

2019 Monitoring Summary



Ecological Reference Reach

Brushy Creek at Winston County Road 3159. (34.25300/87.24700)

BACKGROUND

Brushy Creek is among the least-disturbed watersheds within the Dissected Plateau ecoregion, based on landuse, road density, and population density. Since 2015, it has been monitored by the Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM) as a “high quality” reference watershed for comparison with other streams within the ecoregion. Habitat and macroinvertebrate community surveys were conducted to assess the health of the biological communities. Data from reference watersheds are used to characterize natural or background conditions expected in different ecoregions throughout the state. Brushy Creek was sampled in 2019 to provide high-quality reference reach data for Dissected Plateau streams.



Figure 1. Brushy Creek at BRSW-2, June 11, 2019.

WATERSHED CHARACTERISTICS

Watershed characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Brushy Creek is a *Fish & Wildlife (F&W)* stream located inside the Bankhead National Forest near Addison, AL in the Black Warrior River basin. According to the 2011 National Land Cover Dataset, the watershed is mostly forested, with approximately 92% forest. There are no permitted outfalls. This watershed is of exceptional quality and is very sparsely populated and has undergone very little development. The watershed has a very low road density.

REACH CHARACTERISTICS

General observations (Figure 1, Table 2) and a habitat survey (Table 3) were completed during the macroinvertebrate assessment. In comparison with reference reaches in the same ecoregion, they give an indication of the physical condition of the site and the quality and availability of habitat. Like other streams in ecoregion 68e, Brushy Creek at BRSW-2 is a high gradient stream characterized predominantly by a boulder and cobble substrate. Overall habitat quality and availability has been rated as *Sub-optimal* for supporting the macroinvertebrate communities due to a large riparian buffer, low sediment deposition, and stable banks.

Table 1. Summary of general watershed characteristics: BRSW-2

Watershed Characteristics	
Basin	Black Warrior
Drainage Area (mi²)	59.8
Ecoregion^a	68e
Assessment Unit	AL03160110-0203-103
Use Class	F&W
AU Category	1
12-digit Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC)	031601100201
Conservation Status	
Strategic Habitat Unit †	22 Upper Sipsey Fork
Landuse Categories (2011 National Land Cover Dataset)	
Open Water (%)	0.1
Wetland, Total (%)	0.7
Wetlands, Woody (%)	0.7
Wetlands, Emergent Herbaceous (%)	<1
Forested, Total (%)	92.2
Forested, Deciduous (%)	32.9
Forested, Evergreen (%)	34.9
Forested, Mixed (%)	24.4
Shrub/Scrub (%)	2.2
Grassland/Herbaceous (%)	0.7
Pasture/Hay (%)	2.0
Crops, Cultivated (%)	0.1
Developed, Total (%)	2.0
Developed, Open Space (%)	1.9
Developed, Low Intensity (%)	<1
Developed, Medium Intensity (%)	<1
Developed, High Intensity (%)	<1
Barren Land (Rock, Sand, Clay) (%)	<1
Population/km² (2010 US Census)	1
Roads	
Road Density	0.7
# Road Crossings per Stream km	0.1
Watershed Disturbance Score*	31
Watershed Disturbance Category*	1

^a Dissected Plateau

† 12-digit HUC located in a Strategic Habitat Unit.

* Measure of watershed disturbance based on landuse, population, and road density summarized in this table.

Table 2. Physical characteristics of Brushy Creek at BRSW-2, June 19, 2019.

Physical Characteristics	
Width (ft)	30
Canopy Cover	Mostly Open
Depth (ft)	
Riffle	0.5
Run	1.0
Pool	2.0
% of Reach	
Riffle	10
Run	30
Pool	60
% Substrate	
Boulder	25
Cobble	24
Gravel	46
Sand	40
Silt	1
Organic Matter	4

Table 3. Results of the habitat assessment survey conducted on Brushy Creek at BRSW-2, June 19, 2019.

Habitat Survey	% Max Score	Rating
Instream Habitat Quality	73	Sub-optimal (55-74.999)
Sediment Deposition	55	Sub-optimal (55-74.999)
Riffle Frequency	53	Marginal/Sub-optimal (50-54.999)
Bank Vegetative Stability	71	Sub-optimal (55-74.999)
Riparian Zone Measurements	91	Optimal (80-100)
Habitat Assessment Score	141	
% Maximum Score	71	Sub-optimal (55-74.999)

BIOASSESSMENT RESULTS

The benthic macroinvertebrate community was sampled using ADEM’s Intensive Multi-habitat Bioassessment methodology (WMB-I). Measures of taxonomic richness, community composition and pollution tolerance were used to assess the overall health of the macroinvertebrate community in comparison to conditions expected in central Alabama streams and rivers. Each site is placed in one of six levels ranging from 1, or *natural*, to 6, or *highly altered*.

The macroinvertebrate community on Brushy Creek at BRSW-2 was rated as *good-excellent*, with 88 total taxa, 9 rare, highly sensitive taxa (Attribute 2), 24 ubiquitous, sensitive taxa (Attribute 3), and 14 Attribute 2 and 3 EPT. The community was comprised of 37% attribute 2 and 3 taxa (Table 4).

Table 4. Results of the macroinvertebrate survey conducted on Brushy Creek at BRSW-2, June 19, 2019.

Macroinvertebrate Assessment	Results
Taxonomic richness and diversity metrics	
Total # taxa	88
# rare and highly sensitive taxa	9
# sensitive taxa	33
# sensitive EPT taxa	14
Percent taxon metrics	
% sensitive EPT taxa	16
% sensitive taxa	38
% rare and highly sensitive taxa	10
% tolerant taxa	10
Percent individual metrics	
% rare and highly sensitive individuals	7
% sensitive individuals	14
% sensitive EPT individuals	6
% tolerant individuals	9
WMB-I Survey Score	3+
WMB-I Survey Rating	Good-excellent (2.3-2.75)

WATER CHEMISTRY

Results of water chemistry analyses are summarized in Table 5. In situ measurements and water samples were collected during April, June, August and October 2019 to help characterize the reach. E.coli was documented as exceeding the *F&W* single sample criterion during the October sampling. All other parameters are within the expected values for ecoregion 68e.

SUMMARY

The ADEM monitors Brushy Creek as a “high-quality” reference reach for comparison with other streams in the Dissected Plateau ecoregion. Brushy Creek at BRSW-2 is typical of streams in the region, characterized by high gradient streams with a boulder and cobble substrate.

Water quality conditions are very high. E.coli was documented as exceeding the *F&W* single sample criterion during the October sampling. The overall habitat quality and availability has been rated as *Sub-optimal* for supporting the macroinvertebrate communities, which is rated as *Good-Excellent* due to high diversity and taxa richness within the reach.

Table 5. Summary of water quality data collected during April, June, August and October, 2019. Minimum (Min) and maximum (Max) values calculated using minimum detection limits (MDL) when results were less than this value. Median, average (Avg), and standard deviations (SD) values were calculated by multiplying the MDL by 0.5 when results were less than this value.

BRSW-2 AL03160110-0203-103	N	Min	Max	Med	Avg	SD	E	10thP	90thP
Physical									
Temperature (°C)	5	10.8	24.4	21.3	19.4	5.9		10.8	24.4
Turbidity (NTU)	5	2.6	6.5	3.8	4.2	1.6		2.6	6.5
Total Dissolved Solids (mg/L)	4	22.0	34.0	26.5	27.2	5.0		22.0	34.0
Total Suspended Solids (mg/L)	4	1.0	3.0	1.5	1.6	1.1		0.5	3.0
Specific Conductance (µmhos/cm)	5	24.9	39.1	35.6	34.1	5.4		24.9	39.1
Hardness (mg/L)	4	8.5	12.7	11.7	11.2	1.9		8.5	12.7
Alkalinity (mg/L)	4	6.5	12.1	11.3	10.3	2.6		6.5	12.1
Measured Stream Flow (cfs)	5	7.2	36.5	11.8	16.6	11.8		7.2	36.5
Chemical									
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	5	7.4	11.3	8.5	8.8	1.4		7.4	11.3
pH (SU)	5	6.7	7.6	7.0	7.0	0.3		6.7	7.6
Ammonia Nitrogen (mg/L)	4	<0.004	<0.016	0.008	0.006	0.003		0.002	0.008
Nitrate+Nitrite Nitrogen (mg/L)	4	0.059	0.137	0.088	0.093	0.034		0.059	0.137
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (mg/L)	4	<0.075	0.224	0.100	0.115	0.078		0.038	0.224
Total Nitrogen (mg/L)	4	<0.096	0.326	0.206	0.209	0.097		0.096	0.326
Dis Reactive Phosphorus (mg/L)	4	<0.005	<0.005	0.002	0.002	0.000		0.002	0.002
Total Phosphorus (mg/L)	4	0.006	0.017	0.010	0.011	0.004		0.006	0.017
TOC (mg/L)	4	1.4	3.5	2.9	2.6	0.9		1.4	3.5
CBOD-5 (mg/L)	4	<2.0	<2.0	1.0	1.0	0.0		1.0	1.0
COD (mg/L)	4	4.6	10.7	8.8	8.2	2.6		4.6	10.7
Chlorides (mg/L)	4	1.2	2.1	1.3	1.5	0.4		1.2	2.1
Sulfate (mg/L)	4	1.67	2.77	2.42	2.32	0.52		1.67	2.77
Total Metals									
Aluminum (T) (mg/L)	4	0.090	0.185	0.145	0.141	0.050		0.090	0.185
Iron (T) (mg/L)	4	0.194	0.613	0.450	0.426	0.210		0.194	0.613
Manganese (T) (mg/L)	4	<0.004	<0.004	0.002	0.002	0.000		0.002	0.002
Dissolved Metals									
Aluminum (mg/L)	4	0.041	0.082	0.051	0.056	0.018		0.041	0.082
Antimony (µg/L)	4	<1.480	<1.480	0.740	0.740	0.000		0.740	0.740
Arsenic (µg/L)	4	<0.689	<0.689	0.344	0.344	0.000		0.344	0.344
Cadmium (µg/L)	4	<0.726	<0.726	0.363	0.363	0.000		0.363	0.363
Chromium (µg/L)	4	<0.793	<0.793	0.396	0.396	0.000		0.396	0.396
Copper (µg/L)	4	<1.190	<1.190	0.595	0.595	0.000		0.595	0.595
Iron (mg/L)	4	0.084	0.359	0.270	0.246	0.129		0.084	0.359
Lead (µg/L)	4	<0.697	<0.697	0.348	0.348	0.000		0.348	0.348
Manganese (mg/L)	4	<0.004	<0.004	0.002	0.002	0.000		0.002	0.002
Nickel (µg/L)	4	<1.960	<1.960	0.980	0.980	0.000		0.980	0.980
Selenium (µg/L)	4	<1.900	<1.900	0.950	0.950	0.000		0.950	0.950
Silver (µg/L)	4	<1.350	<1.350	0.675	0.675	0.000		0.675	0.675
Thallium (µg/L)	4	<0.641	<0.641	0.320	0.320	0.000		0.320	0.320
Zinc (µg/L)	4	<2.340	<2.340	1.170	1.170	0.000		1.170	1.170
Biological									
Chlorophyll a (mg/m³)	4	<1.001	2.14	1.60	1.46	0.82		0.50	2.14
E. coli (MPN/DL)	4	27.5	2419.6 ^H	145.2	684.4	1159.7	1	27.5	2419.6

E=# of samples that exceed criterion.; H=Human Heath criteria; J=estimate; N=# samples

FOR MONITORING INFORMATION, CONTACT:
Brien Diggs, ADEM Environmental Indicators Section
1350 Coliseum Boulevard, Montgomery AL 36109
(334) 260-2750 LOD@adem.alabama.gov