Alabama's 2020 §303(d) List Fact Sheet

Background

Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act requires that each state identify those waters that do not currently support designated uses, and to establish a priority ranking of these waters by taking into account the severity of the pollution and the designated uses of such waters. For each waterbody on the list, the state is required to establish a total maximum daily load (TMDL) for the pollutant or pollutants of concern at a level necessary to implement the applicable water quality standards. Current Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) guidance encourages states to establish and focus on priority areas for restoration through TMDL development.

Alabama's 2020 §303(d) List

Alabama's 2020 §303(d) List includes segments of rivers, streams, lakes, reservoirs, and estuaries that do not fully support their currently designated use or uses. Most of the waterbodies on the 2020 §303(d) List also appeared on Alabama's 2018 §303(d) List as submitted to EPA in April 2018. The Department has attempted to obtain and evaluate all existing and readily available water quality-related data and information. The notice soliciting information is included in **Appendix A**. The notice was published in Alabama's four major daily newspapers, appeared on the Department's web page, and was sent to the Department's general mailing list. Data in the Department's multiple databases, information from §319 nonpoint assessments, special watershed studies, other federal and state agencies, industries, and watershed initiatives were evaluated as the 2020 §303(d) List was compiled. Any individual or organization may submit additional data or information during the advertised comment period relative to water quality impairment in waterbodies in Alabama. Chemical, physical, and biological data collected primarily during the previous six years have been considered in the preparation of the §303(d) List, consistent with the Department's water quality assessment and listing methodology. Comments on the methodology were solicited in the public notice included in **Appendix A**. Alabama's water quality assessment methodology may be found the Department's at http://www.adem.alabama.gov/programs/water/wquality/2020WAM.pdf

Data sources include the Alabama Department of Environmental Management, the Alabama Department of Public Health, the Geological Survey of Alabama, the United States Geological Survey, the Tennessee Valley Authority, other public agencies, universities, county and municipal governments, and industries.

The list contains information such as the waterbody name, county(s) in which the listed segments are located, cause(s) for the use impairment, the source(s) of the pollutant(s) known or suspected to be causing the impairment, the size of the impaired segments, and the location of the listed waterbodies.

Changes since the 2018 §303(d) List

A number of differences exist between the 2020 §303(d) List and the 2018 §303(d) List. Some of the changes were to correct errors or omissions in the 2018 List and to provide additional or updated information about waterbodies on the list. Other significant changes since 2018 include the addition and deletion of waterbodies.

Table 1 shows the new waterbody/pollutant combinations that are being added to Alabama's §303(d) List and the justification for the additions.

Table 2 provides the waterbody/pollutant combinations that are being removed from the list and placed in a different category and the corresponding justification for each removal.

Table 3 provides a listing of other changes appearing on the 2020 §303(d) List. Many of these changes result from changes to Assessment Units or corrections to causes and sources. Also, some of the TMDL priorities have been adjusted.

Table 4 provides a list of revisions made between the draft 2020 §303(d) List and the final 2020 §303(d) List submitted to EPA. These revisions were made to the list as a result of comments received during the public notice period or as a result of errors identified by ADEM staff since the draft 2020 §303(d) List was public noticed.

Table 5 provides a list of Assessment Units which have been already been addressed in an existing TMDL.

Table 1 Alabama's 2020 §303(d) List New Waterbody/Pollutant Combinations Appearing on the 2020 List

The waterbody/pollutant combinations listed in the following table are proposed for addition to Alabama's 2020 §303(d) List for the reasons presented in the table.

Assessment Unit	Waterbody Name	River Rasin	County	Causes	Basis for Addition to the List	Source / Date of Data
AL03150201-0601-100	•	Alabama	Autauga	Pathogens (E.coli)	Records from 2017 at ADEM station SWFA-1	ADEM
			Chilton		show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in	2017
					4 out of 8 samples, ADEM station SWFA-2 in	
					4 out of 8 samples, and SWFC-1 in 4 out of 8	
					samples.	
AL03150204-0405-103	Alabama River	Alabama	Monroe	Metals (Mercury)	A fish consumption advisory issued by the	ADEM
			Clarke		Alabama Department of Public Health in 2019	2019
					based on records from ADEM station ALRM-	
AL03160109-0205-100	Mulberry Fork	Black Warrior	Cullman	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station MBFB-1 from	ADEM
71203100107 0203 100	Widiocity Tork	Diack Wallion	Blount	Tathogens (E. con)	2013-2018 show that the E.coli criterion was	2013-
			Brount		exceeded in 8 out of 47 samples.	2018
AL03160109-0306-100	Spring Creek	Black Warrior	Walker	Total Dissolved	A Macroinvertebrate Assessment at ADEM	ADEM
				Solids	station SPRW-53 on 4/25/2017 had a Poor	2017
					WMB-I score. Total dissolved solids values	
					measured at this site were consistently higher	
17.001.101.00.0101.100	~ ~ .				than the 90th percentile 68 ecoregional value.	
AL03160109-0601-102	Cane Creek	Black Warrior	Walker	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station CANW-3 from	ADEM
					2018 show that the E.coli criterion was	2018
AL03160109-0601-902	Town Creek	Black Warrior	Walker	Pathogens (E. coli)	exceeded in 2 out of 8 samples. Records at ADEM station TCJ-1 from 2018	ADEM
AL03100109-0001-902	TOWIT CIECK	DIACK WAITIOI	vv aikei	r autogens (E. con)	show that the E.coli criterion was exceeded in	2018
					5 out of 8 samples.	2010
AL03160110-0203-110	Inman Creek	Black Warrior	Winston	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station INMW-1 from 2017	ADEM
					show that the E.coli criterion was exceeded in	2017
					2 out of 8 samples.	

Assessment Unit	Waterbody Name	River Basin	County	Causes	Basis for Addition to the List	Source / Date of Data
AL03160111-0204-200	Brasher Creek	Black Warrior	Blount	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station BRAB-1 from 2018 show the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 2 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2018
AL03160111-0204-200	Brasher Creek	Black Warrior	Blount	Total Dissolved Solids	A Macroinvertebrate Assessment at ADEM station BRAB-1 on 5/24/2018 had a Poor WMB-I score. Total dissolved solids values measured at this site were consistently higher than the 90th percentile 68d ecoregional value.	ADEM 2018
AL03160111-0204-300	Sand Creek	Black Warrior	Blount	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station SADB-1 from 2018 show that the E.coli criterion was exceeded in 2 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2018
AL03160112-0105-101	Mud Creek	Black Warrior	Jefferson	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station MUDJ-1 from 2018 show that the E.coli criterion was exceeded in 2 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2018
AL03160112-0105-102	Mud Creek	Black Warrior	Jefferson	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station MUDJ-1 from 2018 show that the E.coli criterion was exceeded in 2 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2018
AL03150202-0503-102	Cahaba River	Cahaba	Bibb	Metals (Mercury)	A fish consumption advisory issued by the Alabama Department of Public Health in 2019 based on records from ADEM station CABB-1.	ADEM 2019
AL03160202-0506-100	Cahaba River	Cahaba	Bibb Perry	Metals (Mercury)	A fish consumption advisory issued by the Alabama Department of Public Health in 2019 based on records from ADEM station CABB-1.	ADEM 2019
AL03160202-0702-100	Cahaba River	Cahaba	Perry	Metals (Mercury)	A fish consumption advisory issued by the Alabama Department of Public Health in 2019 based on records from ADEM station CAHP-5.	ADEM 2019
AL03130003-0505-101	Uchee Creek	Chattahoochee	Russell	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station UCCR-1 from 2015-2018 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 3 out of 12 samples.	ADEM 2015- 2018
AL03130012-0201-310	Webb Creek	Chipola	Houston	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station WBCH-3 from 2018 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 3 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2018

Assessment Unit	Waterbody Name	River Basin	County	Causes	Basis for Addition to the List	Source / Date of Data
AL03130012-0201-410	Cooper Creek	Chipola	Houston	Nutrients	Records at ADEM station COPH-2 from 2017 show dissolved oxygen concentrations ranging from 0.0 mg/L to 7.2 mg/L. The median pH value during this period of record was 7.1 s.u. and the maximum value was 7.3 s.u. During this time, the median Total Nitrogen concentration was 7.8 mg/L with a maximum concentration of 17.97 mg/L. The median Total Phosphorus concentration was 1.02 mg/L with a maximum value of 2.12 mg/L. In addition, a maximum chlorophyll a value of 29.10 µg/L was recorded.	ADEM 2017
AL03130012-0201-410	Cooper Creek	Chipola	Houston	Organic enrichment (BOD)	Records at ADEM station COPH-2 from 2017 show that the dissolved oxygen criterion was exceeded in 7 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2017
AL03130012-0201-410	Cooper Creek	Chipola	Houston	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station COPH-2 from 2017 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded 6 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2017
AL03130012-0202-100	Rocky Creek	Chipola	Houston	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station RKYH-5 from 2018 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded 2 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2018
AL03140201-0403-110	Sikes Creek	Choctawhatchee	Barbour Dale	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station SKSD-4 from 2018 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded 2 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2018
AL03140201-1104-100	Double Bridges Creek	Choctawhatchee	Coffee	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station DBCC-2 from 2014 and 2017 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded 6 out of 16 samples.	ADEM 2014, 2017
AL03140202-0506-100	Pea River	Choctawhatchee	Coffee	Metals (Mercury)	A fish consumption advisory issued by the Alabama Department of Public Health in 2019 based on records from ADEM station PEAC-2.	ADEM 2019
AL03140202-0906-102	Pea River	Choctawhatchee	Geneva	Metals (Mercury)	A fish consumption advisory issued by the Alabama Department of Public Health in 2019 based on records from ADEM station PEAG-1.	ADEM 2019

Assessment Unit	Waterbody Name	River Basin	County	Causes	Basis for Addition to the List	Source / Date of Data
AL03150105-0901-200	Marys Creek	Coosa	Cleburne	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station MRYC-2 from 2017 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 3 out of 9 samples.	ADEM 2017
AL03150105-0906-102	Terrapin Creek	Coosa	Calhoun	Pathogens (E.coli)	Records at ADEM station TERC-2 from 2017 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 3 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2017
AL03150105-0906-200	Ladiga Creek	Coosa	Calhoun	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records from 2018 at ADEM station LDCC-1 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 4 out of 8 samples and at ADEM station LDCC-2 in 3 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2018
AL03150105-0908-102	Terrapin Creek	Coosa	Calhoun Cherokee	Pathogens (E.coli)	Records at ADEM station TERC-2 from 2017 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 3 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2017
AL03150105-0909-101	Terrapin Creek	Coosa	Cherokee	Pathogens (E.coli)	Records at ADEM station TERC-3 from 2017 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 3 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2017
AL03150106-0307-101	Beaver Creek	Coosa	St. Clair	Pathogens (E.coli)	Records at ADEM station BEVS-1 from 2018 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 3 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2018
AL03150106-0405-100	Ohatchee Creek	Coosa	Calhoun	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station OHTC-1 from 2018 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 8 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2018
AL03150106-0504-101	Choccolocco Creek	Coosa	Calhoun	Pathogens (E.coli)	Records at ADEM station CHOC-4 from 2017 show that the single sample E. coli criterion was exceeded in 3 out of 18 samples. The E. coli geomean criterion was also exceeded in 2017.	ADEM 2017
AL03150106-0504-102	Choccolocco Creek	Coosa	Calhoun Cleburne	Pathogens (E.coli)	Records at ADEM station CHOC-3 from 2017 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 4 out of 8 samples. The E. coli geomean criterion was also exceeded in 2017.	ADEM 2017
AL03150106-0505-100	UT to Choccolocco Creek	Coosa	Calhoun	Pathogens (E.coli)	Records at ADEM station UCHC-1 from 2017 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 7 out of 23 samples. The E. coli geomean criterion was also exceeded twice in 2017.	ADEM 2017

Assessment Unit	Waterbody Name	River Basin	County	Causes	Basis for Addition to the List	Source / Date of Data
AL03150106-0611-100	Eastaboga Creek	Coosa	Calhoun Talladega	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station ESBT-1 from 2017 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 4 out of 8 samples and records at ADEM station ESBT-3 from 2018 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 2 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2017, 2018
AL03150107-0603-110	Weogufka Creek	Coosa	Clay Coosa	Pathogens (E.coli)	Records at ADEM station WEGC-1 from 2017 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 4 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2017
AL03150107-0706-102	Hatchet Creek	Coosa	Clay Coosa	Pathogens (E.coli)	Records at ADEM station HATC-1 from 2013-2018 show that the single sample E. coli criterion was exceeded in 16 out of 71 samples and records at HATC-2 from 2018 show that the single sample E. coli criterion was exceeded in 2 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2013- 2018
AL03150107-0709-100	Hatchet Creek	Coosa	Coosa	Pathogens (E.coli)	Records at ADEM station HATC-1 from 2013-2018 show that the single sample E. coli criterion was exceeded in 16 out of 71 samples and records at HATC-2 from 2018 show that the single sample E. coli criterion was exceeded in 2 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2013- 2018
AL03140302-0303-100	Little Patsaliga Creek	Escambia	Crenshaw	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station LPAC-1 from 2014 and 2017 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded 4 out of 15 samples.	ADEM 2014, 2017
AL03140303-0204-102	Persimmon Creek	Escambia	Butler	Metals (Mercury)	A fish consumption advisory issued by the Alabama Department of Public Health in 2019 based on records from ADEM station PSNB-7.	ADEM 2019
AL03170008-0205-101	Puppy Creek	Escatawpa	Mobile	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station PPYM-1 from 2015 and 2017 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 3 out of 16 samples.	ADEM 2015, 2017
AL03160204-0104-100	Halls Creek	Mobile	Baldwin	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station HLB-1 from 2016-2017 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 4 out of 16 samples.	ADEM 2016- 2017

Assessment Unit	Waterbody Name	River Basin	County	Causes	Basis for Addition to the List	Source / Date of Data
AL03160204-0106-103	Mobile River	Mobile	Mobile	Metals (Mercury)	A fish consumption advisory issued by the Alabama Department of Public Health in 2019 based on records from ADEM station MOBM-5.	ADEM 2019
AL03160204-0402-102	Bayou Sara	Mobile	Mobile	Metals (Mercury)	A fish consumption advisory issued by the Alabama Department of Public Health in 2019 based on records from ADEM station BYSM-4.	ADEM 2019
AL03160204-0402-103	Bayou Sara	Mobile	Mobile	Metals (Mercury)	A fish consumption advisory issued by the Alabama Department of Public Health in 2019 based on records from ADEM station BYSM-4.	ADEM 2019
AL03160204-0402-501	Norton Creek	Mobile	Mobile	Metals (Mercury)	A fish consumption advisory issued by the Alabama Department of Public Health in 2019 based on records from ADEM station BYSM-4.	ADEM 2019
AL03160205-0103-402	Rabbit Creek	Mobile	Mobile	Metals (Mercury)	A fish consumption advisory issued by the Alabama Department of Public Health in 2019 based on records from ADEM station RBBM-1.	ADEM 2019
AL03160205-0104-111	Fowl River	Mobile	Mobile	Pathogens (Enterococcus)	Records at ADEM station FWL_R from 2017 and 2018 show that the enterococcus criterion was exceeded in 7 out of 37 and 8 out of 37 samples, respectively. The geomean criterion was also exceeded in 2017.	ADEM 2017- 2018
AL03160205-0202-310	Silver Creek	Mobile	Baldwin	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station SILB-1 from 2017 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 2 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2017
AL03160205-0204-401	Turkey Branch	Mobile	Baldwin	Metals (Mercury)	A fish consumption advisory issued by the Alabama Department of Public Health in 2019 based on records from ADEM station TURB-2.	ADEM 2019
AL03160205-0204-402	Turkey Branch	Mobile	Baldwin	Metals (Mercury)	A fish consumption advisory issued by the Alabama Department of Public Health in 2019 based on records from ADEM station TURB-2.	ADEM 2019

Assessment Unit	Waterbody Name	River Basin	County	Causes	Basis for Addition to the List	Source / Date of Data
AL03140107-0201-100		Perdido	Baldwin	Metals (Mercury)	A fish consumption advisory issued by the Alabama Department of Public Health in 2019 based on records from ADEM station WO-1A.	ADEM 2019
AL03140107-0201-210	Sandy Creek	Perdido	Baldwin	Pathogens (Enterococcus)	Records at ADEM station SDYB-2 from 2013-2015 show that the Enterococci criterion was exceeded in 5 out of 16 samples.	ADEM 2013- 2015
AL03140107-0201-210	Sandy Creek	Perdido	Baldwin	Metals (Mercury)	A fish consumption advisory issued by the Alabama Department of Public Health in 2019 based on records from ADEM station SNDB-1.	ADEM 2019
AL03140107-0201-220	Sandy Creek	Perdido	Baldwin	Pathogens (Enterococcus)	Records at ADEM station SDYB-2 from 2013-2015 show that the Enterococci criterion was exceeded in 5 out of 16 samples.	ADEM 2013- 2015
AL03140107-0201-220	Sandy Creek	Perdido	Baldwin	Metals (Mercury)	A fish consumption advisory issued by the Alabama Department of Public Health in 2019 based on records from ADEM station SNDB-1.	ADEM 2019
AL03140107-0202-101	Miflin Creek	Perdido	Baldwin	Pathogens (Enterococcus)	Records at ADEM station MIFB-1 from 2013-2015 show that the Enterococci criterion was exceeded in 3 out of 16 samples.	ADEM 2013- 2015
AL03140107-0202-101	Miflin Creek	Perdido	Baldwin	Metals (Mercury)	A fish consumption advisory issued by the Alabama Department of Public Health in 2019 based on records from ADEM station MIFB-1.	ADEM 2019
AL03140107-0202-102	Miflin Creek	Perdido	Baldwin	Metals (Mercury)	A fish consumption advisory issued by the Alabama Department of Public Health in 2019 based on records from ADEM station MIFB-1.	ADEM 2019
AL03140107-0202-102	Miflin Creek	Perdido	Baldwin	Pathogens (Enterococcus)	Records at ADEM station MIFB-1 from 2013-2015 show that the Enterococci criterion was exceeded in 3 out of 16 samples.	ADEM 2013- 2015
AL03150110-0905-101	Tallapoosa River	Tallapoosa	Elmore Montgomery	Metals (Mercury)	A fish consumption advisory issued by the Alabama Department of Public Health in 2019 based on records from ADEM station TARE-1.	ADEM 2019

Assessment Unit	Waterbody Name	River Basin	County	Causes	Basis for Addition to the List	Source / Date of Data
AL03150108-0803-200	Knokes Creek	Tallapoosa	Cleburne Randolph	Pathogens (E.coli)	Records from 2017 at ADEM station KNSR-10 show that the E.coli criterion was exceeded in 13 out of 16 samples and at ADEM station KNSR-13 in 11 out of 16 samples.	ADEM 2017
AL03150109-0405-500	Hackney Creek	Tallapoosa	Tallapoosa	Pathogens (E.coli)	Records at ADEM station HKCT-2 from 2018 show that the E.coli criterion was exceeded in 5 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2018
AL06030001-0806-600	Drum Creek	Tennessee	Marshall	Organic enrichment (BOD)	Records at ADEM station DC-4 from 2018 show that the DO criterion was exceeded in 5 out of 9 samples.	ADEM 2018
AL06030002-0203-401	Cole Spring Branch	Tennessee	Jackson	Pathogens (E.coli)	Records at ADEM station CSPJ-69 from 2013 and 2017 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 4 out of 9 samples.	ADEM 2013, 2017
AL06030002-0203-402	Cole Spring Branch	Tennessee	Jackson	Pathogens (E.coli)	Records at ADEM station CSPJ-70 from 2013 and 2017 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 4 out of 12 samples.	ADEM 2013, 2017
AL06030002-0203-403	Cole Spring Branch	Tennessee	Jackson	Pathogens (E.coli)	Records at ADEM station CSPJ-70 from 2013 and 2017 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 4 out of 12 samples.	ADEM 2013, 2017
AL06030002-0203-100	Paint Rock River	Tennessee	Jackson	Pathogens (E.coli)	Records from 2017 at ADEM station PRRJ-4 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 3 out of 8 samples and at ADEM station PRRJ-21 in 3 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2017
AL06030005-0509-800	Indiancamp Creek	Tennessee	Lauderdale	Pathogens (E.coli)	Records at ADEM station INCL-1 from 2013 and 2016-2017 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 8 out of 20 samples.	ADEM 2013, 2016- 2017
AL06030005-0805-100	Little Bear Creek	Tennessee	Colbert	Metals (Mercury)	A fish consumption advisory issued by the Alabama Department of Public Health in 2019 based on records from ADEM station PICL-7.	ADEM 2019
AL06030006-0201-300	Payne Creek	Tennessee	Franklin	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station PYCF-1 from 2018 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 4 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2018
AL06030006-0206-101	Little Bear Creek	Tennessee	Franklin	Pathogens (E.coli)	Records at ADEM station LBRF-4 from 2017 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 2 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2017

Assessment Unit	Waterbody Name	River Basin	County	Causes	Basis for Addition to the List	Source / Date of Data
AL03160201-0301-100	·	Tombigbee	Marengo	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station BVRM-43 from 2016 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 3 out of 7 samples.	ADEM 2016
AL03160201-0703-100	Bashi Creek	Tombigbee	Clarke	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records from 2016 at ADEM station BSCC-1 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 4 out of 7 samples and at ADEM station BSHC-1 in 2 out of 7 samples.	ADEM 2016
AL03160202-0404-101	Sucarnoochee River	Tombigbee	Sumter	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station SUCS-1 from 2013-2018 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 4 out of 17 samples.	ADEM 2013- 2018
AL03160202-0404-102	Sucarnoochee River	Tombigbee	Sumter	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station SUCS-1 from 2013-2018 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 4 out of 17 samples.	ADEM 2013- 2018
AL03160203-0701-100	Little Bassetts Creek	Tombigbee	Washington	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station LTBW-1 from 2017 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 2 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2017
AL03160203-0704-100	Bassetts Creek	Tombigbee	Washington	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records from 2017 at ADEM station BSTW-2 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 2 out of 8 samples and at ADEM station BSTW-3 in 4 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2017
AL03160203-0901-112	Tombigbee River	Tombigbee	Clarke Washington	Metals (Mercury)	A fish consumption advisory issued by the Alabama Department of Public Health in 2019 based on records from ADEM station TOMW-4.	ADEM 2019
AL03160203-1103-103	Tombigbee River	Tombigbee	Clarke Washington	Metals (Mercury)	A fish consumption advisory issued by the Alabama Department of Public Health in 2019 based on records from ADEM stations TOMW-2 and TOMW-4.	ADEM 2019

Table 2 Alabama's 2020 §303(d) List Waterbody/Pollutants Removed from the 2018 List

The waterbody/pollutant combinations in the following table are currently listed on Alabama's 2018 §303(d) List and are proposed for removal from Alabama's 2020 §303(d) List for the reasons presented. Waterbody/pollutant combinations for which EPA has approved a TMDL will be included in Category 4A of the 2020 Integrated Water Quality Report.

Assessment Unit	Waterbody Name	River Basin	County	Cause (Pollutant)	Good Cause Justification for Removal
AL03150203-0703-101	Alabama River (Claiborne Lake)	Alabama	Wilcox	Organic Enrichment (BOD)	Available data for the Alabama River (Claiborne Lake) indicates that impairment for Organic Enrichment (BOD) does not currently exist. Therefore, ADEM will not develop a TMDL due to "more recent data," which is a just cause for delisting waterbodies according to Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 130.7(b)(6)(iv).
AL03150203-0805-102	Alabama River (Claiborne Lake)	Alabama	Wilcox	Organic Enrichment (BOD)	Available data for the Alabama River (Claiborne Lake) indicates that impairment for Organic Enrichment (BOD) does not currently exist. Therefore, ADEM will not develop a TMDL due to "more recent data," which is a just cause for delisting waterbodies according to Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 130.7(b)(6)(iv).
AL03150203-0805-103	Alabama River (Claiborne Lake)	Alabama	Wilcox	Organic Enrichment (BOD)	Available data for the Alabama River (Claiborne Lake) indicates that impairment for Organic Enrichment (BOD) does not currently exist. Therefore, ADEM will not develop a TMDL due to "more recent data," which is a just cause for delisting waterbodies according to Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 130.7(b)(6)(iv).
AL03150203-0805-104	Alabama River (Claiborne Lake)	Alabama	Wilcox	Organic Enrichment (BOD)	Available data for the Alabama River (Claiborne Lake) indicates that impairment for Organic Enrichment (BOD) does not currently exist. Therefore, ADEM will not develop a TMDL due to "more recent data," which is a just cause for delisting waterbodies according to Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 130.7(b)(6)(iv).

Assessment Unit	Waterbody Name	River Basin	County	Cause (Pollutant)	Good Cause Justification for Removal
AL03150203-0805-105	Alabama River (Claiborne Lake)	Alabama	Wilcox	Organic Enrichment (BOD)	Available data for the Alabama River (Claiborne Lake) indicates that impairment for Organic Enrichment (BOD) does not currently exist. Therefore, ADEM will not develop a TMDL due to "more recent data," which is a just cause for delisting waterbodies according to Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 130.7(b)(6)(iv).
AL03150203-0802-111	Pursley Creek (Claiborne Lake)	Alabama	Wilcox	Organic Enrichment (BOD)	Available data for Pursley Creek (Claiborne Lake) indicates that impairment for Organic Enrichment (BOD) does not currently exist. Therefore, ADEM will not develop a TMDL due to "more recent data," which is a just cause for delisting waterbodies according to Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 130.7(b)(6)(iv).
AL03150203-0103-200	Coffee Creek	Alabama	Dallas Perry	Pathogens (E. coli)	TMDL Approved by EPA on 09/09/2019.
AL03150201-0104-302	Three Mile Branch	Alabama	Montgomery	Pathogens (E. coli)	TMDL Approved by EPA on 09/09/2019.
AL03160109-0602-601	Old Town Creek	Black Warrior	Walker	Nutrients	Available data for Old Town Creek indicates that impairment for Nutrients does not currently exist. Therefore, ADEM will not develop a TMDL due to "more recent data," which is a just cause for delisting waterbodies according to Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 130.7(b)(6)(iv).
AL03160112-0304-110	Pegues Creek	Black Warrior	Tuscaloosa	Metals (Chromium)	Available data for Pegues Creek indicates that impairment for Metals (Chromium) does not currently exist. Therefore, ADEM will not develop a TMDL due to "more recent data," which is a just cause for delisting waterbodies according to Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 130.7(b)(6)(iv).
AL03160112-0304-110	Pegues Creek	Black Warrior	Tuscaloosa	Metals (Lead)	Available data for Pegues Creek indicates that impairment for Metals (Lead) does not currently exist. Therefore, ADEM will not develop a TMDL due to "more recent data," which is a just cause for delisting waterbodies according to Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 130.7(b)(6)(iv).
AL03160112-0304-110	Pegues Creek	Black Warrior	Tuscaloosa	Siltation	Available data for Pegues Creek indicates that impairment for Siltation does not currently exist. Therefore, ADEM will not develop a TMDL due to "more recent data," which

Assessment Unit	Waterbody Name	River Basin	County	Cause (Pollutant)	Good Cause Justification for Removal
					is a just cause for delisting waterbodies according to Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 130.7(b)(6)(iv).
	Black Creek	Black Warrior	Jefferson	pН	TMDL Approved by EPA on 09/09/2019.
AL03140201-0501-201	Beaver Creek	Choctawhatchee	Houston	Organic enrichment (BOD)	Available data for Beaver Creek indicates that impairment for Organic Enrichment (BOD) does not currently exist. Therefore, ADEM will not develop a TMDL due to "more recent data," which is a just cause for delisting waterbodies according to Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 130.7(b)(6)(iv).
AL03140201-0501-201	Beaver Creek	Choctawhatchee	Houston	Nutrients	Available data for Beaver Creek indicates that impairment for Nutrients does not currently exist. Therefore, ADEM will not develop a TMDL due to "more recent data," which is a just cause for delisting waterbodies according to Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 130.7(b)(6)(iv).
AL03140201-1102-500	Blanket Creek	Choctawhatchee	Coffee	Organic enrichment (BOD)	Available data for Blanket Creek indicates that impairment for Organic Enrichment (BOD) does not currently exist. Therefore, ADEM will not develop a TMDL due to "more recent data," which is a just cause for delisting waterbodies according to Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 130.7(b)(6)(iv).
AL03160205-0202-510	Baker Branch	Mobile	Baldwin	Organic enrichment (BOD)	Available data for Baker Branch indicates that impairment for Organic Enrichment (BOD) does not currently exist. Therefore, ADEM will not develop a TMDL due to "more recent data," which is a just cause for delisting waterbodies according to Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 130.7(b)(6)(iv).
AL03160204-0505-800	Joes Branch	Mobile	Baldwin	Siltation	Available data for Joes Branch indicates that impairment for Siltation does not currently exist. Therefore, ADEM will not develop a TMDL due to "more recent data," which is a just cause for delisting waterbodies according to Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 130.7(b)(6)(iv).
AL06030002-0106-101	Guess Creek	Tennessee	Jackson	Organic enrichment (BOD)	Available data for Guess Creek indicates that impairment for Organic Enrichment (BOD) does not currently exist. Therefore, ADEM will not develop a TMDL due to "more

Assessment Unit	Waterbody Name	River Basin	County	Cause (Pollutant)	Good Cause Justification for Removal recent data," which is a just cause for delisting waterbodies
					according to Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 130.7(b)(6)(iv)
AL06030002-0106-101	Guess Creek	Tennessee	Jackson	Unknown Toxicity	Available data for Guess Creek indicates that impairment for Unknown Toxicity does not currently exist. Therefore, ADEM will not develop a TMDL due to "more recent data," which is a just cause for delisting waterbodies according to Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 130.7(b)(6)(iv).
AL06030005-0808-103	Tennessee River (Pickwick Lake)	Tennessee	Colbert Lauderdale	Nutrients	Available data for the Tennessee River (Pickwick Lake) indicates that impairment for Nutrients does not currently exist. Therefore, ADEM will not develop a TMDL due to "more recent data," which is a just cause for delisting waterbodies according to Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 130.7(b)(6)(iv).
AL06030005-0808-104	Tennessee River (Pickwick Lake)	Tennessee	Colbert Lauderdale	Nutrients	Available data for the Tennessee River (Pickwick Lake) indicates that impairment for Nutrients does not currently exist. Therefore, ADEM will not develop a TMDL due to "more recent data," which is a just cause for delisting waterbodies according to Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 130.7(b)(6)(iv).
AL06030005-1203-100	Tennessee River (Pickwick Lake)	Tennessee	Colbert Lauderdale	Nutrients	Available data for the Tennessee River (Pickwick Lake) indicates that impairment for Nutrients does not currently exist. Therefore, ADEM will not develop a TMDL due to "more recent data," which is a just cause for delisting waterbodies according to Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 130.7(b)(6)(iv).
AL06030005-0807-111	Cane Creek (Pickwick Lake)	Tennessee	Colbert	Nutrients	Available data for Cane Creek (Pickwick Lake) indicates that impairment for Nutrients does not currently exist. Therefore, ADEM will not develop a TMDL due to "more recent data," which is a just cause for delisting waterbodies according to Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 130.7(b)(6)(iv).
AL06030005-0605-111	Cypress Creek (Pickwick Lake)	Tennessee	Lauderdale	Nutrients	Available data for Cypress Creek (Pickwick Lake) indicates that impairment for Nutrients does not currently exist. Therefore, ADEM will not develop a TMDL due to "more recent data," which is a just cause for delisting

Assessment Unit	Waterbody Name	River Basin	County	Cause (Pollutant)	Good Cause Justification for Removal
					waterbodies according to Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 130.7(b)(6)(iv).
AL06030005-0902-111	Second Creek (Pickwick Lake)	Tennessee	Lauderdale	Nutrients	Available data for Second Creek (Pickwick Lake) indicates that impairment for Nutrients does not currently exist. Therefore, ADEM will not develop a TMDL due to "more recent data," which is a just cause for delisting waterbodies according to Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 130.7(b)(6)(iv).

Table 3
<u>List of Other Changes Appearing on Alabama's 2020 §303(d) List</u>

Assessment Unit ID	Waterbody Name	River Basin	County	Revision
AL03150201-0101-200	Callaway Creek	Alabama	Elmore	The priority ranking for Nutrients on this Assessment Unit has been changed to Medium.
AL03150201-0601-100	Swift Creek	Alabama	Autauga Chilton	Assessment Units AL03150201-0601-100 and AL03150201-0603-110 were created from a split of Assessment Unit AL03150201-0603-100.
AL03150204-0405-103	Alabama River	Alabama	Monroe Clarke	Assessment Units AL03150204-0405-103 and AL03150204-0705- 111 were created from a split of Assessment Units AL03150204- 0405-102 and AL03150204-0705-110.
AL03160112-0106-111	Valley Creek (Bankhead Lake)	Black Warrior	Jefferson	The priority ranking for Nutrients on this Assessment Unit has been changed to Medium.
AL03160109-0205-100	Mulberry Fork	Black Warrior	Cullman Blount	Assessment Units AL03160109-0205-100 and AL03160109-0206-110 were created from a split of Assessment Unit AL03160109-0206-100.
AL03160111-0204-200	Brasher Creek	Black Warrior	Blount	Assessment Unit was created and assessed based on ADEM 2018 data.
AL03160111-0204-300	Sand Creek	Black Warrior	Blount	Assessment Unit was created and assessed based on ADEM 2018 data.
AL03160110-0401-100	Blevens Creek	Black Warrior	Cullman Winston	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed to Medium.
AL03160112-0503-100	Cottondale Creek	Black Warrior	Tuscaloosa	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed to Medium.
AL03160202-0506-100	Cahaba River	Cahaba	Bibb Perry	Assessment Units AL03160202-0506-100, AL03160202-0702-100, and AL03150202-0902-101 were created from a split of Assessment Unit AL03150202-0902-100.
AL03160202-0702-100	Cahaba River	Cahaba	Perry	Assessment Units AL03160202-0506-100, AL03160202-0702-100, and AL03150202-0902-101 were created from a split of Assessment Unit AL03150202-0902-100.
AL03150202-0503-102	Cahaba River	Cahaba	Bibb	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed to High.
AL03130004-0206-100	Bennett Mill Creek	Chattahoochee	Henry	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed to Medium.
AL03130003-1204-100	South Fork Cowikee Creek	Chattahoochee	Barbour Bullock	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed to Medium.

Assessment Unit ID	Waterbody Name	River Basin	County	Revision
AL03130012-0203-110	Cowarts Creek	Chipola	Houston	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed to Medium.
AL03140202-0702-110	Flat Creek	Choctawhatchee	Coffee Covington Geneva	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed to Medium.
AL03140202-0505-100	Pea River	Choctawhatchee	Coffee Dale	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed to Medium.
AL03140201-0407-101	West Fork Choctawhatchee River	Choctawhatchee	Dale	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed to Medium.
AL03140201-0407-102	West Fork Choctawhatchee River	Choctawhatchee	Dale	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed to Medium.
AL03140201-0406-100	West Fork Choctawhatchee River	Choctawhatchee	Barbour Dale	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed to Medium.
AL03140201-1104-100	Double Bridges Creek	Choctawhatchee	Coffee	Assessment Units AL03140201-1104-100 and AL03140201-1106-110 were created from a split of Assessment Unit AL03140201-1106-100.
AL03150106-0307-102	Beaver Creek	Coosa	St. Clair	Assessment Units AL03150106-0307-102 and AL03150106-0307-101 were created from a split of Assessment Unit AL03150106-0307-100.
AL03150106-0405-100	Ohatchee Creek	Coosa	Calhoun	Assessment Units AL03150106-0405-100 and AL03150106-0406-110 were created from a split of Assessment Unit AL03150106-0406-100.
AL03150107-0405-100	Buxahatchee Creek	Coosa	Chilton Shelby	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed to High.
AL03140303-0204-102	Persimmon Creek	Escambia	Butler	Assessment Units AL03140303-0204-102, AL03140303-0204-101, and AL03140303-0102-100 were created from a split of Assessment Unit AL03140303-0204-100.
AL03170008-0502-600	Boggy Branch	Escatawpa	Mobile	The priority ranking for Metals (Iron) on this Assessment Unit has been changed to Medium.
AL03170008-0502-600	Boggy Branch	Escatawpa	Mobile	The priority ranking for Metals (Lead) on this Assessment Unit has been changed to Medium.
AL03170008-0502-800	Collins Creek	Escatawpa	Mobile	The priority ranking for Metals (Arsenic) on this Assessment Unit has been changed to Low.
AL03160205-0104-111	Fowl River	Mobile	Mobile	Assessment Units AL03160205-0104-111 and AL03160205-0104-112 were created from a split of Assessment Unit AL03160205-0104-110.

Assessment Unit ID	Waterbody Name	River Basin	County	Revision
AL03160205-0104-112	Fowl River	Mobile	Mobile	Assessment Units AL03160205-0104-111 and AL03160205-0104-
				112 were created from a split of Assessment Unit AL03160205-0104-
				110.
AL03160205-0202-310	Silver Creek	Mobile	Baldwin	Assessment Unit was created and assessed based on ADEM 2017
				data.
AL03140106-0302-202	Boggy Branch	Perdido	Escambia	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit
AT 021 4010 C 0202 202	D D 1	D 11.1	Б 11	has been changed to Medium.
AL03140106-0302-203	Boggy Branch	Perdido	Escambia	The priority ranking for Metals (Lead) on this Assessment Unit has
AT 02140106 0202 202	D D 1.	D 1' 1 .	F 1. 1 .	been changed to Medium.
AL03140106-0302-203	Boggy Branch	Perdido	Escambia	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit
AL03140106-0302-101	Danielas Caralla	Perdido	Escambia	has been changed to Medium. The priority ranking for Metals (Lead) on this Assessment Unit has
AL03140100-0302-101	Brushy Creek	Peruido	Escambia	been changed to Medium.
AL03140107-0201-210	Sandy Creek	Perdido	Baldwin	Assessment Units AL03140107-0201-210 and AL03140107-0201-
ALU3140107-0201-210	Sandy Cleek	reidido	Daluwiii	220 were created from a split of Assessment Unit
				AL03140107-0201-200.
AL03140107-0201-220	Sandy Creek	Perdido	Baldwin	Assessment Units AL03140107-0201-210 and AL03140107-0201-
71L03140107 0201 220	Sandy Creek	Terdido	Daidwiii	220 were created from a split of Assessment Unit
				AL03140107-0201-200.
AL03150110-0504-101	Calebee Creek	Tallapoosa	Macon	The priority ranking for Siltation on this Assessment Unit has been
				changed to High.
AL03150110-0604-100	Cubahatchee Creek	Tallapoosa	Macon	The priority ranking for Siltation on this Assessment Unit has been
				changed to High.
AL03150110-0603-102	Cubahatchee Creek	Tallapoosa	Bullock	The priority ranking for Siltation on this Assessment Unit has been
			Macon	changed to High.
AL03150110-0804-101	Line Creek	Tallapoosa	Macon	The priority ranking for Siltation on this Assessment Unit has been
			Montgomery	changed to High.
AL03150110-0804-102	Line Creek	Tallapoosa	Macon	The priority ranking for Siltation on this Assessment Unit has been
			Montgomery	changed to High.
AL03150110-0904-300	Jenkins Creek	Tallapoosa	Montgomery	The priority ranking for Siltation on this Assessment Unit has been
				changed to Low.
AL06030002-0305-100	Beaverdam Creek	Tennessee	Madison	The priority ranking for Siltation on this Assessment Unit has been
17.010.000			1.5.1	changed to Medium.
AL06030002-0306-110	Brier Fork	Tennessee	Madison	The priority ranking for Siltation on this Assessment Unit has been
170100000000000000000000000000000000000			1	changed to Medium.
AL06030002-0403-112	Flint River	Tennessee	Madison	The priority ranking for Turbidity on this Assessment Unit has been
				changed to Medium.

Assessment Unit ID	Waterbody Name	River Basin	County	Revision
AL06030002-0601-300	Hughes Creek	Tennessee	Marshall	The priority ranking for Siltation on this Assessment Unit has been
			Morgan	changed to Low.
AL06030002-0602-102	West Fork Cotaco Creek	Tennessee	Morgan	The priority ranking for Siltation on this Assessment Unit has been changed to Low.
AL06030002-0203-100	Paint Rock River	Tennessee	Jackson	Assessment Units AL06030002-0203-100 and AL06030002-0204-110 were created from a split of Assessment Unit AL06030002-0204-100.
AL06030006-0201-300	Payne Creek	Tennessee	Franklin	Assessment Unit was created and assessed based on ADEM 2018 data.
AL03160201-0301-100	Beaver Creek	Tombigbee	Marengo	Assessment Units AL03160201-0301-100 and AL03160201-0302-110 were created from a split of Assessment Unit AL03160201-0302-100.
AL03160201-0703-100	Bashi Creek	Tombigbee	Clarke	Assessment Units AL03160201-0703-100 and AL03160201-0704-110 were created from a split of Assessment Unit AL03160201-0704-100.
AL03160203-0704-100	Bassetts Creek	Tombigbee	Washington	Assessment Units AL03160203-0704-100 and AL03160203-0705-110 were created from a split of Assessment Unit AL03160203-0705-100.
AL03160105-0204-102	Luxapallila Creek	Tombigbee	Fayette Lamar	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed to High.
AL03160203-0205-100	Salitpa Creek	Tombigbee	Clarke	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed to High.

Table 4
Additional Revisions made between the Draft 2020 §303(d) List and the Final 2020 §303(d) List

Assessment Unit ID	Waterbody Name	River Basin	County	Revision
AL03160109-0601-901	Town Creek	Black Warrior	Walker	Data shows there is not a Pathogens impairment on this LWF
				segment.
AL03150202-0506-100	Cahaba River	Cahaba	Bibb	AU was incorrectly numbered as AL03160202-0506-100 in the 2020
			Perry	draft 303(d) list.
AL03150202-0702-100	Cahaba River	Cahaba	Perry	AU was incorrectly numbered as AL03160202-0702-100 in the 2020
				draft 303(d) list. Downstream location changed from "Alabama
				River" to "Waters Creek".
AL06030006-0201-300	Payne Creek	Tennessee	Franklin	Upstream location changed from "its source" to "Sloss Lake".

Table 5 Assessment Units listed in Category 4a

Assessment Unit ID	Waterbody Name	River Basin	County	Action
AL03160112-0203-100	Black Warrior River	Black Warrior	Jefferson	The impairment for Nutrients (pH) is already addressed in the Locust
	(Bankhead Lake)		Tuscaloosa	Fork nutrients <u>TMDL</u> .
			Walker	
AL03160205-0102-110	Halls Mill Creek	Mobile	Mobile	The impairment for Pathogens (E. coli) is already addressed in the
				Rabbit Creek and Dog River pathogens TMDL.
AL03160205-0103-402	Rabbit Creek	Mobile	Mobile	The impairment for Pathogens (E. coli) is already addressed in the
				Rabbit Creek and Dog River pathogens TMDL.

Appendix A Public Notice

Alabama Department of Environmental Management

Notice Requesting Data and Information for Preparation of Alabama's Draft 2020 Section 303(d) List of Impaired Waters and Comments on Alabama's Draft Water Assessment and Listing Methodology

Public Notice - 210

Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act requires that each state identify those waters that do not currently support designated uses and establish a priority ranking of the waters, taking into account the severity of the pollution and the uses to be made of the waters. For each water on the list, the state is required to establish the total maximum daily load (TMDL) at a level necessary to implement the applicable water quality standards.

At this time, ADEM has begun development of the 2020 Section 303(d) list and is soliciting data and information for consideration during preparation of the list. Also, the Department is soliciting comments on Alabama's Water Assessment and Listing Methodology which will be used to develop the 2020 Section 303(d) list. The methodology has been prepared to assist the Department in the development of the 303(d) list and establishes minimum data requirements and assessment/listing protocols. In order to be fully considered in this process, persons wishing to offer a submittal should do so in an electronic format.

While the Department will consider all data submitted, we reserve the right to incorporate only those data that meet minimum quality standards. The Department is not bound by interpretations provided by data submitters. It should also be noted that the Department is unable to pay a fee for the use of data. Data, information, and comments should be submitted to Joseph Roy, Water Division, Alabama Department of Environmental Management, P.O. Box 301463, Montgomery, Alabama 36130-1463 (street address: 1400 Coliseum Boulevard, Montgomery, Alabama 36110-2400) or by e-mail at jtr@adem.alabama.gov. Data, information, and comments must be received by the Department prior to 5:00 p.m. on September 25, 2019.

An electronic copy of the Draft Water Assessment and Listing Methodology is available on ADEM's website under the Public Notice section at the following address: http://adem.alabama.gov/newsEvents/publicNotices.cnt

This notice is hereby given this **26th day of August 2019** by authorization of the Alabama Department of Environmental Management.

Lance LeFleur, Director

Nondiscrimination Statement: The Department does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age or disability in the administration of its programs.