

# 2015 Monitoring Summary



# Capsey Creek at unnamed Winston County road (Forest Service 266) (34.26957/-87.21056)

# BACKGROUND

The Upper Sipsey Fork of the Black Warrior River and its tributaries are one of five waterbodies within Alabama designated as an Outstanding National Resource Water (ONRW) by the USEPA. The ONRW designation identifies waters of National and State parks and wildlife refuges and waters of exceptional or ecological significance. Located entirely within Bankhead National Forest, the Upper Sipsey Fork of the Black Warrior River was identified as a Strategic Habitat Unit (SHU) by the Alabama River and Streams Network (ARSN). SHUs are recognized as high-quality habitats occupied by federally listed and state imperiled species.

Capsey Creek, a tributary of Sipsey Fork, is among the least-disturbed watersheds in the Black Warrior river basin based on landuse, road density, and population density. It has been monitored as a "best attainable" condition reference watershed by the Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM) since 1997 for comparison with other streams in the Dissected Plateau (68e) ecoregion.



Figure 1. Capsey Creek at CPSY-1, May 11, 2015.

#### WATERSHED CHARACTERISTICS

Watershed characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Capsey Creek (Figure 1) is a Fish & Wildlife (F&W) tributary of the Black Warrior River in the Dissected Plateau ecoregion. This ecoregion is a rugged, hilly, moderately to strongly dissected plateau containing some steep-sided gorge-like valleys and moderate to high gradient streams with bedrock and boulder substrates. Based on the 2011 National Land Cover Dataset, eighty-seven percent of landuse within the watershed is forested and entirely within Bankhead National Forest. There are no NPDES outfalls located within the watershed.

## REACH CHARACTERISTICS

General observations (Table 2) and a habitat assessment (Table 3) were completed during the macroinvertebrate assessment. In comparison with reference reaches in the same ecoregion, they give an indication of the physical condition of the site and the quality and availability of habitat. Typical of ecoregion 68e, Capsey Creek at CPSY-1 is a rifflerun stream characterized by a mix of bedrock, boulder and sand substrates. Overall habitat quality was categorized as sub-optimal.

Table 1. Summary of watershed characteristics. Watershed Characteristics

| Basin                       |                    | Black Warrior R |  |  |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Drainage Area (mi²)         |                    | 20              |  |  |
| Ecoregion <sup>a</sup>      |                    | 68E             |  |  |
| Landuse <sup>b</sup>        |                    |                 |  |  |
| Open water                  |                    | <1%             |  |  |
| Wetland                     | Woody              | <1%             |  |  |
| Forest                      | Deciduous          | 39%             |  |  |
|                             | Evergreen          | 26%             |  |  |
|                             | Mixed              | 22%             |  |  |
| Shrub/scrub                 |                    | 4%              |  |  |
| Grassland/herbaceous        | 3                  | 2%              |  |  |
| Pasture/hay                 |                    | 6%              |  |  |
| Cultivated crops            |                    | <1%             |  |  |
| Development                 | Open space         | 1%              |  |  |
|                             | Low intensity      | <1%             |  |  |
|                             | Moderate intensity | <1%             |  |  |
|                             | High intensity     | <1%             |  |  |
| Barren                      |                    | <1%             |  |  |
| Population/km <sup>2c</sup> |                    | 5               |  |  |

- a. Dissected Plateau
- b. 2011 National Land Cover Dataset
- c. 2010 US Census

Table 2. Physical characteristics of Capsey Creek at CPSY-1, May 11, 2015.

| Physical Characteristics |                |                |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Width (ft)               |                | 50             |  |  |  |  |
| <b>Canopy Cover</b>      |                | Estimate 50/50 |  |  |  |  |
| Depth (ft)               |                |                |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Riffle         | 0.5            |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Run            | 1.5            |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Pool           | 3.0            |  |  |  |  |
| % of Reach               |                |                |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Riffle         | 20             |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Run            | 20             |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Pool           | 60             |  |  |  |  |
| % Substrate              |                |                |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Bedrock        | 40             |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Boulder        | 20             |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Cobble         | 10             |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Gravel         | 5              |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Sand           | 20             |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Silt           | 7              |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Organic Matter | 3              |  |  |  |  |

#### **BIOASSESSMENT RESULTS**

Benthic macroinvertebrate communities were sampled using ADEM's Intensive Multi-habitat Bioassessment methodology (WMB-I). The WMB-I uses measures of taxonomic richness, community composition, and community tolerance to assess the overall health of the macroinvertebrate community. Each metric is scored on a 100 point scale. The final score is the average of the score for each metric. Metric results indicated the macroinvertebrate community to be in excellent condition, with very high taxa richness and number of rare and intolerant taxa (Table 4).

**Table 3.** Results of the habitat assessment conducted on Capsey Creek at CPSY-1, May 11, 2015.

| Habitat Assessment              | % Maximum<br>Score | Rating              |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Instream Habitat Quality        | 72                 | Sub-Optimal (55-79) |  |  |  |
| Sediment Deposition             | 65                 | Sub-Optimal (55-79) |  |  |  |
| Riffle frequency                | 78                 | Sub-Optimal (55-79) |  |  |  |
| Bank Vegetative Stability       | 71                 | Sub-Optimal (58-79) |  |  |  |
| Riparian Buffer                 | 93                 | Optimal (>84)       |  |  |  |
| <b>Habitat Assessment Score</b> | 150                |                     |  |  |  |
| % of Maximum Score              | 79                 | Sub-Optimal (57-80) |  |  |  |

**Table 4.** Results of the macroinvertebrate bioassessment conducted in Capsey Creek at CPSY-1, May 11, 2015.

| Macroinvertebrate Assessment            |           |  |  |  |  |
|---|-----------|--|--|--|--|
|   | Results   |  |  |  |  |
| Taxa richness measures                  |           |  |  |  |  |
| Total # Taxa                            | 93        |  |  |  |  |
| # EPT taxa                              | 36        |  |  |  |  |
| # Highly-sensitive and Specialized Taxa | 13        |  |  |  |  |
| Taxonomic composition measures          |           |  |  |  |  |
| % EPC taxa                              | 39        |  |  |  |  |
| % Non-insect taxa                       | 6         |  |  |  |  |
| % Dominant taxon                        | 21        |  |  |  |  |
| % Individuals in Dominant 5 Taxa        | 57        |  |  |  |  |
| Functional feeding group measures       |           |  |  |  |  |
| % Predators                             | 7         |  |  |  |  |
| Tolerance measures                      |           |  |  |  |  |
| # Sensitive EPT                         | 27        |  |  |  |  |
| % Sensitive taxa                        | 14        |  |  |  |  |
| % Taxa as Tolerant                      | 23        |  |  |  |  |
| WMB-I Assessment Score                  | 2         |  |  |  |  |
| WMB-I Assessment Rating                 | Excellent |  |  |  |  |

# WATER CHEMISTRY

Results of water chemistry analyses are presented in Table 5. In situ measurements and water samples were collected monthly, semi-monthly (metals), or quarterly (pesticides, herbicides (atrazine), and semi-volatile organics) during March through October of 2015 to help identify any stressors to the biological communities.

In situ parameters, which were measured during each site visit, indicated that water quality in Capsey Creek at CPSY-1 was meeting its Fish & Wildlife water use classification. Median concentrations of specific conductance, hardness and chlorides were elevated above background concentrations based on data collected from ADEM's ecoregional reference reaches in ecoregion 68e.

#### **SUMMARY**

Capsey Creek is a tributary of the Upper Sipsey Fork of the Black Warrior River, which has been designated as both an *Outstanding National Resource Water* and a *Wild and Scenic River* by the USEPA and a Strategic Habitat Unit by ARSN. Bioassessment results indicated the macroinvertebrate community to be in *excellent* condition. Overall habitat quality was categorized as *suboptimal*. Conductivity, hardness and chloride concentrations were higher than expected for streams in this region of the state. Monitoring should continue to ensure that the high water quality conditions are maintained.

**Table 5.** Summary of water quality data collected March-October, 2015. Minimum (Min) and maximum (Max) values calculated using minimum detection limits (MDL) when results were less than this value. Median, average (Avg), and standard deviations (SD) values were calculated by multiplying the MDL by 0.5 when results were less than this value.

| results were less than this value.                          | NI |   | Min   |   | May   | Mad   |   | Λια   | CD    | _ |
|---|----|---|-------|---|-------|-------|---|-------|-------|---|
| Parameter   | N  |   | Min   |   | Max   | Med   |   | Avg   | SD    | Q |
| Physical Temperature (°C)                                   | 12 |   | 12.6  |   | 24.4  | 18.6  |   | 18.5  | 4.7   |   |
| •   | 12 |   | 2.2   |   | 11.7  | 3.7   |   | 4.3   | 2.6   |   |
| Turbidity (NTU)   |    |   |       |   |       |       |   |       |       |   |
| Total Dissolved Solids (mg/L)                               | 8  |   | 17.0  |   | 72.0  | 53.5  |   | 49.6  | 17.3  |   |
| Total Suspended Solids (mg/L)                               | 8  | < | 1.0   |   | 8.0   | 2.0   |   | 2.6   | 2.3   |   |
| Specific Conductance (µmhos/cm)                             | 12 |   | 42.9  |   | 98.0  | 61.2  | G | 64.2  | 18.7  |   |
| Hardness (mg/L)   | 4  |   | 6.6   |   | 51.0  | 41.1  | G | 35.0  | 19.6  |   |
| Alkalinity (mg/L)   | 8  |   | 6.3   |   | 38.2  | 15.8  |   | 17.8  | 10.6  |   |
| Monthly Stream Flow (cfs)                                   | 12 |   | 0.4   |   | 97.4  | 6.3   |   | 19.4  | 28.3  |   |
| Measured Stream Flow (cfs)                                  | 12 |   | 0.4   |   | 97.4  | 6.3   |   | 19.4  | 28.3  |   |
| Chemical  |    |   |       |   |       |       |   |       |       |   |
| Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)                                     | 12 |   | 7.6   |   | 11.0  | 9.2   |   | 9.4   | 1.1   |   |
| pH (SU)   | 12 |   | 7.1   |   | 8.0   | 7.3   |   | 7.4   | 0.3   |   |
| J Ammonia Nitrogen (mg/L)                                   | 8  | < | 0.007 |   | 0.068 | 0.007 |   | 0.021 | 0.027 |   |
| Nitrate+Nitrite Nitrogen (mg/L)                             | 8  |   | 0.031 |   | 0.288 | 0.148 |   | 0.158 |       |   |
| J Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (mg/L)                            | 8  |   | 0.056 |   | 0.678 | 0.230 |   |       | 0.212 |   |
| J Total Nitrogen (mg/L)                                     | 8  |   | 0.285 |   | 0.848 |       |   |       | 0.196 |   |
| J Dis Reactive Phosphorus (mg/L)                            | 8  | < | 0.005 |   | 0.009 | 0.004 |   | 0.004 | 0.002 |   |
| Total Phosphorus (mg/L)                                     | 8  | < | 0.007 |   | 0.021 | 0.015 |   | 0.014 | 0.006 |   |
| CBOD-5 (mg/L)   | 8  | < | 2.0   | < | 2.0   | 1.0   |   | 1.0   | 0.0   |   |
| COD (mg/L)  | 8  |   | 6.5   |   | 16.4  | 10.1  |   | 10.0  | 3.2   |   |
| J TOC (mg/L)  | 8  |   | 1.5   |   | 3.2   | 2.0   |   | 2.1   | 0.7   |   |
| J Chlorides (mg/L)  | 8  |   | 1.0   |   | 2.4   | 1.7   | М | 1.7   | 0.4   |   |
| Total Metals  |    |   |       |   |       |       |   |       |       |   |
| <sup>J</sup> Aluminum (mg/L)                                | 4  |   | 0.023 |   | 0.192 | 0.080 |   | 0.094 | 0.073 |   |
| ا Iron (mg/L)   | 4  |   | 0.241 |   | 0.433 | 0.330 |   | 0.333 | 0.103 |   |
| J Manganese (mg/L)  | 4  |   | 0.018 |   | 0.111 | 0.024 |   | 0.044 | 0.045 |   |
| Dissolved Metals  |    |   | 0.014 |   | 0.057 | 0.000 |   | 0.001 | 0.000 |   |
| J Aluminum (mg/L)   | 4  | < | 0.014 | < | 0.057 | 0.030 |   | 0.031 | 0.028 |   |
| <sup>J</sup> Antimony (µg/L)<br><sup>J</sup> Arsenic (µg/L) | 3  | < | 0.2   | < | 0.2   | 0.1   |   | 0.1   | 0.0   | 3 |
| J Cadmium (µg/L)  | 3  | < |       |   | 0.3   |       |   |       | 0.000 | J |
| J Chromium (µg/L)   | 3  | < |       | • |       | 0.332 |   |       | 0.177 |   |
| J Copper (µg/L)   | 3  | < |       |   |       | 0.380 |   |       | 0.186 |   |
| J Iron (mg/L)   | 4  |   | 0.082 |   | 0.510 |       |   |       | 0.180 |   |
| Lead (µg/L)   | 4  | < | 0.2   | < | 0.2   | 0.1   |   | 0.1   | 0.0   |   |
| J Manganese (mg/L)  | 4  |   | 0.008 |   | 0.104 | 0.026 |   | 0.041 | 0.043 |   |
| J Nickel (µg/L)   | 3  | < | 0.232 | < | 0.232 | 0.116 |   | 0.116 | 0.000 |   |
| J Selenium (µg/L)   | 3  | < | 0.3   | < | 0.3   | 0.2   |   | 0.2   | 0.0   |   |
| J Silver (µg/L)   | 3  | < | 0.208 | < | 0.208 | 0.104 |   | 0.104 | 0.000 |   |
| Thallium (µg/L)   | 4  | < | 0.2   | < | 0.2   | 0.1   |   | 0.1   | 0.0   |   |
| J Zinc (µg/L)   | 4  | < | 0.857 | < | 2.680 | 0.428 |   | 0.991 | 1.126 |   |
| Biological  |    |   |       |   |       |       |   |       |       |   |
| Chlorophyll a (mg/m³)                                       | 8  | < | 1.00  | < | 1.00  | 0.50  |   | 0.50  | 0.00  |   |
| E. coli (MPN/DL)  | 8  |   | 29.8  |   | 290.9 | 84.8  |   | 111.6 | 88.5  |   |

G=value higher than median concentration of all verified ecoregional reference reach data collected in the ecoregion 68; J=estimate; M=value >90% of all verified ecoregional reference reach data collected in the ecoregion 68; N=# samples; Q=# of uncertain criteria exceedances.

### FOR MORE INFORMATION, CONTACT:

Brien Diggs, ADEM Aquatic Assessment Unit 1350 Coliseum Boulevard Montgomery, AL 36110 (334) 260-2750 lod@adem.alabama.gov