sample maximum criterion. At station MOOC-3, six of the fifteen *E. coli* samples collected in 2024 exceeded the applicable single sample maximum criterion. Furthermore, the geometric mean criterion of 126 colonies/100 ml was exceeded during both of the intensive bacteria studies at station MOOC-3. A summary of the *E. coli* results is provided below in Table 6. All *E. coli* criteria exceedances are highlighted in red.

Table 6: 2024 *E. coli* Data for Moores Creek

Station ID	Date/Time	Flow Measured	Flow (cfs)	<i>E. coli</i> (MPN/DL)	Lab Qualifier*	Single Sample Criteria	Calculated Geometric mean (MPN/DL)	Geometric mean Criteria (MPN/DL)
MOOC-2	3/27/2024 11:50	Yes	17.2	1119.9		2507		
MOOC-2	4/10/2024 10:56	Yes	10.5	2419.6	G	2507		
MOOC-2	5/22/2024 11:56	No-Flow Conditions Hazardous		1413.6		298		
MOOC-2	6/18/2024 10:55	Yes	3.24	866.4		298		
MOOC-2	7/24/2024 10:56	Yes	6.78	2419.6	G	298		
MOOC-2	8/27/2024 12:18	Yes	0.58	980.4	H	298		
MOOC-2	9/16/2024 11:32	Yes	7.58	172.5	H	298		
MOOC-2	10/30/2024 11:52	No - Not Wadeable		2419.6	G	298		
MOOC-3	3/27/2024 11:11	Yes	27.9	1119.9		2507		
MOOC-3	4/10/2024 10:26	Yes	18.4	866.4		2507		
MOOC-3	5/22/2024 12:34	Yes	13.9	167.2		298	325.6	126
MOOC-3	5/28/2024 11:10	Yes	26.9	2851		298		
MOOC-3	5/30/2024 10:32	Yes	49.4	228		298		
MOOC-3	6/18/2024 10:09	Yes	5.49	91		298		
MOOC-3	6/19/2024 9:57	Yes	5.68	370		298		
MOOC-3	7/24/2024 10:04	Yes	21	461.1		298		
MOOC-3	8/27/2024 12:47	Yes	1.83	201.4	H	298		
MOOC-3	9/16/2024 12:23	No-Visible, But Not Measurable		2419.6	H	298	392.2	126
MOOC-3	10/8/2024 10:28	Yes	9.27	142.1		298		
MOOC-3	10/9/2024 10:45	Yes	7.8	285.1		298		
MOOC-3	10/10/2024 11:03	Yes	7.83	307.6		298		
MOOC-3	10/11/2024 11:07	Yes	6.81	307.6		298		
MOOC-3	10/30/2024 12:35	Yes	4.23	78		298		

*Lab Qualifier "H" denotes that the analytical holding times for the analysis were exceeded.

*Lab Qualifier "G" denotes that the actual number was probably greater than the number reported.

3.6 Critical Conditions/Seasonal Variation

Critical conditions typically occur during the summer months (May – October). This can be explained by the nature of storm events in the summer versus the winter. In summer, periods of dry weather interspersed with thunderstorms allow for the accumulation and washing off of bacteria into streams, resulting in spikes of bacteria counts. In winter, frequent low intensity rain events are more typical and do not allow for the build-up of bacteria on the land surface, resulting in a more uniform loading rate.

Moores Creek generally follows the trends described above for the summer months of May through October. The critical condition for this pathogen TMDL was taken to be the one with the highest *E. coli* single sample exceedance value. The highest single sample *E. coli* exceedance of 2851 colonies/100 ml was taken at station MOOC-3 on May 28, 2024. The use of the highest single sample exceedance to calculate the TMDL is expected to be protective of water quality in Moores Creek year-round.

3.7 Margin of Safety

There are two methods for incorporating a Margin of Safety (MOS) in the TMDL analysis: 1) by implicitly incorporating the MOS using conservative model assumptions to develop allocations, or 2) by explicitly specifying a portion of the TMDL as the MOS and using the remainder for allocations.

The MOS accounts for the uncertainty associated with the limited availability of data used in this analysis. An explicit MOS was applied to the TMDL by reducing the appropriate target criterion concentration by ten percent and calculating a mass loading target with measured flow data. The single sample *E. coli* maximum value of 298 colonies/100 ml was reduced by 10% to 268.2 colonies/100 ml, while the geometric mean criterion was reduced in the same fashion to 113.4 colonies/100 ml.

4.0 TMDL Development

4.1 Definition of a TMDL

A TMDL is the sum of individual wasteload allocations (WLAs) for point sources, load allocations (LAs) for nonpoint sources including natural background levels, and a margin of safety (MOS). The margin of safety can be included either explicitly or implicitly and accounts for the uncertainty in the relationship between pollutant loads and the quality of the receiving waterbody. As discussed earlier, the MOS is explicit in this TMDL. A TMDL can be denoted by the equation:

$$\text{TMDL} = \sum \text{WLAs} + \sum \text{LAs} + \text{MOS}$$

The TMDL is the total amount of a pollutant that can be assimilated by the receiving waterbody while achieving water quality standards under critical conditions. Pathogen TMDL loads are typically expressed in terms of organism counts per day (colonies/day), in accordance with 40 CFR 130.2(i).

4.2 Load Calculations

A mass balance approach was used to calculate the pathogen TMDL for Moores Creek. The mass balance approach utilizes the conservation of mass principle. Total mass loads can be calculated by multiplying the *E. coli* concentration times the in-stream flow times a conversion factor. Existing loads were calculated for the highest single sample exceedance and the highest geometric mean sample exceedance. In the same manner, allowable loads were calculated for both the single sample criterion of 298 col/100 ml and the geometric mean criterion of 126 col/100 ml. The TMDL was based on the violation that produced the highest percent reduction of *E. coli* loads necessary to achieve applicable water quality criteria, whether it be the single sample or geometric mean.

Existing Conditions

The **single sample** mass loading was calculated by multiplying the highest *E. coli* single sample exceedance concentration of 2851 colonies/100 ml by the measured flow on the day of the exceedance. The calculation for the existing condition was based on the measurement at MOOC-3 on May 28, 2024. The product of the concentration, estimated flow, and a conversion factor gives the total mass loading (colonies per day) of *E. coli* to Moores Creek under the single sample exceedance condition.

$$\frac{26.9 \text{ ft}^3}{\text{s}} \times \frac{2851 \text{ colonies}}{100 \text{ ml}} \times \frac{24,465,755 * 100 \text{ ml} * \text{s}}{\text{ft}^3 * \text{day}} = \frac{1.88 \times 10^{12} \text{ colonies}}{\text{day}}$$

The **geometric mean** mass loading was calculated by multiplying the highest geometric mean exceedance concentration of 392.2 colonies/100 ml times the average of the stream flows during the geometric mean sampling period. This concentration was calculated based on measurements at MOOC-3 between September 16, 2024, and October 11, 2024. The product of the *E. coli* concentration, estimated flow, and the conversion factor gives the total mass loading (colonies per day) of *E. coli* to Moores Creek under the geometric mean exceedance condition.

$$\frac{7.93 \text{ ft}^3}{\text{s}} \times \frac{392.16 \text{ colonies}}{100 \text{ ml}} \times \frac{24,465,755 * 100 \text{ ml} * \text{s}}{\text{ft}^3 * \text{day}} = \frac{7.61 \times 10^{10} \text{ colonies}}{\text{day}}$$

Allowable Conditions

The **allowable load** to the watershed was calculated under the same physical conditions as discussed above for the single sample and geometric mean criteria. This was done by taking the product of the measured flow for the violation event, the allowable concentration, and the conversion factor.

For the **single sample** *E. coli* target concentration of 268.2 colonies/100 ml, the allowable *E. coli* loading is:

$$\frac{26.9 \text{ ft}^3}{\text{s}} \times \frac{268.2 \text{ colonies}}{100 \text{ ml}} \times \frac{24,465,755 * 100 \text{ ml} * \text{s}}{\text{ft}^3 * \text{day}} = \frac{1.77 \times 10^{11} \text{ colonies}}{\text{day}}$$

The explicit margin of safety of 29.8 colonies/100 ml equals a daily loading of:

$$\frac{26.9 \text{ ft}^3}{\text{s}} \times \frac{29.8 \text{ colonies}}{100 \text{ ml}} \times \frac{24,465,755 * 100 \text{ ml} * \text{s}}{\text{ft}^3 * \text{day}} = \frac{1.96 \times 10^{10} \text{ colonies}}{\text{day}}$$

For the **geometric mean** *E. coli* target concentration of 113.4 colonies/100 ml, the allowable *E. coli* loading is:

$$\frac{7.93 \text{ ft}^3}{\text{s}} \times \frac{113.4 \text{ colonies}}{100 \text{ mL}} \times \frac{24,465,755 * 100 \text{ mL} * \text{s}}{\text{ft}^3 * \text{day}} = \frac{2.20 \times 10^{10} \text{ colonies}}{\text{day}}$$

The explicit margin of safety of 12.6 colonies/100 ml equals a daily loading of:

$$\frac{7.93 \text{ ft}^3}{\text{s}} \times \frac{12.6 \text{ colonies}}{100 \text{ mL}} \times \frac{24,465,755 * 100 \text{ mL} * \text{s}}{\text{ft}^3 * \text{day}} = \frac{2.44 \times 10^9 \text{ colonies}}{\text{day}}$$

The difference between the existing conditions (violation event) and the allowable conditions converted to a percent reduction represents the total load reduction needed to achieve the *E. coli* water quality criteria. Table 7 below depicts the existing and allowable *E. coli* loads and required reductions for the Moores Creek watershed.

Table 7: *E. coli* Loads and Required Reductions for AL03130002-0907-100

Source	Existing Load (colonies/day)	Allowable Load (colonies/day)	Required Reduction (colonies/day)	% Reduction
Single Sample Load	1.88E+12	1.77E+11	1.70E+12	91%
Geometric Mean Load	7.61E+10	2.20E+10	5.41E+10	71%

The TMDL was calculated as the total daily *E. coli* load to Moores Creek as evaluated at station MOOC-3. From Table 7, compliance with the simple sample criterion of 298 colonies/100 ml requires a reduction of 91% in the *E. coli* load. The TMDL, WLA, LA and MOS values necessary to achieve the applicable *E. coli* criteria are provided in Table 8 below.

Table 8: *E. coli* TMDL for Moores Creek

TMDL ^e	Margin of Safety (MOS)	Waste Load Allocation (WLA) ^a			Load Allocation (LA)	
		WWTPs ^b	Stormwater (MS4s and other NPDES sources) ^c	Leaking Collection Systems ^d		
(col/day)	(col/day)	(col/day)	% reduction	(col/day)	(col/day)	% reduction
1.96 x 10 ¹¹	1.96 x 10 ¹⁰	NA	NA	0	1.77E+11	91%

Note: NA = not applicable

a. There are no CAFOs in the Moores Creek watershed. Future CAFOs will be assigned a WLA of zero.

b. There are currently no NPDES-permitted WWTPs in the Moores Creek watershed. Future WWTPs must meet the applicable in-stream water quality criteria for pathogens at the point of discharge.

c. Future MS4 areas and other NPDES stormwater sources will be required to demonstrate consistency with the assumptions and requirements of this TMDL through implementation and maintenance of BMPs on a case-by-case basis.

d. The objective for leaking collection systems is a WLA of zero. It is recognized, however, that a WLA of 0 colonies/day may not be practical. For these sources, the WLA is interpreted to mean a reduction in *E. coli* loading to the maximum extent practical, consistent with the requirement that these sources not contribute to a violation of the water quality criteria for *E. coli*.

e. TMDL was established using the single sample criterion of 298 colonies/100 ml.

4.3 TMDL Summary

Moores Creek was first included on the §303(d) list for pathogens in 2018 based on data collected in 2014 and 2016 at ADEM station MOOC-3. In 2024, §303(d) sampling studies were performed by ADEM on Moores Creek to further assess the water quality of the impaired stream. In 2024, ADEM collected additional *E. coli* samples from Moores Creek at stations MOOC-2 and MOOC-3. A review of the general water quality and intensive *E. coli* study revealed that Moores Creek was still not meeting the pathogen criteria applicable to its use classification (F&W).

The data collected by ADEM in 2024 confirmed the pathogen impairment and provided the basis for TMDL development. A mass balance approach was used to calculate the *E. coli* TMDL for Moores Creek. Based on the TMDL analysis, it was determined that a 91% reduction in *E. coli* loading was necessary to achieve compliance with applicable water quality standards.

Compliance with the terms and conditions of current and future NPDES sanitary and stormwater permits will effectively implement the WLA and demonstrate consistency with the assumptions and requirements of the TMDL.

Required load reductions in the LA portion of this TMDL will be implemented through voluntary measures/best management practices (BMPs). Cooperation and active participation by the general public and various other groups is critical to successful implementation of TMDLs. Local

citizen-led and implemented management measures offer the most efficient and comprehensive avenue for reduction of loading rates from nonpoint sources. Therefore, TMDL implementation activities for nonpoint sources will be coordinated through interaction with local entities and may be eligible for CWA §319 grants through the Department's Nonpoint Source Unit.

The Department recognizes that adaptive implementation of this TMDL will be needed to achieve applicable water quality criteria, and we are committed to targeting the load reductions to improve water quality in the Moores Creek watershed. As additional data and/or information become available, it may become necessary to revise and/or modify the TMDL accordingly.

5.0 Follow-up Monitoring

ADEM has adopted a basin approach to water quality monitoring, an approach that divides Alabama's sixteen major river basins into three groups. Each year, ADEM's water quality resources are concentrated in one of the three basin groups and are divided among multiple priorities including §303(d) listed waterbodies, waterbodies with active TMDLs, and other waterbodies as determined by the Department. Monitoring will help further characterize water quality conditions resulting from the implementation of best management practices and load reductions in the watershed. This monitoring will occur in each basin according to the schedule shown in Table 9.

Table 9: Follow-up Monitoring Schedule

River Basin Group	Years to be Monitored
Alabama, Cahaba, Mobile, Tallapoosa, Tennessee (Pickwick and Wilson)	2026/2029
Black Warrior, Blackwater, Chattahoochee, Chipola, Choctawhatchee, Escambia, Perdido, Tennessee (Wheeler), Yellow	2027/2030
Coosa, Escatawpa, Tennessee (Guntersville), Tombigbee	2028/2031

6.0 Public Participation

As part of the public participation process, this TMDL was placed on public notice and made available for review and comment. The public notice and subject TMDL were made available on ADEM's website: www.adem.alabama.gov. In addition, the public notice was submitted to persons who requested to be on ADEM's postal and electronic mailing distributions. The public could also request paper or electronic copies of the TMDL by contacting Ms. Kimberly Minton at 334-271-7826 or kminton@adem.alabama.gov. The public was given an opportunity to review the TMDL and submit comments to the Department in writing. No written comments were received during the public notice period.

7.0 Appendices

7.1 References

ADEM Administrative Code, 2025. Water Division - Water Quality Program, Chapter 335-6-10, Water Quality Criteria.

ADEM Administrative Code, 2025. Water Division - Water Quality Program, Chapter 335-6-11, Use Classifications for Interstate and Intrastate Waters.

Alabama's Monitoring Program. 2014, 2016, 2024. ADEM.

Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM), *Alabama's Water Quality Assessment and Listing Methodology*, 2026.

Alabama's §303(d) List and Fact Sheet. 2018, 2020, 2022, 2024. ADEM.

Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM), *Laboratory Data Qualification SOP #4910 Revision 7.2*, 2022.

United States Environmental Protection Agency, 1991. *Guidance for Water Quality-Based Decisions: The TMDL Process*. Office of Water. EPA 440/4-91-001.

United States Environmental Protection Agency, 1986. *Quality Criteria for Water*. Office of Water. EPA 440/4-91-001.

7.2 Moores Creek Watershed Photos

Figure 5: At MOOC-3 : Upstream View of Moores Creek (5/28/2024)




Figure 6: At MOOC-3 : Downstream View of Moores Creek (5/28/2024)



7.3 Moores Creek Watershed Sanitary Sewer Overflows (SSOs) (1 of 2)

Permit	SSO ID#	Permittee	Facility	Latitude	Longitude	SSO Start Date/Time	SSO End Date/Time	SSO Range (gallons)
AL0024724	SSO-00010267	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.803316	-85.181097	3/14/2019 13:30	3/14/2019 14:00	
AL0024724	SSO-00010402	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.813016	-85.17074	4/29/2019 8:00	4/29/2019 17:00	1,000 < gallons <=10,000
AL0024724	SSO-00010601	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.810244	-85.169323	7/24/2019 11:30	7/24/2019 12:30	
AL0024724	SSO-00010756	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.809752	-85.170576	9/13/2019 14:50	9/13/2019 15:30	
AL0024724	SSO-00010961	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.844143	-85.181573	11/8/2019 14:00	11/8/2019 14:45	
AL0024724	SSO-00011021	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.813162	-85.174294	11/30/2019 10:40	11/30/2019 13:15	<=1,000 gallons
AL0024724	SSO-00011020	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.810917	-85.16934	11/30/2019 22:00	11/30/2019 22:40	<=1,000 gallons
AL0024724	SSO-00011023	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.812189	-85.170613	12/2/2019 9:00	12/2/2019 13:00	1,000 < gallons <=10,000
AL0024724	SSO-00011022	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.844151	-85.181544	12/2/2019 10:00	12/2/2019 11:30	
AL0024724	SSO-00011039	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.811037	-85.169392	12/8/2019 11:00	12/8/2019 11:30	<=1,000 gallons
AL0024724	SSO-00011086	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.803374	-85.181227	12/20/2019 10:00	12/20/2019 10:30	1,000 < gallons <=10,000
AL0024724	SSO-00011364	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.838711	-85.187991	1/13/2020 9:45	1/13/2020 11:45	
AL0024724	SSO-00011474	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.803374	-85.181227	1/24/2020 13:00	1/24/2020 13:45	<=1,000 gallons
AL0024724	SSO-00011491	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.818115	-85.19853	2/3/2020 7:30	2/3/2020 9:00	<=1,000 gallons
AL0024724	SSO-00012137	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.818115	-85.19853	2/21/2020 7:00	2/21/2020 11:40	<=1,000 gallons
AL0024724	SSO-00012192	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.812381	-85.170673	3/3/2020 14:00	3/3/2020 16:30	1,000 < gallons <=10,000
AL0024724	SSO-00012412	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.817209	-85.198605	3/26/2020 8:00	3/26/2020 11:00	<=1,000 gallons
AL0024724	SSO-00012888	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.810261	-85.169321	7/15/2020 9:00	7/15/2020 10:14	
AL0024724	SSO-00012887	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.812824	-85.171694	7/15/2020 13:40	7/15/2020 15:00	<=1,000 gallons
AL0024724	SSO-00013213	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.819117	-85.174538	10/3/2020 18:30	10/3/2020 19:45	<=1,000 gallons
AL0024724	SSO-00013216	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.818807	-85.174353	10/5/2020 9:00	10/5/2020 18:00	<=1,000 gallons
AL0024724	SSO-00013320	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.8436	-85.182028	10/31/2020 11:40	10/31/2020 12:55	<=1,000 gallons
AL0024724	SSO-00013519	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.815033	-85.172867	1/13/2021 13:25	1/13/2021 15:00	<=1,000 gallons
AL0024724	SSO-00013520	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.810912	-85.169337	1/13/2021 15:00	1/13/2021 16:45	<=1,000 gallons
AL0024724	SSO-00013539	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.811889	-85.170402	1/22/2021 11:45	1/22/2021 12:20	<=1,000 gallons
AL0024724	SSO-00013568	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.840645	-85.185097	1/29/2021 9:00	1/29/2021 14:30	1,000 < gallons <=10,000
AL0024724	SSO-00013929	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.810256	-85.169317	3/26/2021 11:00	3/26/2021 11:45	<=1,000 gallons
AL0024724	SSO-00013928	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.816636	-85.171264	3/26/2021 12:00	3/26/2021 13:30	1,000 < gallons <=10,000
AL0024724	SSO-00014293	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.810258	-85.169322	5/6/2021 9:20	5/6/2021 11:40	
AL0024724	SSO-00014333	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.811891	-85.170399	5/12/2021 8:00	5/12/2021 10:00	<=1,000 gallons
AL0024724	SSO-00014723	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.812613	-85.170721	8/5/2021 11:00	8/5/2021 12:30	
AL0024724	SSO-00014862	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.818207	-85.173744	8/31/2021 13:00	8/31/2021 14:30	<=1,000 gallons

7.3 Moores Creek Watershed Sanitary Sewer Overflows (SSOs) (2 of 2)

Permit	SSO ID#	Permittee	Facility	Latitude	Longitude	SSO Start Date/Time	SSO End Date/Time	SSO Range (gallons)
AL0023159	SSO-00015061	City of Lanett	Lanett WWTP	32.851306	-85.198257	9/27/2021 12:00	9/27/2021 16:00	100,000 < gallons <= 250,000
AL0024724	SSO-00015075	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.839229	-85.188498	10/5/2021 6:01	10/5/2021 16:00	1,000 < gallons <= 10,000
AL0024724	SSO-00207673	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.840076	-85.180518	1/6/2022 8:50	1/6/2022 10:00	<= 1,000 gal
AL0024724	SSO-00208267	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.817407	-85.172065	2/4/2022 8:00	2/4/2022 15:00	1,000 < gallons <= 10,000
AL0023159	SSO-00207713	City of Lanett	Lanett WWTP	32.855233	-85.199741	3/14/2022 7:30	3/14/2022 8:30	1,000 < gallons <= 10,000
AL0024724	SSO-00208652	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.834962	-85.186746	4/5/2022 17:00	4/5/2022 20:00	<= 1,000 gal
AL0024724	SSO-00208814	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.81873	-85.174286	4/7/2022 8:30	4/7/2022 12:40	1,000 < gallons <= 10,000
AL0024724	SSO-00208815	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.837596	-85.198755	6/7/2022 12:00	6/7/2022 14:00	<= 1,000 gal
AL0024724	SSO-00209465	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.831328	-85.181911	10/4/2022 13:30	10/4/2022 16:00	25,000 < gallons <= 50,000
AL0024724	SSO-00209693	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.813106	-85.17074	12/6/2022 12:00	12/6/2022 14:20	1,000 < gallons <= 10,000
AL0024724	SSO-00209762	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.816704	-85.171096	12/26/2022 8:00	12/26/2022 14:00	<= 1,000 gal
AL0024724	SSO-00210368	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.839231	-85.188507	3/27/2023 9:15	3/28/2023 6:00	10,000 < gallons <= 25,000
AL0024724	SSO-00210601	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.816705	-85.171097	6/13/2023 9:00	6/13/2023 15:00	<= 1,000 gal
AL0024724	SSO-00210675	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.813399	-85.17264	6/29/2023 9:00	6/29/2023 10:00	<= 1,000 gal
AL0023159	SSO-00208623	City of Lanett	Lanett WWTP	32.857215	-85.227985	7/11/2023 10:00	7/11/2023 11:00	<= 1,000 gal
AL0024724	SSO-00210831	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.816254	-85.171596	8/1/2023 9:00	8/1/2023 11:00	1,000 < gallons <= 10,000
AL0024724	SSO-00210886	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.843528	-85.18242	11/6/2023 9:00	11/6/2023 14:00	
AL0024724	SSO-00211054	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.814384	-85.173581	12/10/2023 7:00	12/10/2023 13:30	1,000 < gallons <= 10,000
AL0024724	SSO-00211252	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.839514	-85.184186	1/17/2024 9:45	1/17/2024 10:30	1,000 < gallons <= 10,000
AL0024724	SSO-00211277	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.814669	-85.18786	1/26/2024 5:00	1/26/2024 8:30	100,000 < gallons <= 250,000
AL0024724	SSO-00211461	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.838609	-85.188441	2/12/2024 7:30	2/12/2024 11:45	1,000 < gallons <= 10,000
AL0024724	SSO-00211360	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.839512	-85.184183	2/12/2024 8:00	2/12/2024 10:30	1,000 < gallons <= 10,000
AL0024724	SSO-00211463	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.839526	-85.184314	7/2/2024 13:00	7/2/2024 13:30	1,000 < gallons <= 10,000
AL0024724	SSO-00212081	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.823446	-85.177393	12/11/2024 9:30	12/11/2024 11:30	1,000 < gallons <= 10,000
AL0024724	SSO-00212466	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.808398	-85.172409	12/11/2024 15:00	12/11/2024 17:00	<= 1,000 gal
AL0024724	SSO-00212527	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.814641	-85.188062	1/3/2025 7:30	1/3/2025 10:00	10,000 < gallons <= 25,000
AL0024724	SSO-00212551	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.81467	-85.187863	1/28/2025 9:00	1/28/2025 12:00	1,000 < gallons <= 10,000
AL0024724	SSO-00212623	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.814668	-85.187863	1/30/2025 16:30	1/30/2025 18:00	10,000 < gallons <= 25,000
AL0024724	SSO-00212634	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.838983	-85.185858	2/6/2025 10:00	2/6/2025 12:00	1,000 < gallons <= 10,000
AL0024724	SSO-00212774	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.83422	-85.186276	2/13/2025 4:00	2/13/2025 14:00	10,000 < gallons <= 25,000
AL0024724	SSO-00212773	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.824085	-85.17658	2/13/2025 8:00	2/13/2025 13:00	10,000 < gallons <= 25,000
AL0024724	SSO-00213103	East Alabama Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection District	East Alabama Lower Valley WWTP	32.816876	-85.189126	7/17/2025 10:45	7/17/2025 13:30	1,000 < gallons <= 10,000