



State of Alabama
Alabama Department of Environmental Management
Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF) Loan Program

SRF Section
Permits and Services Division
Alabama Department of Environmental Management
Post Office Box 301463
Montgomery, Alabama 36130-1463

(334) 271-7711
srf@adem.alabama.gov

Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF) Infrastructure Investment & Jobs Act (IIJA) Intended Use Plan



Fiscal Year 2025

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 3

II. PROGRAM GOALS 3

 A. SHORT-TERM GOALS (OUTPUTS) 3

 B. LONG TERM GOALS (OUTCOMES)..... 3

 C. PROGRAM CHANGES 4

III. SOURCES AND USES OF FUNDS: 4

 A. PROJECTED SOURCES..... 6

 B. Projected Uses..... 6

 C. LEVERAGING 5

 D. TRANSFER OF FUNDS 5

 E. ELIGIBLE PROJECTS TO BE FUNDED..... 6

 F. FINANCIAL TERMS OF LOANS..... 6

IV. PROJECT SELECTION AND METHOD FOR DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS 6

 A. PRIORITY LIST 6

 B. ADDITIONAL SUBSIDIZATION: 7

 C. PREVAILING WAGES 8

 D. BUILD AMERICA, BUY AMERICA (BABA)..... 8

 E. DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS TO SET-ASIDE ACCOUNTS 8

 F. SELECTION OF SYSTEMS TO RECEIVE ASSISTANCE..... 8

 G. INADEQUATE ALLOCATIONS 9

 H. UNANTICIPATED AND UNCOMMITTED FUNDS 9

 I. PROJECT BYPASS/REALLOTMENT: 10

V. SET-ASIDE ACTIVITIES 10

 A. ADMINISTRATIVE SET-ASIDE..... 10

 B. 2% SMALL SYSTEMS TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE 10

 C. 10% STATE PROGRAM MANAGEMENT 10

 D. 15% LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND OTHER STATE PROGRAMS 11

VI. CERTIFICATIONS..... 11

VII. PROGRAM INCOME 12

VIII: ESTIMATED DWSRF CAPITALIZATION GRANT SCHEDULES/TIMELINE 12

 A. ESTIMATED GRANT DRAW SCHEDULE 12

 B. ESTIMATED GRANT DISBURSAL SCHEDULE 12

 C. CAPITALIZATION GRANT BUDGET PERIODS: 13

IX. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION 13

X. REPORTING 13

ATTACHMENT 1 – PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

ATTACHMENT 2 – PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS

ATTACHMENT 3 – ALABAMA DWSRF PRE-APPLICATION FORM

I. Introduction

The Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) Amendments of 1996 authorized a Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF) for the purpose of assisting public water systems to finance the cost of potable water infrastructure. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is authorized to award capitalization grants to the States, which in turn administer the DWSRF program. This Intended Use Plan (IUP) describes how the State intends to use available DWSRF IIJA program funds for the year to meet the objectives of the SDWA and further the goal of protecting public health.

The State of Alabama is applying for \$43,963,000 in EPA grant funding that will be used to provide low interest financial assistance from the DWSRF program. The 20% state match requirement for the projected grant is \$8,792,600 and will be fulfilled by funds provided through an appropriation from the Alabama State Legislature

Alabama's DWSRF is designed to be a perpetual source of low-cost financial assistance for the construction of public water supply facilities needed to meet compliance standards and public health requirements. Once ultimate capitalization has been achieved, the program may utilize the direct loan repayments, undedicated interest from the bond debt service reserve funds and construction funds and assets of the Master State Revolving Account as the source funds to fund direct loans.

Alabama agrees to comply with all Civil Rights Laws, including The Age Discrimination Act of 1975, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, and Title VI of the Civil Rights Act, which prohibits discrimination in the provision of services or benefits, on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, handicap or age.

II. Program Goals

A. Short-term goals (Outputs)

1. To provide financial assistance for DWSRF eligible activities for public water supply facilities on the DWSRF Priority List.
2. To support American workers, renew the water workforce, and cultivate domestic manufacturing by ensuring compliance with the Davis-Bacon Act and American Iron and Steel (AIS) and Build America Buy America (BABA) requirements. (Deliverable)
3. To protect the public health and the environment and promote the completion of cost-effective water treatment, storage, and distribution facilities.
4. To provide funding for the State of Alabama Public Water System Supervision (PWSS) program using the 10% State Program Management set-aside.
5. To provide assistance for educational events promoting objectives consistent with the Safe Drinking Water Act through the 15% Local Assistance and Other State Programs Activities set-aside.

B. Long term goals (Outcomes)

1. To maintain the DWSRF program and the long-term fiscal integrity of the fund.

2. To provide a self-perpetuating source of financial assistance for the construction of public water treatment and distribution facilities needed to meet the public health goals of the Safe Drinking Water Act.
3. To fund projects which will have a positive impact on public health and ensure compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act.
4. To assist systems in ensuring affordable water supply.
5. To ensure safe drinking water and reliable water infrastructure by providing funding for projects that ensure compliance with drinking water national primary drinking water rules or return systems to compliance.
6. To protect and restore waterbodies and watersheds by addressing sources of water pollution and ensure water quality standards are protective of the health and needs of all people and ecosystems.
7. Alabama will ensure Clean and Safe Water for all communities by funding projects that prioritize Safe Drinking Water and Reliable Water Infrastructure and protect and restore waterbodies and watersheds by addressing sources of water pollution and projects that ensure water quality standards are protective of the health and environment.

C. Program Changes

No major programmatic changes are proposed for fiscal year 2025.

III. Sources and Uses of Funds:

The Department is expected to fund FY 2025 projects using a combination of interest earnings on the Fund, repayments from direct loans, and the 2025 EPA IJJA Capitalization Grant. Match for the EPA Grant will be fulfilled by a State Appropriation from the Alabama State Legislature. The estimated sources and uses of funds in the FY 2025 DWSRF program are as follows:

A. Projected Sources:

2025 EPA DWSRF Cap Grant:	\$43,963,000
DWSRF State Match:	\$8,792,600
<u>Uncommitted Fund Balance (as of April 9, 2026)</u>	<u>\$85,349,035</u>
Estimated Loan Repayments and Interest Earnings for 2025 Cap Grant	\$37,181,624 ¹
<u>Loan Repayments and Interest Earnings from Revolving Fund</u>	<u>\$35,054,923</u>
<u>Total Project Priorities:</u>	<u>\$87,901,862</u>
Overall Total:	\$210,341,182 ³

Note 1: Estimated future repayments and interest earnings from FY25 loans.

Note 2: Approximate total funds available based on projected fiscal year funding allotments and repayments for FY25. Actual totals are provided in the annual report at the end of each fiscal year.

Note 3: Estimated repayment funds from FY25 IJJA Capitalization Grant are not included in project funds. These funds will be included in future fiscal year(s) IUPs as repayments are received.

B. Projected Uses:

Project Assistance:	\$86,210,523
Administrative Set-Aside (4% of Cap Grant - \$43,963,000) ¹	\$1,758,520
State Program Management Set-Aside (10%) ¹ (max)	\$4,396,300
<u>Local Assistance Set-Aside (15%)¹</u>	<u>\$60,000</u>
Total	\$92,425,343

Note 1: Set-Aside funding is discussed further in the "Set-Aside" section.

The Department is aware that there are significant uncommitted funds. The Department's SRF Branch also has the responsibility of administering the American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) funds which have a deadline of December 31, 2026 to be expended. The state has great needs, but the contractor, equipment, and supplies needed for the various infrastructure projects are limited. There are many loans from past IUPs that have not been closed which represent a large portion of the uncommitted funds. Following the completion of the ARPA drinking water and wastewater projects, the Department intends to place all of the SRF Branch's focus on supporting projects throughout the state decreasing the uncommitted fund balance significantly.

C. Leveraging

The Department does not intend to issue DWSRF revenue bonds for new projects during fiscal year 2025.

D. Transfer of Funds

In accordance with 40 CFR 35.3530, the Alabama Department of Environmental Management (the Department) reserves the right to transfer funds from the Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) to the DWSRF. Funds transferred from the CWSRF to the DWSRF are to be used to fulfill the DWSRF infrastructure financing demand as needed.

E. Eligible Projects to be Funded

Eligible projects include the planning, design, and construction of improvements to:

- Rehabilitate or develop water sources to replace contaminated sources;
- Install or upgrade treatment facilities if the project would improve the quality of drinking water to comply with primary or secondary standards;
- Install or upgrade water storage tanks to prevent microbiological contaminants from entering the water system;
- Install or replace distribution pipes to prevent contamination caused by leaks or breaks in the pipe.
- Consolidate water supplies when customers have an inadequate quantity of water, the water supply is contaminated, or the system is unable to maintain compliance for financial or managerial reasons; and
- Other projects meeting the priority objectives of the program.

F. Financial terms of loans

The Fund may offer loans for up to 100 percent of allowable project costs for the construction of water treatment and distribution facilities and may offer a range of options regarding the term, interest rate and level of loan funding. Such loans must be made at or below market interest rates as determined by the Department. Loan interest rates will usually be set approximately 1% - 1.5% less than the AAA rated tax exempt municipal bonds. For fiscal year 2025 the Department will maintain an interest rate of 0.1% for all loans. A fee ranging from 1.89% - 2.65% is assessed for all loans except for 100% principal forgiveness loans. See the Program Income section below for additional information.

The total term financing shall not exceed 20 years or, under special circumstances, 30 years may be considered. Repayments shall commence after completion of construction or within 3 years for which such financial assistance was made. Financial assistance repayments shall be made in accordance with the repayment schedule indicated in the recipient's financial agreement. Principal and accrued interest with respect to a particular financial agreement may be prepaid in accordance with the provisions of the financial agreement. Interest shall accrue from the estimated date of the execution of the DWSRF financial agreement.

Project fund disbursements to recipients at intervals as work progresses and expenses are incurred and approved.

The specific terms and conditions of the funds shall be incorporated in the financial agreement to be executed by the recipient and the Department.

IV. Project Selection and Method for Distribution of Funds

A. Priority List

In order to be considered for DWSRF assistance, projects must be on or added to the Priority List and have a proposed project schedule that coincides with the availability of DWSRF funds. The DWSRF priority list was developed by identifying the priority point rating for each proposed project. The funding of such projects is also subject to the availability of funds. The Mobile Area Waterworks & Sewer System (MAWSS), Arab Water Works Board, the City of Dadeville, and the City of Demopolis will be used as the equivalency project for the fiscal year 2025 IJA capitalization grant.

The State reserves the right to fund projects not on the priority list, on an emergency basis, if funds are available. Emergency projects would include those where some type of failure was unanticipated and requires immediate attention to protect public health. Additionally, supplemental loans may be issued to previous recipients as needed to complete segmented projects or to cover cost overruns. See Attachment 1.

B. Additional Subsidization:

The 2025 EPA IJJA Capitalization Grant includes a requirement for a minimum of \$21,541,870 to be provided as assistance with additional subsidy.

The Department will utilize Table 1 to evaluate and score communities to determine principal forgiveness ranking. The highest ranked communities will be initially considered to receive principal forgiveness until it is exhausted (see Attachment 1). Any subsequent revision to this project list will likewise demonstrate principal forgiveness will be provided to meet the required percentage of the Capitalization Grant.

Criteria	Points
<10,000 population	1
<1.1x coverage ratio	1
Ratio of Water Bill/MHHI	Actual Number

Table 1.

A community is defined as disadvantaged if the sum of the criteria for population or coverage ratio results in a value greater than 1 point or the ratio of water bill to median household income is greater than 1. The disadvantaged rank will be determined based on the sum of the categories above, when possible.

Each individual category is briefly described below:

- <10,000 population – this is considered a “small” community. Since maintenance and cost of water system improvements is generally based on economy of scale (i.e., higher tax base results in higher tax revenue), a small community would be considered disadvantaged when compared to larger communities.
- <1.1x coverage ratio – A coverage ratio is defined as a borrower’s revenue divided by debt. This metric is meant to capture financial affordability. If a coverage ratio is 1.1x, a community would make 10% more in revenue than they expend in debt. The Department analyzes rate structures and frequency of rate increases to determine if the coverage ratio can be modified. A borrower cannot “afford” a loan if the coverage ratio is less than 1.1x.
- Ratio of Water Bill/MHHI – Along with coverage ratio, this metric determines a community’s ability to increase revenue through rate increases. If a coverage ratio is 1.00 then the rates are appropriate for the median household income of the area. If the rates are higher than one (1) then the applicant may have the ability to increase rates without burdening the service area population. If the ratio is less than one (1), then the population of the system pays more for water than expected based on median household income.

The Department has authority to provide additional subsidization to meet the requirements by the Code of Alabama Section 22-23B-3.

C. Prevailing Wages

Davis-Bacon wage requirements apply for fiscal year 2021 and each fiscal year thereafter and the requirements of section 1450(e) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-9(e)) shall apply to any construction project carried out in whole or in part with assistance made available by the DWSRF as authorized by section 1452 of that Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-12). The Department will include in all loan agreements and procurement contracts terms and conditions requiring compliance with this requirement.

D. Build America, Buy America (BABA)

Build America, Buy America (BABA) apply for fiscal year 2024 and each fiscal year thereafter. BABA will be required for eligible projects funded through the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF) unless a waiver is granted.

BABA is considered a federal cross-cutting requirement that applies to SRF assistance equivalent to the federal capitalization grant (i.e., “equivalency” projects). EPA’s SRF regulations at 40 CFR 35.3145 and 35.3575 require states and recipients of SRF funds equivalent to the amount of the federal capitalization grant to comply with federal cross-cutting requirements. Section 70914 of the IIJA, which states when a Buy America preference applies, explains that “none of the funds made available for a Federal financial assistance program for infrastructure...may be obligated for a project unless all of the iron, steel, manufactured products, and construction materials used in the project are produced in the United States.” Therefore, BABA only applies to projects funded in an amount equivalent to the federal capitalization grant.

E. Distribution of Funds to Set-Aside Accounts

EPA provisions allow funds to be set aside from the State Revolving Fund Capitalization Grant for activities such as administration of the SRF Program, operator training and technical assistance, special drinking water projects, and source water assessment. These activities are discussed in “Set-Aside Activities” below.

F. Selection of Systems to Receive Assistance

To the maximum extent possible, the DWSRF gives priority for the use of funds to projects that address the most serious risk to human health and are necessary to ensure compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act.

The criteria for ranking projects give priority to projects that:

1. provide the highest nature of benefit;
2. benefit the most people per dollar expended;
3. assist systems most in need on a per household affordability basis as required by the Safe Drinking Water Act.
4. use consolidation with other systems to correct existing deficiencies and improve management.

These considerations are addressed by the Priority Ranking Criteria found in ADEM Administrative Code R. 335-11-2-.04 and in the DWSRF Pre-Application provided in Attachment 3.

Following completion of the ranking process, the priority list will be reviewed to determine if at least 15% of amount projected to be funded is for public water systems which regularly serve fewer than 10,000 people, as required by the SDWA. If this is not the case, the priority list will be adjusted by exchanging the lowest ranking projects above the funding line that serve 10,000 or more with the highest ranking projects below the funding line that serve fewer than 10,000, until the 15% requirement is satisfied.

When two or more projects score equally under the Project Priority System a tie breaking procedure will be utilized. The project with the smallest number of existing customers served will receive the higher ranking.

A project on the fundable portion of the list may be bypassed and the next eligible project funded if it is determined that the project will not be ready to proceed during the funding year. The Department will give the applicant whose project is to be bypassed written notice. Projects that have been bypassed may be funded at a later date when the project is ready to proceed. Should a system on the funded list decline the loan, the next ranked project shall be offered access to all or a portion of these funds.

G. Inadequate Allocations

If the actual federal DWSRF allocations are less than anticipated by the Department in the development of the DWSRF priority list, the Department may find it necessary to reduce their commitments to projects on the priority list. The Department may take formal action to reduce the number of commitments in accordance with subparagraph 3) of this paragraph.

- 1). The Department may redistribute the DWSRF funds allocated to each project.
- 2). The Department may redistribute funds from lower priority projects to higher priority projects.
- 3). The Department may bypass projects on the priority list in accordance with Section I, below.

H. Unanticipated and Uncommitted Funds

If unanticipated or uncommitted funds become available, the Department may take action to distribute them in accordance with subparagraphs 1-2 of this paragraph:

- 1). The Department may use the unanticipated or uncommitted funds to fund the highest priority project(s) from the priority list.
- 2). The Department may use the unanticipated or uncommitted funds to increase the amount of funds allocated to DWSRF fundable projects or to provide increased assistance to projects which have already received DWSRF assistance.

Additionally, supplemental loans may be made to previous recipients as needed to complete segmented projects or to cover unanticipated cost overruns.

I. Project Bypass/Reallotment:

The Department may bypass any project on the DWSRF Priority List that is not, in the Department's opinion, making satisfactory progress in satisfying requirements for DWSRF assistance. Bypassed projects will be removed from the priority list. In determining whether or not a project is making satisfactory progress in satisfying the requirements for DWSRF assistance, the Department shall use the criteria contained in subparagraphs 1- 6 of this paragraph. Funds released through project bypass will be considered as uncommitted and available for redistribution in accordance with this section.

1. Any project on the DWSRF Priority List may be bypassed if the applicant fails to submit a complete DWSRF application.
2. The Department may use individual project schedules developed by the Department to determine whether or not the project is making satisfactory progress during the fiscal year.
3. In order to comply with EPA certification restrictions related to equivalency requirements, it may be necessary to bypass projects which have not complied with Title II requirements and other federal authorities.
4. Any project on the DWSRF Priority List may be bypassed if the applicant fails to demonstrate the ability to repay the loan.
5. To maintain the fiscal integrity of a leveraged loan program or provide funds for new construction, the Department may choose to bypass projects which involve refinancing of existing debt.
6. Projects may be removed from the priority list at the request of the applicant or if the Department finds that the project is ineligible for DWSRF assistance.

V. Set-Aside Activities

A. Administrative Set-Aside (4% or 1/5% of New Position)

SRF Guidelines allow states to set aside 1/5% of the current position or 4% of the Project Assistance Grant for SRF administrative costs. Administrative funds of \$1,758,520 (4% of the Grant) will be used to pay costs for personnel, travel and training, equipment, supplies, audit fees, and indirect costs associated with implementing the SRF Program.

B. 2% Small Systems Technical Assistance (2%)

The Department will not reserve any funding to provide small systems technical assistance.

C. State Program Management (10%)

SRF Guidelines allow states to set aside up to 10% of the grant for state program management. The Department will reserve \$4,396,300 to provide funding for the State of Alabama Public Water System Supervision (PWSS) program.

D. Local Assistance and Other State Programs (15%)

SRF Guidelines allow states to set aside up to 15% for local assistance and other state programs. The Department will reserve \$60,000 of funding in FY25 to provide assistance to communities for educational events promoting objectives consistent with the Safe Drinking Water Act and to provide manuals for drinking water operators and exam preparation throughout the state.

VI. Certifications

1. The Department certifies that this IUP will be subject to public review and comment with a public notice period of 30 days.
2. The Department certifies that all DWSRF eligible projects in this IUP are on the DWSRF Priority List.
3. The Department certifies that it will implement the State's DWSRF program in compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act, Infrastructure and Investment Jobs Act (IIJA), Civil Rights Act, and to ensure conformance with Federal crosscutting requirements. (Deliverable)
4. The Department certifies to provide DWSRF financial assistance to include additional subsidization in the form of principal forgiveness for 49% of the DWSRF IIJA Capitalization Grant as required by the General Supplemental Additional Subsidization Requirement for the construction of eligible DWSRF projects including water treatment plants, distribution system improvements, and storage.
5. The Department certifies that it will enter into binding commitments for 120% of each payment under the DWSRF capitalization grant within one (1) year after receipt of each payment.
6. The Department certifies that it will expend all funds in the DWSRF in an expeditious and timely manner.
7. The Department certifies that all drinking water facilities in the state are in compliance with enforceable requirements or are making progress toward meeting those requirements except as specifically noted in the IUP.
8. The Department certifies that all facilities funded by the DWSRF shall complete a NEPA-like environmental review process.
9. The Department certifies that is in compliance with the operator certification and capacity development regulations along with the associated reporting requirements.
10. The Department certifies that it will comply with all requirements of the 1998 Operating Agreement with EPA. Note: the state is currently working on an updated Operating Agreement with EPA.

The Department certifies that it will complete a Benefits Assessment worksheet for each loan agreement executed in order to comply with EPA environmental results reporting requirements.

VII. Program Income

The Alabama Drinking Water Finance Authority, with ADEM as its agent, assesses a fee annually based on outstanding principal. These fees vary based on the fiscal year to which the loan agreement was secured. These fees are collected twice a year, when the recipient initiates repayment of the loan. In accordance with EPA regulations, fees collected from loans sourced from outstanding grants will be used for administration of the SRF fund only. All other fees will be used for activities eligible for the DWSRF grant only. The Department expects to receive fees during FY 2025 as follows:

Total Program Income	Program Income Collected During Grant Period	Program Income Collected After Grant Period
\$339,288.88	\$339,288.88	\$0.00

VIII: Estimated DWSRF Capitalization Grant Schedules/Timeline

A. Estimated Grant Draw Schedule

Capitalization Grant

Fiscal Year	Month	Draw
2026	Sept	\$3,381,769
2027	Oct	\$3,381,769
2027	Nov	\$3,381,769
2027	Dec	\$3,381,769
2027	Jan	\$3,381,769
2027	Feb	\$3,381,769
2027	Mar	\$3,381,769
2027	Apr	\$3,381,769
2027	May	\$3,381,769
2027	Jun	\$3,381,769
2027	Jul	\$3,381,769
2027	Aug	\$3,381,769
2027	Sept	\$3,381,769
Total		\$43,963,000

B. Estimated Grant Disbursal Schedule

Capitalization Grant

Payment Quarter	Payment Date	Payment Amount
FY2027/Quarter 1	10/1/2026	\$21,981,500
FY2027/Quarter 3	4/01/2027	\$21,981,500

Payments are defined as increases to the amount of funds available from the federal SRF capitalization grant. This draft payment schedule is based on the State's projection of binding commitments and disbursements from the SRF to the members of the SRF project list. The disbursement schedule will essentially coincide with the grant payment schedule as ACH draw requests will be processed only upon submittal of payment requests from loan recipients for actual costs incurred. Funds from the ACH will be disbursed to the recipient immediately. The disbursement

of funds will be in proportion to the amount of state and federal funds provided by the grant and state match. This will be ensured by disbursing all state match funds prior to drawing capitalization grant funds for project disbursements.

C. Capitalization Grant Budget Periods:

- 2025 EPA DWSRF Capitalization Grant
- September 1, 2026 through August 31, 2033

IX. Public Participation

The IUP will be provided for public notice for a period of 30 days. Currently, Alabama is submitting the draft IUP to apply for provisional funding. The public notice period and response to comments, if received, will be complete prior to distributing funds. Any changes to the IUP, including the addition of projects not listed on the IUP at the time of solicitation for public comment, will require an additional public comment period of 30 days. The Department will re-solicit this IUP for notice if changes are made in future fiscal year(s)

X. Reporting

1. Annual reports are required for the DWSRF IIJA Capitalization Grant. Each annual report is due on December 31 following each fiscal year period. The annual report for the 2025 DWSRF funding will include the results from the period of September 1, 2026 through October 1, 2027 and will be submitted on or before December 30, 2027. The annual report shall provide an update on the deliverables and milestones accomplished by the Department in the fiscal year. Subaward (Set-aside) activities reporting will also be provided in the annual report.
2. The Department will submit information on projects into the DWSRF National Information Management System (NIMS) as binding commitments are entered into with the borrowers as proposed in this IUP. The projects will be updated in NIMS at a maximum of one quarter after the binding commitment close date.
3. During FY26, 1st Quarter, the Department purchased a Toyota Camry for the purpose of the SRF staff's use for conducting inspections, site visits at SRF funded construction sites, and to attend SRF Workshops and/or Trainings and meetings with EPA staff and Community Leaders / SRF borrowers. The sedan was purchased for \$28,748.51 and the Drinking Water Administrative Fee Fund was used.

ATTACHMENT 1A: PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

Project #	Applicant Name	Project Description	City/Town	County	Population	Disadvantaged Rank	Priority Ranking Points	DW SRF Amount Granted	DW SRF PF	DW SRF PF%	Applied for Project Amount	Interest Rate
FS010096-15	Mobile Areas Water & Sewer System (MAWSS) **	Drinking Water Phase 2 Master Plan	Mobile	Mobile	195,457	3.00	80	\$18,500,000	\$0	0%	\$18,500,000	1.99%
FS010387-09	Prichard Water Works and Sewer Board	PWW&SB Lovejoy Loop Water Main Rehabilitation (Phase I)	Prichard	Mobile	19,645	2.51	205	\$400,000	\$400,000	100%	\$4,408,738	NA
FS010387-10	Prichard Water Works and Sewer Board	PWW&SB Technical Assistance	Prichard	Mobile	19,645	2.51	205	\$600,000	\$600,000	100%	\$600,000	NA
FS010403-01	Cedar Bluff, The Utilities Board of the Town of	Water System Improvements	Cedar Bluff	Cherokee	1,700	2.02	200	\$3,200,000	\$1,280,000	40%	\$3,200,000	2.75%
FS010358-02	Perry County Water and Sewer Authority	Water Well Replacement	Marion	Perry	2,500	1.00	180	\$2,500,000	\$2,500,000	100%	\$2,500,000	NA
FS010455-03	Camden, City of	Water System Improvements	Camden	Wilcox	2,128	1.00	SUPP	\$990,400	\$990,400	100%	\$990,400	NA
FS010248-05	Marion, City of	Water System Improvements	Marion	Perry	4,155	2.68	SUPP	\$160,000	\$160,000	100%	\$160,000	NA
FS010456-02	Calhoun County Water Authority	Choccolocco Area Water System Improvements	Alexandria	Calhoun	4,092	1.72	140	\$3,685,000	\$0	0%	\$3,685,000	2.75%
FS010240-03	Mt. Andrew Water Authority	Water System Improvements/Tank Rehab	Midway	Barbour	650	2.70	95	\$389,000	\$389,000	100%	\$389,000	NA
FS010016-03	Demopolis, City of**	Water System Improvements	Demopolis	Marengo	7,520	3.00	95	\$4,000,000	\$4,000,000	100%	\$4,000,000	NA
FS010235-03	Dadeville, City of (for Camp Hill)**	Water System Improvements	Dadeville	Tallapoosa	5,700	2.65	100	\$10,000,000	\$10,000,000	100%	\$10,000,000	NA
FS010311-02	Rockford Utilities Board	Water System Improvements	Rockford	Coosa	3,759	2.89	60	\$1,222,470	\$1,222,470	100%	\$1,222,470	NA
FS010369-01	Sumter County Water Authority	Water System Improvements	Livingston	Sumter	3,227	2.39	85	\$1,296,569	\$0	0%	\$2,610,500	1.99%
FS010108-03	Greenpond Water System	Water System Improvements	Greenpond	Bibb	7,640	2.38	135	\$1,565,044	\$0	0%	\$1,565,044	2.75%
FS010396-01	Big Wills Water Authority	Ridgeville Well	Attalla	Etowah	5,872	2.33	25	\$2,247,117	\$0	0%	\$2,247,117	1.99%
FS010060-06	Albertville, Municipal Utilities Board of the City of, Water System	Albertville WTP Improvements	Albertville	Marshall	22,268	0.74	SUPP	\$2,000,000	\$0	0%	\$2,000,000	1.99%
15 Total Projects												

**Mobile Area Waterworks and Sewer System (MAWSS), Arab Water Works Board, the City of Dadeville and the City of Demopolis were selected as equivalency projects.

\$52,755,600 \$21,541,870 **\$58,078,269**

ATTACHMENT 1B: PROJECT PRIORITY LIST - REALLOCATIONS

Project #	Applicant Name	Project Description	City/Town	County	Population	Disadvantaged Rank	Priority Ranking Points	DW SRF Amount Granted	DW SRF PF	DW SRF PF%	Applied for Project Amount	Interest Rate
FS010435-03	Butler County Water Supply District	County Road 50 Production Wells	Greenville	Butler	231	1.00	SUPP	\$2,479,323	\$0	0%	\$2,479,323	2.75%
FS010133-07	Warrior River Water Authority	WTP Improvements - Supp	Bessemer	Jefferson	26,171	1.00	SUPP	\$2,875,000	\$0	0%	\$2,875,000	1.99%
FS010227-06	Gilbertown, The Utilities Board of the Town of	Melvin Well Rehabilitation	Gilberton	Choctaw	666	2.10	SUPP	\$1,200,000	\$0	0%	\$1,200,000	2.75%
FS010511-04	City of Weaver	New Tank & Pump Station	Weaver	Calhoun	3,364	1.00	SUPP	\$452,800	\$0	0%	\$452,800	2.75%
FS010531-02	Coffeeville, The Utilities Board of the Town of	Water Tank Upgrades	Coffeeville	Clarke	2,500	1.80	55	\$603,400	\$603,400	100%	\$603,400	NA
FS010239-04	Anniston Water Works and Sewer Board	Paul B. Krebs WTP Improvements	Anniston	Calhoun	21,455	1.61	SUPP	\$3,789,331	\$0	0%	\$15,468,490	2.75%
FS010384-03	Wilcox County Water and Sewer District	Water Well Improvements	Camden	Wilcox	8,423	1.00	SUPP	\$2,033,413	\$2,033,413	100%	\$2,083,413	NA
FS010360-03	Randolph County Water, Sewer & Fire Protection Authority	Water Storage Tank	Wedowee	Randolph	863	2.78	35	\$1,500,000	\$0	0%	\$1,500,000	2.50%
FS010202-06	East Central Baldwin County Water, Sewer, and FPA	Replacement Water Supply Well	Robertsdale	Baldwin	6,603	1.00	120	\$1,600,000	\$0	0%	\$1,600,000	2.75%
FS010286-02	Forkland, Town of	New Water Well	Forkland	Greene	905	1.00	SUPP	\$1,124,000	\$1,124,000	100%	\$1,124,000	NA
FS010382-02	St. Stephens Water System	New Water Well	St. Stephens	Washington		1.00	170	\$810,000	\$0	0%	\$810,000	2.20%
FS010387-09	Prichard Water Works and Sewer Board	PWW&SB Lovejoy Loop Pipe Water Main Rehabilitation(Phase I)	Prichard	Mobile	19,645	2.51	205	\$2,004,369	\$2,004,369	100%	\$4,408,738	NA
FS010369-01	Sumter County Water Authority	Water System Improvements	Livingston	Sumter	3,227	2.39	85	\$1,222,592	\$0	0%	\$2,610,500	1.99%
FS010295-02	Union Springs Utilities Board	Water System Improvements	Union Springs	Bullock	3,358	1.02	SUPP	\$1,737,702	\$0	0%	\$1,737,702	2.75%
FS010372-02	Aliceville Water Works and Sewer Board	Aliceville Snoddy Well #2 Project	Aliceville	Tuscaloosa	2,244	1.00	15	\$122,993	\$122,993	100%	\$122,993	NA
FS010259-02	Arab Water Works Board**	Water System Improvements	Arab	Cullman	8,462	1.71	70	\$11,500,000	\$0	0	\$23,087,500	2.75%
16 Total Projects								\$35,054,923				

NOTE: ALL PROJECTS LISTED IN THIS TABLE ARE NEW PROJECTS UTILIZING FUNDS FROM PAST IUPs FROM SYSTEMS WHO DETERMINED NOT TO USE THE ALLOCATED LOAN FUNDS. THE FUNDS ALLOCATED IN THIS TABLE REPRESENT A PORTION OF THE EXISTING "UNCOMMITTED FUND" BALANCE.

conversion of the Chlorine Gas Disinfection to Bulk Sodium Hypochlorite. The proposed project would address concerns related to the watershed, emergency power, and disinfection system improving water quality throughout the system and multiple other systems which currently purchase water.

Wilcox County Water and Sewer District – Water Well Improvements

The Wilcox County Water and Sewer District proposes a project to upgrade existing water sources and install a new source to meet the water system capacity needs. The proposed project includes drilling new wells at the existing well sites with larger diameters to increase production and constructing a new well with treatment in the Catherine Area. The proposed project will reduce reliability on neighboring water systems to meet to the water system capacity needs and provide WCWSD with the necessary capacity to service their customers.

Randolph County Water, Sewer & Fire Protection Authority – Water Storage Tank

The Randolph County Water, Sewer & Fire Protection Authority proposed project is to provide an affordable, reliable, and safe supply of drinking water to Randolph County residents by adding new water storage tank that will allow for continual high-quality service to the Authority's customers.

Forkland, Town of - New Water Well

The Town of Forkland proposes to construct a new water supply well. Since the existing well site is no longer viable, a site has been selected approximately 1-mile north of the existing well site for the construction of the new water supply well.

St. Stephens Water System - New Water Well

St. Stephens Water System proposes to install a new water well which will improve water capabilities for the St. Stephens Water System. The population of the service area is approximately 650 and the system maintains approximately 315 active water service accounts. The water system also supplies water to the neighboring Frankville and Hobson Water Systems. The proposed project would replace Well 1, which is nearing failure and currently allowing sand to enter the well due to collapsed well screens. This condition poses a significant risk to the system's ability to deliver safe and reliable water and threatens the continued operation of the well.

Prichard Water Works and Sewer Board - Technical Assistance

The Prichard Water Works and Sewer Board proposes to conduct an Engineering and Operations study to determine the most effective and efficient approach to address the drinking water and sewer issues. Prichard has requested and is receiving expertise of the Mobile Area Water Works and Sewer System professionals as they perform this study.

Mobile, AL (MAWSS), Board of Water and Sewer Commissioners of the City of - Master Plan SRF Wastewater Projects Phase II – Years 2024 -2027 (SUPP)

The Mobile Board of Water and Sewer Commissioners (MAWSS) proposes implementation of Mobile's DWSRF Master Plan Phase II to address the following projects: Big Creek Lake Boom and Storage for Hazardous Spill Containment, Big Creek Lake Dam Toe of Berm Modification, E.M. Stickney WTP Settling Basin Structural Rehab, Big Creek Lake Early Contaminant Warning System, E.M. Stickney Filter Rehabilitation, Big Creek Lake Emergency By-Pass Connections, Stickney On-Site Hypochlorite Generation, Meyers WTP Filter Rehabilitation, Replace Gaillard Pump Station Pumps, Valves, and Fittings, Stickney WTP Liquid Lime Facility Installation, Stickney WTP Redundant Solids Clarifier, Various Critical Valve Replacement in Distribution System, Various Water Main Replacements, Water Treatment Plant Security Upgrades, Secondary Raw Water Source Treatment and Conveyance Systems, and Water Treatment Plant Paving.

Union Springs Utilities Board - Water System Improvements

The Union Springs Utilities Board proposes to rehabilitate the water distribution system by replacing leaking water mains and service laterals that have exceed their design life.

Aliceville Water Works and Sewer Board – Snoddy Well #2 Project

The Aliceville Water Works and Sewer Board proposes a new drinking water production well with treatment to meet the demands of its customers. Completion of the project will allow the Aliceville WW&SB to provide a sufficient quantity and quality drinking water source to the service area.

Cedar Bluff, The Utilities Board of the Town of - Water System Improvements

The Utilities Board of the Town of Cedar Bluff proposes to construct a new elevated water storage tank and install a new booster pump station. The proposed project is planned to provide system-wide pressure stability and uninterrupted fire protection capabilities.

Perry County Water and Sewer Authority (PCWA) - Water Well Replacement

The Perry County Water and Sewer Authority proposes to address the emergency replacement of Drinking Water Well Number 3 which is located in the southwest portion of the system on County Road 23. This well has suffered a catastrophic failure and is in dire need of repair.

Camden, City of- Water System Improvements

The City of Camden proposes to add SCADA controls, a Water Booster Station, and a Generator to Well # 5 that is being constructed now, the city will conduct rehabilitation activities at Well #3 and Well #4 to include new pumps and piping and electrical controls.

Marion, City of - Water System Improvements

The City of Marion proposed a Phase I upgrade to its Water Treatment Plant to address critical needs. This project funding is for additional funds required to complete the on-going project addressing the chemical feed system at the plant; flash mixer; flocculators; filter monitoring equipment; partial SCADA upgrades; install sludge collection system, install fluoridation equipment and partial emphasis on well (source) rehabilitation work. Completion of this work will help the City to achieve compliance with its permit.

Arab Water Works Board – Water System Improvements

The Arab Water Works Board proposes to make improvements to their drinking water treatment and distribution. The proposed work includes drinking water transmission line replacement, addition of interconnections to the existing system and adjacent systems, upgrades to the water treatment plant, and water meter replacement. System improvements also include upgrades to pumping equipment, electrical systems and controls, variable frequency drive units, and SCADA. The replacement of existing asbestos-cement pipelines and the addition of interconnections will improve water quality and sustainability. Improvements to the plant and general water system will improve water age, quality, system efficiency, and system reliability.

Calhoun County Water Authority - Choccolocco Area Water System Improvements

The Calhoun County Water Authority proposes to make improvements to drinking water storage and transmission mains. The proposed project includes the construction of a new ground storage tank and construction of new transmission mains. The new transmission mains will create looping in the system and create connections to a recently constructed well and the proposed ground storage tank. These improvements will allow for improved hydraulics in the system and provide resiliency in the operation of the system.

Mt. Andrew Water Authority – Water System Improvements/Tank Rehab

The Mt. Andrew Water Authority proposes replace aging water mains that have exceed their design life and are routinely resulting in leaks and inadequate water pressures.

Demopolis, City of – Water System Improvements

The City of Demopolis proposes to rehabilitate Elevated Water Storage Tank #2 located on Highway 80 and rehabilitating the aged portions of the potable water distribution system to include valves that are failing, replacement of existing cast iron, ductile iron, and polyvinyl chloride (PVC) water mains that are failing. Also, installation of flush hydrants at strategic locations in the system to ensure the distribution system is able to be properly flushed.

North Baldwin Utilities – Durbin Fork & Dogwood Fork Roads Extension

North Baldwin Utilities proposes to add a water line extension which will complete a loop within the system and addresses current water needs to citizens who currently rely on private water wells and live off of Durbin Fork Rd or Dogwood Fork Rd.

Dadeville, City of (for the Town of Camp Hill) – Water System Improvements

The City of Dadeville proposes a project to upgrade water distribution and storage in the Town of Camp Hill. The proposed scope of work includes multiple tank rehabilitations, water meter replacement, transmission main replacement, multiple booster pump station rehabilitations, and backup power. The proposed project will reduce water loss, improve accuracy of water usage for billing purposes, increase system reliability and efficiency, and maintain drinking water compliance.

Rockford Utilities Board – Water System Improvements

The Rockford Utility Board proposes a project to improve the water distribution. The proposed scope of work includes replacement of existing water lines in the downtown area of the system with 6” C900 PVC water lines, flushing hydrants, and new water valves including booster pump rehabilitations and installation of emergency backup generators in case of system outage. The proposed project will reduce water loss, improve system reliability and efficiency.

East Central Baldwin County Water, Sewer, and FPA – Replacement Water Supply Well

The East Central Baldwin County Water, Sewer, and FPA proposes to install a new deep water supply well to replace an existing well with declining viability due to severe manganese and iron contamination. The proposed project will provide reliable and safe water for the systems customers.

Sumter County Water Authority (SCWA) – Water System Improvements

The Sumter County Water Authority proposes address areas within the SCWA water system which either have inadequate water supply volumes or have water pressure issues which are due primarily to undersized water mains or existing water main materials. Portions of the proposed project will resolve the issues in these areas by replacing undersized and older portions of the system’s water mains with appropriately sized water mains constructed of modern materials which will be designed to meet customer needs and enhance system sustainability. Proposed new water mains will also be constructed for the purpose of connecting portions of the system together to better utilize source water well capabilities to provide redundancy and supply the system more efficiently.

Greenpond Water System – Water System Improvements

The Greenpond Water System proposes a project to upgrade the distribution system. The proposed project includes upgrading deteriorating water mains through the distribution system. The proposed project will reduce water loss due to leaks and provide reliable water service.

Big Wills Water Authority – Ridgeville Well

The Big Wills Water Authority proposes to construct a new water supply well. This project will include locating a suitable location for the well before constructing a well based on ADEM drinking water standards. A well building will be constructed with a backup generator and piping, with waterlines to connect to the existing water system. The proposed project will provide a new water source to the Big Wills Water Authority and increase reliability of the system.

Albertville, Municipal Utilities Board of the City of, Water System – Albertville WTP Improvements

The Municipal Utilities Board of the City of Albertville proposes improvements at the Albertville Water Treatment Plant (WTP) to include a new 2 MGD clearwell, filter rehabilitation of the four filters at the 9 MGD WTP, and a new backwash pump and pipeline to the 9 MGD WTP filters. The new clearwell will provide redundant storage capability to allow the existing 2MGD clearwell to be removed from service for maintenance or for emergency repairs. It will also improve disinfection contact time and permit a wider operating range for clearwell storage capacity and disinfection dosage rates. The proposed improvements will increase filter run time and provide a more efficient backwash process. The new backwash pipeline to the 9 MGD WTP will allow the consolidation of the Albertville WTP backwash facilities to one location at the combined Finished Water Pumping Station.

Prichard Water Works and Sewer Board(Phase I) - PWW&SB Lovejoy Loop Water Main Rehabilitation

The Prichard Water Works and Sewer Board proposes to begin a three phase construction project to replace a large portion of 4-inch through 12-inch waterlines due to the reported frequency of repairs and leaks. Waterlines in this area are 80 years old and deteriorated to a point where they need to be replaced in order to provide adequate service without continual water loss issues. This project will be in two main stages with the first being design and the second consisting of three (3) construction phases of similar cost of which this is Phase I.



Form 370: Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Preapplication

Project Name	
Assistance Amount Requested	\$
Date Submitted	



Submit Complete Preapplication to:	
Preferred method By email:	srf@adem.alabama.gov
By overnight mail:	1400 Coliseum Boulevard Montgomery, Alabama 36110-2400 (334) 271-7714
By mail:	SRF Section Alabama Department of Environmental Management Post Office Box 301463 Montgomery, Alabama 36130-1463

Section 1: Contact Information

Loan Applicant

Applicant Name			
Authorized Representative (Signatory of Loan Agreement)		Title of Authorized Representative	
Email Address		Telephone Number	
Contact Person (Daily SRF Communications)		Title of Contact Person	
Email Address		Telephone Number	
Mailing Address		City, Zip Code	
County		UEI Number	
Fax Number		PWSID Number	
AL House District(s)		AL Senate District(s)	
Total Number of System Connections (Current)		Population of System	

Project Engineer:

Firm Name	
Address	
City, State, Zip code	
Engineer Name	
Telephone Number	
Email Address	
Fax Number	

ATTACHMENT 2 – DW IJA PROJECT PRIORITY DESCRIPTIONS

East Central Baldwin – County Water Authority

East Central Baldwin proposes to install a new deep water supply well to replace an existing well with declining viability due to severe manganese and iron contamination. The proposed project will provide reliable and safe water for the systems customers.

Butler County Water Supply District– County Road 50 Production Wells

Butler County Water Supply District proposes to construct two new production wells, along with the required treatment facility and infrastructure. The proposed scope of work includes 2 production wells and associated new water transmission mains and a new Elevated Water Tank with a new Ground Water Well Treatment Facility. These proposed improvements will optimize the efficiency of the well systems and extend the life of this critical water system.

Warrior River Water Authority – WTP Improvements

The Warrior River Water Authority proposes to increase the water treatment plant capacity to provide better redundancy for the loss of a groundwater source. The proposed project would increase system redundancy and resiliency of all customers.

Gilbertown, The Utilities Board of the Town of – Water Meter Replacement & Well Replacement

The Utilities Board of the Town of Gilbertown proposes replace the failed Melvin Water Supply Well. The Melvin Well has been down for several years and causing the system to strain to meet water demands. This source of funding is supplemental to the previous loan.

City of Weaver – New Tank & Pump Station

The City of Weaver proposes to construct an 85-foot tall, 125,000-gallon standpipe with an overflow elevation of 980.00 adjacent to Tank No. 1 on Water Tower Road including a package type booster pump station and minor modifications and extensions of water mains and valves. The purpose project will increase water pressure to the low-pressure area to meet or exceed the ADEM minimum water pressure requirement.

Coffeerville, The Utilities Board of the Town of – Water Tank Upgrades

The Utilities Board of the Town of Coffeerville proposes to rehabilitate the two elevated water storage tanks. The Town Hall Tank is an elevated storage tank with a capacity of 60,000 gallons. The Centerpoint Tank is an elevated storage tank with a capacity of 200,000 gallons. Both tanks are in critical need of cleaning, sand blasting, and coating in order to prolong the useful life of each storage tank.

Anniston Water Works and Sewer Board – Paul B. Krebs WTP Improvements

The Anniston Water Works and Sewer Board proposes to rehabilitate the Paul B. Krebs Water Treatment Plant including a new finished water pump station, new stand-by generator, general site improvements for continued protection of the Coldwater Spring Watershed, and

Section 2: Project Information

For the following questions, please attach additional pages if adequate space is not provided on this form:

Break down the total project cost (categories should sum to 100%) and list all other funding sources to be utilized to complete this project.

Treatment:	%	Other Funding Source(s)	Amount(s)	Commitment Date
Distribution:	%			
Source:	%			
Storage:	%			

Enter the Median Household Income (MHI) for the affected community:	Enter the Average Annual Household Water Bill Based on 5,000 Gal Usage:
Source:	Source:
\$	\$

Priority Ranking System

The following factors are used to rank the proposed project and will ultimately determine if the project is fundable. The applicant must provide documentation where required in order to receive credit.

*Any ranking criteria that cannot be verified through supporting documentation by the Department will be awarded zero points.

A. Enforcement and Compliance Rating Criteria (Maximum: 50 points)*

	Ranking Criteria	Point Value
1	The system is under formal enforcement action by ADEM. Completion of the project will return the system to compliance.	50
2	The project is a voluntary effort to resolve noncompliance and will mitigate the issuance of a formal enforcement action.	40
3	The system is currently in compliance but will be in imminent noncompliance without the proposed project.	25

B. Drinking Water Contaminants Criteria (Maximum: 150 points)*

	Ranking Criteria	Point Value
1	The system has current primary MCL violations and completion of the project will return the system to compliance.	100
2	The system has current secondary MCL violations and completion of the project will return the system to compliance.	50
3	The primary purpose of the project is to extend service to persons presently served by contaminated wells.	50

C. Water/Energy Efficiency Rating (Maximum: 45 points)*

Ranking Criteria		Point Value
1	The project significantly reduces water loss. The unaccounted reported water loss during the last 12-month period was: 50% or higher 35% - 49% 20% - 34% 15% - 19%	25 20 10 5
2	The project incorporates energy efficient design considerations with established objectives and targets for energy reduction opportunities.	5
3	The project uses renewable energy such as wind, solar, geothermal, hydroelectric, micro-hydroelectric, biogas combined heat and power (CHP) systems, or biofuels production to provide power to a drinking water treatment plant.	5
4	The project implements upgrades to pumps and treatment processes which result in: a) 20% or greater reduction in energy consumption at a drinking water treatment plant. b) 10-20% reduction in energy consumption at a drinking water treatment plant, or 20% or greater reduction in energy consumption at a remote pump station.	10 5

D. Capacity and Pressure Criteria (Maximum: 100 points) *

Ranking Criteria		Point Value
1	The system lacks adequate capacity to provide safe drinking water. Completion of the project will restore capacity to existing customers.	100
2	The project will mitigate pressure readings of <20 psi at 50 or more customer meters.	50
3	The project will mitigate pressure readings of <20 psi at 10-49 customer meters.	25

E. System Consolidation Criteria (Maximum: 100 points) *

Ranking Criteria		Point Value
1	The project will result in the elimination of at least one public water system.	100
2	The project will establish a new interconnection between two water systems, where the beneficiary water system (or portion of the beneficiary water system) is served by only one source.	25

F. Sustainability Criteria (50 possible bonus points) *

Ranking Criteria		Point Value
1	The project implements one or more of the following planning methodologies: a) Asset Management Plan	10
2	The project includes one or several of the following design considerations: a) LEED certified or other ADEM-approved green building techniques. b) Project envelope is located in a previously developed area. c) Use of environmentally friendly post-consumer recycled or reclaimed materials.	5 5 5
3	The project incorporates at least one of the following construction methods: • Innovative erosion control practices; • Protection of onsite trees, vegetation, native habitats and urban forests; or • Replanting of disturbed areas with native plant species.	5
4	The project will utilize one or more of the following water conservation strategies: a) Incorporates sustainable water pricing practices and rate structures. b) Completion of EPA's Water Quality Scorecard (see http://www.epa.gov/smartgrowth/water_scorecard.htm).	10 5

G. Reporting Criteria (Maximum point reduction: 30) *

Ranking Criteria		Point Reduction
1	The system was cited during the last twelve months for late submittal of Monthly Operating Reports (MOR) or Consumer Confidence Reports (CCR), or was cited for a monitoring/reporting violation.	-10
2	The system was sent a Drinking Water Needs Survey and/or a Clean Watershed Needs Survey in the last four years and failed to return a completed survey.	-20

H. Affordability Criteria (Maximum: 60 points)

Ranking Criteria	Point Value
Divide the Average Annual Household Water Bill by the Median Household Income (from Page 2) and multiply by 100%:	
2.50% or higher	60
2.00 – 2.49%	40
1.50 – 1.99%	20
Less than 1.50%	0

I. Infrastructure Improvement Criteria*

Ranking Criteria	Point Value
1 Construction of a new water treatment plant	20
2 Level of treatment upgrade to an existing water treatment plant	15
3 Modifications to address disinfection byproduct requirements	25
4 Replacement of water lines due to age, leaks, breaks, or lead or asbestos-cement pipe	10
5 Installation of new water lines, where none existed previously	5
6 Rehabilitation or replacement of a water storage tank	15
7 Installation of a new water storage tank	10
8 New or upgraded pump station (not associated with a tank project)	5
9 Security improvements to a water system	5
10 Emergency power generators	5
11 Construction of a new well	15
12 Rehabilitation/upgrade of an existing well	10
13 Installation of green stormwater infrastructure at a water treatment plant	5
14 Installation of water meters in previously unmetered areas, or replacement of traditional water meters with AMR or smart meters	10
15 Water meter replacement with traditional meters	5
16 Installation or retrofitting water efficient devices such as plumbing fixtures and appliances (toilets, showerheads, urinals)	5
17 Replacement of (potable) landscape irrigation with more efficient landscape irrigation systems	5
18 Recycling and water reuse projects that replace potable sources with non-potable sources (grey water, wastewater effluent)	10
19 Installation or upgrade of SCADA systems	15

Sum the points from each category below.

Part A: Enforcement and Compliance (50 points maximum)	
Part B: Drinking Water Contaminants (150 points maximum)	
Part C: Water/Energy Efficiency (45 points maximum)	
Part D: Capacity and Pressure (100 points maximum)	
Part E: System Consolidation (50 points maximum)	
Part F: Sustainability (50 bonus points maximum)	
Part G: Reporting (Maximum Reduction of 30)	
Part H: Affordability (60 points maximum)	
Part I: Infrastructure Improvement	
TOTAL POINTS CLAIMED:	

This form should be signed by the official who is authorized to execute contracts on behalf of the applicant jurisdiction. **ONE SIGNED COPY (including attachments)** should be emailed to the address shown on Page 1 of this form.

Attachments to be included with this form:

1. **Preliminary Engineering Report (PER Outline PER Format Below (Preferred))**
2. **Copies of last three (3) years of audited financial statements (if available)**

Preliminary Engineering Report Outline:

1. **Description of Project**
 - a. Brief description and background of project
 - b. Purpose of project
 - c. Location of project
 - d. Project Scope
 - e. Average annual household water bill
 - f. Population and median household income
2. **Proposed Improvements**
 - a. System connections and connections that benefit from construction
 - b. System plan for water conservation
 - c. Proposed operation and management
 - d. Improvements to system
3. **Project Maps**
 - a. Include all affected water bodies
4. **Projected Outlay Schedule**
5. **Cost Breakdown**
 - a. Estimated cost outline for entire project
6. **Supporting Documentation*** for priority points claimed, as required above. Any points claimed that cannot be readily substantiated from the information submitted will not be counted. The Department reserves the right to make the final determination of all points awarded.

The undersigned representative of the applicant certifies that the information in the application and in the attached statements and exhibits is true, correct and complete to the best of the applicant's knowledge, information and belief.

Signature of Authorized Representative	Print or Type Name
Title	Date