Draft

Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) for Channahatchee Creek

Assessment Unit ID # AL03150110-0402-102

Elmore County

Pathogens (*E. coli*)

Alabama Department of Environmental Management
Water Quality Branch
Water Division
March 2025

Figure 1-1 Map of the Channahatchee Creek Watershed



Table of Contents

List of Figures

List of Tables

1.0 Executive Summary

Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Water Quality Planning and Management Regulations (40 CFR Part 130) require states to identify waterbodies which are not meeting their designated uses and to determine the total maximum daily load (TMDL) for pollutants causing the use impairment. A TMDL is the sum of individual waste load allocations (WLAs) for point sources, load allocations (LAs) for nonpoint sources including natural background levels, and a margin of safety (MOS).

Channahatchee Creek, part of the Tallapoosa River basin, is currently included on Alabama's §303(d) list for pathogens (*E. coli*) from its source to Yates Lake. Channahatchee Creek's headwaters begin northwest of Eclectic, Alabama, and it flows southeast to the Tallapoosa River (Yates Lake). The total length of Channahatchee Creek is 17.31 miles, and the total drainage area of the Channahatchee Creek watershed is 42.79 square miles. Channahatchee Creek has a use classification of Fish and Wildlife (F&W).

Channahatchee Creek was first included on the §303(d) list for pathogens in 2018 based on ADEM monitoring data collected in 2015 at station CHNE-18. Channahatchee Creek has subsequently been listed for pathogens on the 2020, 2022, and 2024 §303(d) lists of impaired waterbodies.

In 2023, sampling studies were performed by ADEM to further assess the water quality of the impaired stream. For the purposes of this TMDL, the 2023 data will be used to assess the water quality of Channahatchee Creek because it provides the best picture of the current water quality of the stream. The 2024 edition of *Alabama's Water Quality Assessment and Listing Methodology*, prepared by ADEM, provides the rationale for the Department to use the most recent data to prepare a TMDL for an impaired waterbody. This TMDL will be developed from *E. coli* data collected at station CHNE-18. This bacterial data is listed in Appendix 7.2, Table 7-2 for reference. ADEM collected 15 *E. coli* samples and conducted two geometric mean studies on Channahatchee Creek during 2023. According to the data, Channahatchee Creek was not meeting the pathogen criteria applicable to its use classification of F&W. Therefore, this TMDL has been developed for pathogens (*E. coli*) for the listed reach.

A mass balance approach was used for calculating the pathogen TMDL for Channahatchee Creek. The mass balance approach utilizes the conservation of mass principle. The TMDL was calculated using the single sample or geometric mean sample exceedance event which resulted in the highest percent reduction. Existing loads were calculated by multiplying the *E. coli* concentrations times the respective instream flows times a conversion factor. In the same manner as existing loads were calculated, allowable loads were calculated for the single sample *E. coli* target of 268.2 colonies/100 ml (298 colonies/100 ml - 10% Margin of Safety) and the geometric mean *E. coli* target of 113.4 colonies/100 ml (126 colonies/100 ml - 10% Margin of Safety). In this case, it was determined that the highest percent reduction was calculated from a single sample maximum *E. coli* exceedance at station CHNE-18 (June 14, 2023) with a value of 24,196 colonies/100 ml. This violation calls for a reduction of 99%.

Table 1-1 is a summary of the estimated existing load, allowable load, and percent reduction for the single sample and geometric mean criteria. Table 1-2 provides the details of the TMDL along

with the corresponding reductions for Channahatchee Creek, which are protective of the *E. coli* water quality criteria year-round.

Table 1-1 *E. coli* Loads and Required Reductions for Channahatchee Creek

Source	Existing Load (col/day)	Allowable Load (col/day)	Required Reduction (col/day)	% Reduction
Single Sample Load	4.89E+13	5.42E+11	4.84E+13	99%
Geometric Mean Load	4.13E+11	8.02E+10	3.33E+11	81%

Table 1-2 *E. coli* TMDL for Channahatchee Creek

TMDL[a]	Margin of Safety (MOS)	Waste Load Allocation (WLA)[e]			Load Allocation (LA)	
		WWTPs[b]	Stormwater (MS4s and other NPDES sources)[c]	Leaking Collection Systems[d]		
(col/day)	(col/day)	(col/day)	(% reduction)	(col/day)	(col/day)	(% reduction)
6.02E+11	6.02E+10	N/A	N/A	0	5.42E+11	99%

N/A = Not applicable
a. TMDL was established using the single sample criterion of 298 colonies/100ml.
b. Future WWTPs must meet the applicable instream water quality criteria for pathogens at the point of discharge.
c. Future MS4 areas and other NPDES stormwater sources will be required to demonstrate consistency with the assumptions and requirements of this TMDL through implementation and maintenance of BMPs on a case-by-case basis.
d. The objective for leaking collection systems is a wasteload allocation (WLA) of zero. It is recognized, however, that a WLA of 0 colonies/day may not be practical. For these sources, the WLA is interpreted to mean a reduction in *E. coli* loading to the maximum extent practicable, consistent with the requirement that these sources not contribute to a violation of the water quality criteria for *E. coli*.
e. There are no CAFOs in the Channahatchee Creek watershed. Future CAFOs will be assigned a WLA of zero.

Compliance with the terms and conditions of existing and future National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permits will effectively implement the WLA and demonstrate consistency with the assumptions and requirements of the TMDL. Required load reductions in the LA portion of this TMDL can be implemented through voluntary measures and may be eligible for CWA §319 grants.

The Department recognizes that adaptive implementation of this TMDL will be needed to achieve applicable water quality criteria, and we are committed to targeting the load reductions to improve water quality in the Channahatchee Creek watershed. As additional data and/or information becomes available, it may become necessary to revise and/or modify the TMDL accordingly.

2.0 Basis for §303(d) Listing

2.1 Introduction

Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act and EPA's Water Quality Planning and Management Regulations (40 CFR Part 130) require states to identify waterbodies which are not meeting their designated uses and to determine the TMDL for pollutants causing use impairment. The TMDL process establishes the allowable loading of pollutants for a waterbody based on the relationship between pollution sources and instream water quality conditions, so that states can establish water-quality based controls to reduce pollution and restore and maintain the quality of their water resources (USEPA, 1991).

The State of Alabama has identified 17.31 miles of Channahatchee Creek as impaired for pathogens. The §303(d) listing for pathogens was originally reported on Alabama's 2018 List of Impaired Waters based on ADEM monitoring data collected in 2015 and was subsequently included on the 2020, 2022, and 2024 lists.

2.2 Problem Definition

Waterbody Impaired:	Channahatchee Creek – from its source to Yates Lake
Impaired Reach Length:	17.31 miles
Impaired Drainage Area:	42.79 square miles
Water Quality Standard Violation:	Pathogens (Single Sample, Geometric Mean)
Pollutant of Concern:	Pathogens (*E. coli*)
Water Use Classification:	Fish and Wildlife

Usage Related to Classification:
The impaired stream segment is classified as Fish and Wildlife (F&W). Usage of waters in the F&W classification is described in ADEM Admin. Code r. 335-6-10-.09(5)(a), (b), (c) and (d).

(a) Best usage of waters: fishing, propagation of fish, aquatic life, and wildlife.

(b) Conditions related to best usage: the waters will be suitable for fish, aquatic life and wildlife propagation. The quality of salt and estuarine waters to which this classification is assigned will also be suitable for the propagation of shrimp and crabs.

(c) Other usage of waters: it is recognized that the waters may be used for incidental water contact year-round and whole body water-contact recreation during the months of May through October, except that water contact is strongly discouraged in the vicinity of discharges or other conditions beyond the control of the Department or the Alabama Department of Public Health.

(d) Conditions related to other usage: the waters, under proper sanitary supervision by the controlling health authorities, will meet accepted standards of water quality for outdoor swimming areas and will be considered satisfactory for swimming and other whole body water-contact sports.

E. coli Criteria:

Criteria for acceptable bacteria levels for the F&W use classification are described in ADEM Admin. Code R. 335-6-10-.09(5)(e)7(i) and (ii) as follows:

7. Bacteria:

(i) In non-coastal waters, bacteria of the E. coli group shall not exceed a geometric mean of 548 colonies/100 ml; nor exceed a maximum of 2,507 colonies/100 ml in any sample. In coastal waters, bacteria of the enterococci group shall not exceed a maximum of 275 colonies/100 ml in any sample. The geometric mean shall be calculated from no less than five samples collected at a given station over a 30-day period at intervals not less than 24 hours.

(ii) For incidental water contact and whole body water-contact recreation during the months of May through October, the bacterial quality of water is acceptable when a sanitary survey by the controlling health authorities reveals no source of dangerous pollution and when the geometric mean E. coli organism density does not exceed 126 colonies/100 ml nor exceed a maximum of 298 colonies/100 ml in any sample in non-coastal waters. In coastal waters, bacteria of the enterococci group shall not exceed a geometric mean of 35 colonies/100 ml nor exceed a maximum of 158 colonies/100 ml in any sample. The geometric mean shall be calculated from no less than five samples collected at a given station over a 30-day period at intervals not less than 24 hours. When the geometric bacterial coliform organism density exceeds these levels, the bacterial water quality shall be considered acceptable only if a second detailed sanitary survey and evaluation discloses no significant public health risk in the use of the waters. Waters in the immediate vicinity of discharges of sewage or other wastes likely to contain bacteria harmful to humans, regardless of the degree of treatment afforded these wastes, are not acceptable for swimming or other whole body water-contact sports.

Criteria Exceeded:

Channahatchee Creek was first included on the §303(d) list for pathogens in 2018 based on ADEM's *E. coli* data collected in 2015 at station CHNE-18. Of the eight *E. coli* samples collected at station CHNE-18 in 2015, two violated the applicable single sample maximum criterion of 298 colonies/100 ml. The listing data can be found in Appendix 7.2, Table 7-1.

3.0 Technical Basis for TMDL Development

3.1 Water Quality Target Identification

For the purpose of this TMDL, a single sample *E. coli* target of 268.2 colonies/100 ml will be used. This target was derived by using a 10% explicit margin of safety from the single sample maximum criterion of 298 colonies/100 ml. This target is considered protective of water quality standards and should not allow the single sample maximum criterion to be exceeded. In addition, a geometric

mean target of 113.4 colonies/100 ml will be used for a series of at least five samples taken no less than 24 hours apart over the course of 30 days. This geometric mean target was also derived by using a 10% explicit margin of safety from the geometric mean criterion of 126 colonies/100 ml. This target is considered protective of water quality standards and should not allow the geometric mean criterion to be exceeded.

3.2 Source Assessment

3.2.1 Point Sources in the Channahatchee Creek Watershed

A point source can be defined as a discernable, confined, and discrete conveyance from which pollutants are or may be discharged to surface waters. Point source contributions can typically be attributed to municipal wastewater facilities, illicit discharges, and leaking sewer systems in urban areas. Municipal wastewater treatment facilities are permitted through the NPDES process administered by ADEM. In urban settings, sewer lines typically run parallel to streams in the floodplain. If a leaking sewer line is present, high concentrations of bacteria can flow into the stream or leach into the groundwater. Illicit discharges are found at facilities that are discharging bacteria when not permitted, or when the pathogens criterion established in the issued NPDES permit is not being upheld.

Continuous Point Sources

There are currently no continuous NPDES-permitted facilities in the Channahatchee Creek watershed.

Non-Continuous Point Sources

There are currently two sites permitted through the NPDES general permit program to discharge stormwater runoff in the Channahatchee Creek watershed (one metals facility and one construction site). These sites are not required to monitor for *E. coli* and are not considered to be a source of pathogens due to the nature of their processes; therefore, no *E. coli* loading to the watershed will be attributed to these sites, and they will not receive an allocation in this TMDL.

The Channahatchee Creek watershed does not presently qualify as a municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4) area. In addition, there are currently no Animal Feeding Operation/Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (AFO/CAFO) facilities located within the Channahatchee Creek watershed. The ADEM AFO/CAFO rules prohibit discharges of pollutants from the facilities and their associated waste land application activities. As a result, future AFOs/CAFOs will receive a waste load allocation of zero.

Any future NPDES-regulated discharger that is considered by the Department to be a pathogen source will be required to demonstrate consistency with the assumptions and requirements of this TMDL.

There are currently no registered sites in the Channahatchee Creek watershed where land application of by-products for beneficial use is present. Beneficial use sites are regulated by ADEM's Land Division and are required to implement appropriate BMPs and agronomic application rates to protect the environment.

Sanitary sewer overflows (SSOs) have the potential to severely impact water quality and can often result in the violation of water quality standards. It is the responsibility of the NPDES wastewater discharger or collection system operator for non-permitted "collection only" systems to ensure that releases do not occur. Unfortunately, releases to surface waters from SSOs are not always preventable or reported. From review of ADEM files, it was found that no SSOs have been reported in the Channahatchee Creek watershed in recent years.

3.2.2 Nonpoint Sources in the Channahatchee Creek Watershed

Nonpoint sources of *E. coli* bacteria do not have a defined discharge point, but rather occur over the entire length of a stream or waterbody. On the land surface, *E. coli* bacteria can accumulate over time in the soil and then are washed off during rain events. As the runoff transports the sediment over the land surface, more *E. coli* bacteria are collected and carried to the stream or waterbody. Therefore, there is some net loading of *E. coli* bacteria into the stream as dictated by the watershed hydrology.

Agricultural land can be a source of *E. coli* bacteria. Runoff from pastures, animal feeding areas, improper land application of animal wastes, and animals with direct access to streams are all mechanisms that can contribute *E. coli* bacteria to waterbodies. To account for the potential influence from animals with direct access to stream reaches in the watershed, *E. coli* loads can be calculated as a direct source into the stream.

E. coli bacteria can also originate from forested areas due to the presence of wild animals such as deer, raccoons, turkey, waterfowl, etc. Wildlife deposit feces onto land surfaces where it can be transported during rainfall events to nearby streams. Control of these sources is usually limited to land management BMPs and may be impracticable in most cases. As a result, forested areas are not specifically targeted in this TMDL.

E. coli loading from urban areas is potentially attributable to multiple sources including stormwater runoff, unpermitted discharges of wastewater, runoff from improper disposal of waste materials, failing septic tanks, and domestic animals. Septic systems may be direct or indirect sources of bacterial pollution via ground and surface waters. Onsite septic systems have the potential to deliver *E. coli* bacteria to surface waters due to system failure and malfunction.

3.3 Land Use Assessment

Land use for the Channahatchee Creek watershed was determined using ArcMap with land use datasets derived from the 2021 National Land Cover Dataset (NLCD). Figure 3-1 and Table 3-1 display the land use areas for the Channahatchee Creek watershed.

The majority of the Channahatchee Creek watershed is forested/natural (84.46%). Other land uses include agriculture (8.55%) and developed land (6.32%). If not managed properly, agriculture can have significant nonpoint source impacts. Also, septic systems can be a main source of bacteria if not properly installed and maintained.

Figure 3-1 Land Use Map for the Channahatchee Creek Watershed



Table 3-1 Land Use Areas for the Channahatchee Creek Watershed

Cumulative Land Use	Square Miles (mi^2)	Acres	Percent
Open Water	0.29	184.85	0.68%
Forested/Natural	36.14	23,127.95	84.46%
Agriculture	3.66	2,340.76	8.55%
Developed (Grouped)	2.70	1,729.49	6.32%
Total	**42.79**	**27,383.04**	**100.00%**

Figure 3-2 Primary Land Uses in the Channahatchee Creek Watershed



3.4 Linkage Between Numeric Targets and Sources

The Channahatchee Creek watershed's primary land use is forested/natural, followed by agriculture and developed land. Pollutant loadings from forested areas tend to be low due to their filtering capabilities and will be considered as background conditions. The most likely sources of pathogen loadings in Channahatchee Creek are from agricultural land uses and failing septic systems. It is not considered a logical approach to calculate individual components for nonpoint source loadings. Hence, there will not be individual loads or reductions calculated for the various nonpoint sources. The loadings and reductions will only be calculated as a single total nonpoint source load and reduction.

3.5 Data Availability and Analysis

ADEM collected water quality data on Channahatchee Creek at station CHNE-18 in 2023 to further assess the impaired stream. Figure 1-1 and Table 3-2 display the location and description, respectively, for the ADEM sampling station. The 2023 data listed in Table 3-3 will be used for this TMDL. The January 2024 edition of *Alabama's Water Quality Assessment and Listing Methodology,* prepared by ADEM, provides the rationale for the Department to use the most recent data to prepare a TMDL for an impaired waterbody.

Table 3-2 Channahatchee Creek Sampling Station Description

Station ID	Station Location	Latitude	Longitude
CHNE-18	Channahatchee Creek at Deer Track Rd (CR-357)	32.65024	-85.95085

Five of the 15 *E. coli* samples collected at station CHNE-18 in 2023 violated the summer single sample maximum criterion of 298 colonies/100 ml for the Fish and Wildlife use classification. Geometric means were calculated based on data collected at station CHNE-18 in June and August 2023; geometric means from both months exceeded the *E. coli* criterion of 126 colonies/100 ml. This data can be viewed in Table 3-3 and Appendix 7.2, Table 7-2.

Table 3-3 2023 *E. coli* Exceedances at Station CHNE-18

Station CHNE-18						
Visit Date	***E. coli* (col/100 ml)**	***E. coli* dc[a]**	***E. coli* Criterion (col/100 ml)**	**Geometric Mean (col/100 ml)**	**Geometric Mean Criterion (col/100 ml)**	**Flow (cfs)**
3/28/2023	686.7		2507			
5/9/2023	118.7		298			9.2
6/7/2023	160.7		298			5.7
6/12/2023	615.2		298			8.2
6/14/2023	24196	G	298			82.61[b]
6/20/2023	309		298	583.5	126	21.7
6/22/2023	86		298			21.9
6/27/2023	171		298			10.2
7/11/2023	106.7		298			19.3
8/3/2023	146.7		298			1.7
8/8/2023	161.6		298			2.7
8/10/2023	259.5		298	210.1	126	2.4
8/15/2023	344.1		298			5.1
8/17/2023	193.5		298			3.9
9/12/2023	770.1		298			0.8

a. G denotes that the actual number was probably greater than the number reported.
b. Flow was not measured due to non-wadeable conditions. Flow was calculated using data from reference gauge USGS 02408150 for the same date the sample was collected at station CHNE-18.

3.6 Critical Conditions/Seasonal Variation

Critical conditions typically occur during the summer months (May – October). This can be explained by the nature of storm events in the summer versus the winter. In summer, periods of dry weather interspersed with thunderstorms allow for the accumulation and washing off of bacteria into streams, resulting in spikes of bacteria counts. In winter, frequent low intensity rain events are more typical and do not allow for the build-up of bacteria on the land surface, resulting in a more uniform loading rate.

Channahatchee Creek generally follows the trends described above for the summer months of May through October. The critical condition for this pathogen TMDL was taken to be the one with the highest *E. coli* single sample exceedance value. The highest single sample maximum concentration of 24,196 colonies/100 ml was collected on June 14, 2023 at station CHNE-18. A flow of 82.61 cfs was calculated at station CHNE-18 during this sampling event. The use of the highest exceedance to calculate the TMDL is expected to be protective of water quality in Channahatchee Creek year-round.

3.7 Margin of Safety

There are two methods for incorporating a Margin of Safety (MOS) in the analysis: 1) by implicitly incorporating the MOS using conservative model assumptions to develop allocations, or 2) by explicitly specifying a portion of the TMDL as the MOS and using the remainder for allocations.

The MOS accounts for the uncertainty associated with the limited availability of *E. coli* data used in this analysis. An explicit MOS was applied to the TMDL by reducing the appropriate target criterion concentration by 10% and calculating a mass loading target with measured or estimated flow data. The single sample *E. coli* maximum value of 298 colonies/100 ml was reduced by 10% to 268.2 colonies/100 ml, while the geometric mean criterion of 126 colonies/100 ml was also reduced by 10% to 113.4 colonies/100 ml.

4.0 TMDL Development

4.1 Definition of a TMDL

A TMDL is the sum of individual wasteload allocations (WLAs) for point sources, load allocations (LAs) for nonpoint sources including natural background levels, and a margin of safety (MOS). The margin of safety can be included either explicitly or implicitly and accounts for the uncertainty in the relationship between pollutant loads and the quality of the receiving waterbody. As discussed earlier, the MOS is explicit in this TMDL. A TMDL can be denoted by the equation:

$$TMDL = \Sigma\ WLAs + \Sigma\ LAs + MOS$$

The TMDL is the total amount of pollutant that can be assimilated by the receiving waterbody while achieving water quality standards under critical conditions.

For some pollutants, TMDLs are expressed on a mass loading basis (e.g., pounds per day). However, for pathogens, TMDL loads are typically expressed in terms of organism counts per day (colonies/day), in accordance with 40 CFR 130.2(i).

4.2 Load Calculations

A mass balance approach was used to calculate the pathogen TMDL for Channahatchee Creek. The mass balance approach utilizes the conservation of mass principle. Total mass loads can be calculated by multiplying the *E. coli* concentration times the instream flow times a conversion factor. Existing loads were calculated for the highest single sample exceedance and the highest geometric mean exceedance. In the same manner, allowable loads were calculated for both the single sample maximum criterion of 298 colonies/100 ml and the geometric mean criterion of 126 colonies/100 ml. The TMDL was based on the violation that produced the highest percent reduction of *E. coli* loads necessary to achieve applicable water quality criteria, whether it be the single sample or geometric mean.

Existing Conditions

The **single sample** mass loading was calculated by multiplying the highest single sample exceedance concentration of 24,196 colonies/100 ml times the calculated flow at the time the sample was taken. This concentration was measured at station CHNE-18 on June 14, 2023. The stream flow was calculated to be 82.61 cfs at the time of the violation. The product of these two values times the conversion factor gives the total mass loading (colonies per day) of *E. coli* to Channahatchee Creek.

$$\frac{82.61\ \text{ft}^3}{\text{s}} \times \frac{24{,}196\ \text{colonies}}{100\ \text{ml}} \times \frac{24{,}465{,}755\ 100\ \text{ml} * \text{s}}{\text{ft}^3 * \text{day}} = \frac{4.89 \times 10^{13}\ \text{colonies}}{\text{day}}$$

The **geometric mean** mass loading was calculated by multiplying the highest geometric mean exceedance concentration of 583.5 colonies/100 ml times the average of the flows over the geometric mean sampling period. This concentration was calculated based on measurements at station CHNE-18 between June 12, 2023 and June 27, 2023, which are shown in Table 3-3. The average stream flow was calculated to be 28.92 cfs. The product of these two values times the conversion factor gives the total mass loading (colonies per day) of *E. coli* to Channahatchee Creek under the geometric mean exceedance condition.

$$\frac{28.92\ \text{ft}^3}{\text{s}} \times \frac{583.5\ \text{colonies}}{100\ \text{ml}} \times \frac{24{,}465{,}755\ 100\ \text{ml} * \text{s}}{\text{ft}^3 * \text{day}} = \frac{4.13 \times 10^{11}\ \text{colonies}}{\text{day}}$$

Allowable Conditions

The **allowable load** to the watershed was calculated under the same physical conditions as discussed above for the single sample and geometric mean criteria. This was done by taking the product of the flow for the violation event, the allowable concentration, and the conversion factor.

For the **single sample** *E. coli* target concentration of 268.2 colonies/100 ml, the allowable *E. coli* loading is:

$$\frac{82.61\ \text{ft}^3}{\text{s}} \times \frac{268.2\ \text{colonies}}{100\ \text{ml}} \times \frac{24{,}465{,}755\ 100\ \text{ml} * \text{s}}{\text{ft}^3 * \text{day}} = \frac{5.42 \times 10^{11}\ \text{colonies}}{\text{day}}$$

The explicit margin of safety of 29.8 colonies/100 ml equals a daily loading of:

$$\frac{82.61\ \text{ft}^3}{\text{s}} \times \frac{29.8\ \text{colonies}}{100\ \text{ml}} \times \frac{24{,}465{,}755\ 100\ \text{ml} * \text{s}}{\text{ft}^3 * \text{day}} = \frac{6.02 \times 10^{10}\ \text{colonies}}{\text{day}}$$

For the **geometric mean** *E. coli* target concentration of 113.4 colonies/100 ml, the allowable *E. coli* loading is:

$$\frac{28.92\ \text{ft}^3}{\text{s}} \times \frac{113.4\ \text{colonies}}{100\ \text{ml}} \times \frac{24{,}465{,}755\ 100\ \text{ml} * \text{s}}{\text{ft}^3 * \text{day}} = \frac{8.02 \times 10^{10}\ \text{colonies}}{\text{day}}$$

The explicit margin of safety of 12.6 colonies/100 ml equals a daily loading of:

$$\frac{28.92\ \text{ft}^3}{\text{s}} \times \frac{12.6\ \text{colonies}}{100\ \text{ml}} \times \frac{24{,}465{,}755\ 100\ \text{ml} * \text{s}}{\text{ft}^3 * \text{day}} = \frac{8.92 \times 10^9\ \text{colonies}}{\text{day}}$$

The difference in the pathogen loading between the existing condition (violation event) and the allowable condition converted to a percent reduction represents the total load reduction needed to achieve the *E. coli* water quality criteria. The TMDL was calculated as the total daily *E. coli* load to Channahatchee Creek as evaluated at station CHNE-18. Table 4-1 shows the existing and allowable *E. coli* loads and required reductions for the Channahatchee Creek watershed.

Table 4-1 *E. coli* Loads and Required Reductions for Channahatchee Creek

Source	Existing Load (col/day)	Allowable Load (col/day)	Required Reduction (col/day)	% Reduction
Single Sample Load	4.89E+13	5.42E+11	4.84E+13	99%
Geometric Mean Load	4.13E+11	8.02E+10	3.33E+11	81%

From Table 4-1, compliance with the single sample criterion of 298 colonies/100 ml requires a reduction in the *E. coli* load of 99%. The TMDL, WLA, LA and MOS values necessary to achieve the applicable *E. coli* criterion are provided in Table 4-2.

Table 4-2 *E. coli* TMDL for Channahatchee Creek

TMDL[a]	Margin of Safety (MOS)	Waste Load Allocation (WLA)[e]			Load Allocation (LA)	
		WWTPs[b]	Stormwater (MS4s and other NPDES sources)[c]	Leaking Collection Systems[d]		
(col/day)	(col/day)	(col/day)	(% reduction)	(col/day)	(col/day)	(% reduction)
6.02E+11	6.02E+10	N/A	N/A	0	5.42E+11	99%

N/A = Not applicable
a. TMDL was established using the single sample criterion of 298 colonies/100ml.
b. Future WWTPs must meet the applicable instream water quality criteria for pathogens at the point of discharge.
c. Future MS4 areas and other NPDES stormwater sources will be required to demonstrate consistency with the assumptions and requirements of this TMDL through implementation and maintenance of BMPs on a case-by-case basis.
d. The objective for leaking collection systems is a WLA of zero. It is recognized, however, that a WLA of 0 colonies/day may not be practical. For these sources, the WLA is interpreted to mean a reduction in *E. coli* loading to the maximum extent practicable, consistent with the requirement that these sources not contribute to a violation of the water quality criteria for *E. coli*.
e. There are no CAFOs in the Channahatchee Creek watershed. Future CAFOs will be assigned a WLA of zero.

4.3 TMDL Summary

Channahatchee Creek was first included on the §303(d) list for pathogens in 2018 based on ADEM's *E. coli* data collected in 2015 at station CHNE-18. In 2023, ADEM collected water quality data that confirmed the pathogen impairment and provided the basis for TMDL development.

A mass balance approach was used to calculate the *E. coli* TMDL for Channahatchee Creek. Based on the TMDL analysis, it was determined that a 99% reduction in *E. coli* loading was necessary to achieve compliance with applicable water quality standards.

Compliance with the terms and conditions of existing and future NPDES sanitary and stormwater permits will effectively implement the WLA and demonstrate consistency with the assumptions and requirements of the TMDL.

Required load reductions in the load allocation portion of this TMDL will be implemented through voluntary measures/best management practices (BMPs). Cooperation and active participation by the public and various other groups are critical to successful implementation of TMDLs. Local, citizen-led, and implemented management measures offer the most efficient and comprehensive avenue for reduction of loading rates from nonpoint sources. Therefore, TMDL implementation activities for nonpoint sources will be coordinated through interaction with local entities and may be eligible for CWA §319 grants through the Department's Nonpoint Source Unit.

The Department recognizes that adaptive implementation of this TMDL will be needed to achieve applicable water quality criteria, and we are committed to targeting the load reductions to improve water quality in the Channahatchee Creek watershed. As additional data and/or information becomes available, it may become necessary to revise and/or modify the TMDL accordingly.

5.0 Follow-up Monitoring

ADEM has adopted a basin approach to water quality monitoring, an approach that divides Alabama's sixteen major river basins into three groups. Each year, ADEM's water quality resources are concentrated in one of the three basin groups and are divided among multiple priorities including §303(d) listed waterbodies, waterbodies with active TMDLs, and other waterbodies as determined by the Department. Monitoring will help further characterize water quality conditions resulting from the implementation of best management practices and load reductions in the watershed. This monitoring will occur in each basin according to the schedule shown in Table 5-1.

Table 5-1 Follow-up Monitoring Schedule

River Basin Group	Years to be Monitored
Coosa, Escatawpa, Tennessee (Guntersville), Tombigbee	2025/2028
Alabama, Cahaba, Mobile, Tallapoosa, Tennessee (Pickwick and Wilson)	2026/2029
Black Warrior, Blackwater, Chattahoochee, Chipola, Choctawhatchee, Escambia, Perdido, Tennessee (Wheeler), Yellow	2027/2030

6.0 Public Participation

As part of the public participation process, this TMDL will be placed on public notice and made available for review and comment. The public notice and subject TMDL will be made available on ADEM's website: www.adem.alabama.gov. In addition, the public notice will be submitted to persons who have requested to be on ADEM's postal and electronic mailing distributions. The public may also request paper or electronic copies of the TMDL by contacting Ms. Kimberly Minton at 334-271-7826 or kminton@adem.alabama.gov. The public will be given an opportunity to review the TMDL and submit comments to the Department in writing. At the end of the public review period, all written comments received during the public notice period will become part of the administrative record. ADEM will consider all comments received by the public prior to final completion of this TMDL and subsequent submission to EPA Region 4 for final approval.

7.0 Appendix

7.1 References

ADEM Administrative Code, 2021. Water Division - Water Quality Program, Chapter 335-6-10, Water Quality Criteria.

ADEM Administrative Code, 2021. Water Division - Water Quality Program, Chapter 335-6-11, Use Classifications for Interstate and Intrastate Waters.

Alabama's Monitoring Program. 2015-2023. ADEM.

Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM), *Alabama's Water Quality Assessment and Listing Methodology*, January 2024.

Alabama Department of Environmental Management, 2018, 2020, 2022 & 2024 §303(d) Lists and Fact Sheets. ADEM.

Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM), Laboratory Data Qualification SOP #4910 Revision 7.2, 2022.

United States Environmental Protection Agency, 1991. *Guidance for Water Quality-Based Decisions: The TMDL Process*. Office of Water. EPA 440/4-91-001.

United States Environmental Protection Agency, 1986. *Quality Criteria for Water.* Office of Water. EPA 440/4-91-001.

7.2 Water Quality Data

Table 7-1 2015 ADEM Pathogen Data Collected on Channahatchee Creek (Listing Data)

Station CHNE-18				
Visit Date	***E. coli* (col/100 ml)**	***E. coli* dc***	***E. coli* Criterion (col/100 ml)**	**Flow (cfs)**
3/16/2015	135.4		2507	22.2
4/14/2015	148.3		2507	44.6
5/13/2015	131.4		298	8.1
6/8/2015	222.4		298	6.3
7/9/2015	325.5		298	3.1
8/11/2015	129.6		298	1.1
9/15/2015	285.1		298	
10/8/2015	307.6		298	0.3

Table 7-2 2023 ADEM Pathogen Data Collected on Channahatchee Creek

Station CHNE-18				
Visit Date	***E. coli* (col/100 ml)**	***E. coli* dc***	***E. coli* Criterion (col/100 ml)**	**Flow (cfs)**
3/28/2023	686.7		2507	
5/9/2023	118.7		298	9.2
6/7/2023	160.7		298	5.7
6/12/2023	615.2		298	8.2
6/14/2023	24196	G	298	
6/20/2023	309		298	21.7
6/22/2023	86		298	21.9
6/27/2023	171		298	10.2
7/11/2023	106.7		298	19.3
8/3/2023	146.7		298	1.7
8/8/2023	161.6		298	2.7
8/10/2023	259.5		298	2.4
8/15/2023	344.1		298	5.1
8/17/2023	193.5		298	3.9
9/12/2023	770.7		298	0.8

*G denotes that the actual number was probably greater than the number reported.

7.3 Channahatchee Creek Watershed Photos (July 11, 2023)

Figure 7-1 Channahatchee Creek at Deer Track Rd (CHNE-18), Looking Upstream

Figure 7-2 Channahatchee Creek at Deer Track Rd (CHNE-18), Looking Downstream

7.4 Channahatchee Creek Watershed Photos (September 12, 2023)

Figure 7-3 Channahatchee Creek at Deer Track Rd (CHNE-18), Looking Upstream

Figure 7-4 Channahatchee Creek at Deer Track Rd (CHNE-18), Looking Downstream