

ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

IN THE MATTER OF: )
Scottsboro Aluminum Industries LLC )
Scottsboro, Jackson County, Alabama ) CONSENT ORDER NO.
ADEM Air Facility ID No. 705-0030 )

PREAMBLE

This Special Order by Consent is made and entered into by the Alabama Department of Environmental Management (hereinafter, "the Department" and/or "ADEM") and Scottsboro Aluminum Industries LLC (hereinafter, the "Permittee") pursuant to the provisions of the Alabama Environmental Management Act, Ala. Code §§ 22-22A-1 to 22-22A-17, as amended, the Alabama Air Pollution Control Act, Ala. Code §§ 22-28-1 to 22-28-23, as amended, and the regulations promulgated pursuant thereto.

STIPULATIONS

- 1. The Permittee operates a secondary aluminum processing facility (hereinafter, the "Facility") located in Scottsboro, Jackson County, Alabama (ADEM Air Facility ID No. 705-0030).
2. The Department is a duly constituted department of the State of Alabama pursuant to Ala. Code §§ 22-22A-1 to 22-22A-17, as amended.
3. Pursuant to Ala. Code § 22-22A-4(n), as amended, the Department is the state air pollution control agency for the purposes of the federal Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7401 to 7671q, as amended. In addition, the Department is authorized to administer and

enforce the provisions of the Alabama Air Pollution Control Act, Ala. Code §§ 22-28-1 to 22-28-23, as amended.

4. The Permittee operates an aluminum scrap dryer at the Facility pursuant to the authority of Air Permit No. 705-0030-X006 (hereinafter, the "Permit"). Emissions from the aluminum scrap dryer are controlled by a baghouse.

5. General Permit Proviso No. 5 of the Permit states in part: "All air pollution control equipment shall be operated at all times while this process is operational."

6. General Permit Proviso No. 8 of the Permit states in part: "This process, including all air pollution control devices and capture systems for which this permit is issued shall be maintained and operated at all times in a manner so as to minimize the emissions of air contaminants."

7. General Permit Proviso No. 10 of the Permit states: "On completion of construction of the device(s) for which this permit is issued, written notification of the fact is to be submitted to the Chief of the Air Division. The notification shall indicate whether the device(s) was constructed as proposed in the application. The device(s) shall not be operated until authorization to operate is granted by the Chief of the Air Division. Failure to notify the Chief of the Air Division of completion of construction and/or operation without authorization could result in revocation of this permit."

#### DEPARTMENT'S CONTENTIONS

8. On September 29, 2020, the Department conducted an inspection of the Facility and noted that the Permittee was operating the aluminum scrap dryer without its associated baghouse and without temporary authorization to operate as required by General Proviso No. 5, General Proviso No. 8, and General Proviso No. 10 of the Permit.

9. On November 6, 2020, the Department issued a Notice of Violation to the Permittee citing the operation of the aluminum scrap dryer without its associated baghouse and also without temporary authorization to operate.

10. On December 17, 2020, the Permittee responded to the Notice of Violation, stating that they had operated the aluminum scrap dryer for a total of 289 hours without the associated baghouse and without temporary authorization to operate between June 27, 2020, and September 29, 2020. The scrap dryer was shut down on September 29, 2020, and began operating again with its associated baghouse on October 10, 2020, upon receipt of the Temporary Authorization to Operate from the Department on October 9, 2020.

11. Pursuant to Ala. Code § 22-22A-5(18)c., *as amended*, in determining the amount of any penalty, the Department must give consideration to the seriousness of the violation, including any irreparable harm to the environment and any threat to the health or safety of the public; the standard of care manifested by such person; the economic benefit which delayed compliance may confer upon such person; the nature, extent and degree of success of such person's efforts to minimize or mitigate the effects of such violation upon the environment; such person's history of previous violations; and the ability of such person to pay such penalty. Any civil penalty assessed pursuant to this authority shall not exceed \$25,000.00 for each violation, provided however, that the total penalty assessed in an order issued by the Department shall not exceed \$250,000.00. Each day such violation continues shall constitute a separate violation. In arriving at this civil penalty, the Department has considered the following.

A. **SERIOUSNESS OF THE VIOLATION:** The Department considers the Permittee's failure to meet permit requirements to be a serious violation. However, the

Department is not aware of any irreparable harm to the environment resulting from this violation.

B. THE STANDARD OF CARE: The Permittee failed to exhibit a sufficient standard of care by failing to operate the associated baghouse of the aluminum scrap dryer and by operating the aluminum scrap dryer without a temporary authorization to operate.

C. ECONOMIC BENEFIT WHICH DELAYED COMPLIANCE MAY HAVE CONFERRED: The Permittee likely derived some economic benefit from their failure to operate the baghouse.

D. EFFORTS TO MINIMIZE OR MITIGATE THE EFFECTS OF THE VIOLATION UPON THE ENVIRONMENT: The Department is not aware of any efforts by the Permittee to minimize or mitigate the effects of these violations on the environment.

E. HISTORY OF PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS: The Department's records indicate that there are no other similar violations or enforcement actions taken by the Department against the Permittee within the past five years.

F. THE ABILITY TO PAY: The Permittee has alleged an inability to pay the civil penalty without a payment schedule.

G. OTHER FACTORS: It should be noted that this Special Order by Consent is a negotiated settlement and, therefore, the Department has compromised the amount of the penalty in this matter in the spirit of cooperation and the desire to resolve this matter amicably, without incurring the unwarranted expense of litigation.

12. The Department has carefully considered the six statutory penalty factors enumerated in Ala. Code § 22-22A-5(18)c., *as amended*, as well as the need for timely and effective enforcement and, based upon the foregoing and attached contentions, has

concluded that the civil penalty herein is appropriate (*See* “Attachment A”, which is hereby made a part of the Department’s Contentions).

13. The Department neither admits nor denies Permittee’s Contentions, which are set forth below. The Department has agreed to the terms of this Consent Order in an effort to resolve the alleged violations cited herein without the unwarranted expenditure of State resources in further prosecuting the above violations. The Department has determined that the terms contemplated in this Consent Order are in the best interests of the citizens of Alabama.

PERMITTEE’S CONTENTIONS

14. The Permittee contends it was not originally required to install a baghouse for the scrap dryer but volunteered to include the baghouse in its Air Permit application.

15. The Permittee obtained the Permit on February 27, 2020. The Permittee contends that the Permit authorized the use of the scrap dryer during shakedown.

16. From February 27 through October 9, 2020, the Permittee was fabricating, erecting and installing the scrap dryer and baghouse, including shakedown of the dryer system and integration with the Facility.

17. When ADEM indicated that operation of the dryer should not proceed without the baghouse, the Permittee promptly ceased dryer shakedown until ongoing work on the baghouse was completed and the Temporary Authorization to Operate was received.

18. The Permittee neither admits nor denies the Department’s Contentions. The Permittee has agreed to the terms of this Consent Order in an effort to resolve the alleged violations cited herein without the unwarranted expenditure of its resources in further

defending the above allegations. The Permittee consents to abide by the terms of this Consent Order and to pay the civil penalty assessed herein.

19. Due to the financial strain this penalty would pose for the Permittee, the Permittee contends that a structured payment plan for twelve consecutive months is necessary.

ORDER

THEREFORE, the Permittee, along with the Department, desires to resolve and settle the compliance issues cited above. The Department has carefully considered the facts available to it and has considered the six penalty factors enumerated in Ala. Code § 22-22A-5(18)c, as amended, as well as the need for timely and effective enforcement, and the Department has determined that the following conditions are appropriate to address the violations alleged herein. Therefore, the Department and the Permittee agree to enter into this Consent Order with the following terms and conditions:

A. The Permittee agrees to pay to the Department a civil penalty in the total amount of \$35,000.00 in settlement of the violations alleged herein with twelve monthly payments of \$2,916.66. The first payment will be due forty-five days from the effective date of this Consent Order and each payment thereafter will be due on the next business day of the following calendar month following the anniversary of the first payment. Failure to make payments as set out above may result in the Department's filing a civil action in the Circuit Court of Montgomery County to recover the civil penalty.

B. The Permittee agrees that all penalties due pursuant to this Consent Order shall be made payable to the Alabama Department of Environmental Management by check and shall be remitted to:

Office of General Counsel  
Alabama Department of Environmental Management  
P.O. Box 301463  
Montgomery, Alabama 36130-1463

C. The Permittee agrees to comply with all requirements of ADEM Administrative Code div. 335-3 and the Permit immediately upon the effective date of this Order and continuing every day thereafter.

D. The parties agree that this Consent Order shall apply to and be binding upon both parties, their directors, officers, and all persons or entities acting under or for them. Each signatory to this Consent Order certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to enter into the terms and conditions of this Consent Order, to execute the Consent Order on behalf of the party represented, and to legally bind such party.

E. The parties agree that, subject to the terms of these presents and subject to provisions otherwise provided by statute, this Consent Order is intended to operate as a full resolution of the violations which are cited in this Consent Order.

F. The Permittee agrees that it is not relieved from any liability if it fails to comply with any provision of this Consent Order.

G. For purposes of this Consent Order only, the Permittee agrees that the Department may properly bring an action to compel compliance with the terms and conditions contained herein in the Circuit Court of Montgomery County. The Permittee also agrees that in any action brought by the Department to compel compliance with the terms of this Agreement, the Permittee shall be limited to the defenses of *Force Majeure*, compliance with this Agreement and physical impossibility. A *Force Majeure* is defined as any event arising from causes that are not foreseeable and are beyond the reasonable control of the Permittee, including its contractors and consultants, which could not be

overcome by due diligence (i.e., causes which could have been overcome or avoided by the exercise of due diligence will not be considered to have been beyond the reasonable control of the Permittee) and which delays or prevents performance by a date required by the Consent Order. Events such as unanticipated or increased costs of performance, changed economic circumstances, normal precipitation events, or failure to obtain federal, state, or local permits shall not constitute *Force Majeure*. Any request for a modification of a deadline must be accompanied by the reasons (including documentation) for each extension and the proposed extension time. This information shall be submitted to the Department a minimum of ten working days prior to the original anticipated completion date. If the Department, after review of the extension request, finds the work was delayed because of conditions beyond the control and without the fault of the Permittee, the Department may extend the time as justified by the circumstances. The Department may also grant any other additional time extension as justified by the circumstances, but it is not obligated to do so.

H. The Department and the Permittee agree that the sole purpose of this Consent Order is to resolve and dispose of all allegations and contentions stated herein concerning the factual circumstances referenced herein. Should additional facts and circumstances be discovered in the future concerning the facility which would constitute possible violations not addressed in this Consent Order, then such future violations may be addressed in Orders as may be issued by the Director, litigation initiated by the Department, or such other enforcement action as may be appropriate, and the Permittee shall not object to such future orders, litigation or enforcement action based on the issuance of this Consent

Order if future orders, litigation or other enforcement action address new matters not raised in this Consent Order.

I. The Department and the Permittee agree that this Consent Order shall be considered final and effective immediately upon signature of all parties. This Consent Order shall not be appealable, and the Permittee does hereby waive any hearing on the terms and conditions of same.

J. The Department and the Permittee agree that this Order shall not affect the Permittee's obligation to comply with any Federal, State, or local laws or regulations.

K. The Department and the Permittee agree that final approval and entry into this Order are subject to the requirements that the Department give notice of proposed Orders to the public, and that the public have at least thirty days within which to comment on the Order.

L. The Department and the Permittee agree that, should any provision of this Order be declared by a court of competent jurisdiction or the Environmental Management Commission to be inconsistent with Federal or State law and therefore unenforceable, the remaining provisions hereof shall remain in full force and effect.

M. The Department and the Permittee agree that any modifications of this Order must be agreed to in writing signed by both parties.

N. The Department and the Permittee agree that, except as otherwise set forth herein, this Order is not and shall not be interpreted to be a permit or modification of an existing permit under Federal, State or local law, and shall not be construed to waive or relieve the Permittee of its obligations to comply in the future with any permit.

Executed in duplicate, with each part being an original.

SCOTTSBORO ALUMINUM  
INDUSTRIES LLC

ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF  
ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

*Chris Garner*

(Signature of Authorized Representative)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Lance R. LeFleur  
Director

*Chris Garner*

(Printed Name)

*General Manager*

(Printed Title)

Date Signed: *4-5-21*

Date Executed: \_\_\_\_\_

**Attachment A**

**Scottsboro Aluminum Industries LLC  
Scottsboro, Jackson County**

**ADEM Air Facility ID No. 705-0030**

<b>Violation*</b>	<b>Number of Violations*</b>	<b>Seriousness of Violation*</b>	<b>Standard of Care*</b>	<b>History of Previous Violations*</b>	<b>Total of Three Factors</b>
Failure to operate control device	12	\$72,000.00	\$20,000.00	-	\$92,000.00
Operation without authorization	1	\$1,000.00	\$3,000.00	-	\$4,000.00
<b><i>TOTAL PER FACTOR</i></b>		<b><i>\$73,000.00</i></b>	<b><i>\$23,000.00</i></b>		<b><i>\$96,000.00</i></b>

<b>Adjustments to Amount of Initial Penalty</b>	
<b>Mitigating Factors (-)</b>	-\$65,000.00
<b>Ability to Pay (-)</b>	<b>(Monthly Payments)</b>
<b>Other Factors (+/-)</b>	
<b>Total Adjustments (+/-)</b>	-\$65,000.00

<b>Economic Benefit (+)</b>	\$4,000.00
<b>Amount of Initial Penalty</b>	\$96,000.00
<b>Total Adjustments (+/-)</b>	-\$65,000.00
<b>FINAL PENALTY</b>	\$35,000.00

Footnotes

\* See the "Department's Contentions" portion of the Order for a detailed description of each violation and the penalty factors