

2014 Monitoring Summary



Panther Creek at W Mill Street, Butler County (31.63168/-86.76729)

BACKGROUND

The Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM) selected the Panther Creek watershed for biological and water quality monitoring as part of the 2014 Assessment of Southeast Alabama. The objectives of the Southeast Alabama Assessments were to assess the biological integrity of each monitoring site and to estimate overall water quality within Southeast Alabama.



Figure 1. Panther Creek at PNRB-1, May 6, 2014.

WATERSHED CHARACTERISTICS

Watershed characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Panther Creek is a small Fish & Wildlife (F&W) stream located approximately 1 mile west of Georgiana in the Conecuh River basin. Based on the 2011 National Land Cover Dataset, land use within the watershed is primarily forest (76%). As of April 1, 2016, there were six NPDES outfalls active in the area.

REACH CHARACTERISTICS

General observations (Table 2) and a habitat assessment (Table 3) were completed during the macroinvertebrate assessment. In comparison with reference reaches in the same ecoregion, they give an indication of the physical condition of the site and the quality and availability of habitat. Panther Creek at PNRB-1 is characterized by a clay bottom, typical of creeks in the 65d ecoregion (Figure 1). Overall habitat quality was categorized as *marginal*.

BIOASSESSMENT RESULTS

Benthic macroinvertebrate communities were sampled using ADEM's Intensive Multi-habitat Bioassessment methodology (WMB-I). The WMB-I uses measures of taxonomic richness, community composition, and community tolerance to assess the overall health of the macroinvertebrate community. Each metric is scored on a 100 point scale. The final score is the average of all individual metric scores. Metric results indicated the macroinvertebrate community to be in *good* condition (Table 4).

Table 1. Summary of watershed characteristics.

Basin Conecut Drainage Area (mi²) 34 Ecoregion³ 65D % Landuse³ <1% Open water <1% Wetland Woody 4% Emergent herbaceous <1% Forest Deciduous 14% Evergreen 56% Shrub/scrub 9% Grassland/herbaceous 3% Pasture/hay 4% Cultivated crops 1%	
Ecoregiona 65D % Landuseb Open water <1% Wetland Woody 4% Emergent herbaceous 11% Forest Deciduous 14% Evergreen 56% Mixed 6% Shrub/scrub 9% Grassland/herbaceous 3% Pasture/hay 4% Cultivated crops 1%	
% Landuse ^b Open water <1% Wetland Woody 4% Emergent herbaceous <1% Forest Deciduous 14% Evergreen 56% Mixed 6% Shrub/scrub 9% Grassland/herbaceous 3% Pasture/hay 4% Cultivated crops 1%	
Open water <1%	
Wetland Woody 4% Emergent herbaceous <1%	
Emergent herbaceous <1% Forest Deciduous 14% Evergreen 56% Mixed 6% Shrub/scrub 9% Grassland/herbaceous 3% Pasture/hay 4% Cultivated crops 1%	
Forest Deciduous 14% Evergreen 56% Mixed 6% Shrub/scrub 9% Grassland/herbaceous 3% Pasture/hay 4% Cultivated crops 1%	
Evergreen 56% Mixed 6% Shrub/scrub 9% Grassland/herbaceous 3% Pasture/hay 4% Cultivated crops 1%	
Mixed 6% Shrub/scrub 9% Grassland/herbaceous 3% Pasture/hay 4% Cultivated crops 1%	
Shrub/scrub9%Grassland/herbaceous3%Pasture/hay4%Cultivated crops1%	
Grassland/herbaceous 3% Pasture/hay 4% Cultivated crops 1%	
Pasture/hay 4% Cultivated crops 1%	
Cultivated crops 1%	
-	
Development Open space 4%	
Low intensity <1%	
Moderate intensity <1%	
High intensity <1%	
Barren <1%	
Population/km ^{2c} 3	
#NPDES Permits ^d TOTAL 6	
Construction 6	

- a. Southern Hilly Gulf Coastal Plain
- b. 2011 National Land Cover Dataset
- c. 2010 US Census
- d. #NPDES outfalls downloaded from ADEM's NPDES Management System database, April 1, 2016.

Table 2. Physical characteristics of Panther Creek at PNRB-1, July 2, 2014.

P	hysical Characteristic	es
Width (ft)		15
Canopy cover		Mostly Shaded
Depth (ft)		
	Run	1
	Pool	2
% of Reach		
	Run	20
	Pool	80
% Substrate		
	Clay	9
	Cobble	1
	Gravel	3
	Hard Pan Clay	75
	Sand	5
	Silt	5
	Organic Matter	2

Table 3. Results of the habitat assessment conducted on Panther Creek at PNRB-1, July 2, 2014.

Habitat Assessment	% Maximum Score	Rating
Instream Habitat Quality	36	Marginal (31-54)
Sediment Deposition	53	Marginal (31-54)
Sinuosity	33	Marginal (31-54)
Bank Vegetative Stability	41	Marginal (31-57)
Riparian Buffer	65	Sub-Optimal(60-84)
Habitat Assessment Score	81	
% Maximum Score	48	Marginal (31-<56)

Table 4. Results of the macroinvertebrate bioassessment conducted in Panther Creek at PNRB-1, July 2, 2014.

Macroinvertebrate Assessment				
	Results	Scores		
Taxa richness and diversity measures		(0-100)		
% EPC taxa	27	42		
% Dominant Taxon	15	91		
Taxonomic composition measures				
% EPT minus Baetidae and Hydropsychidae	24	44		
Functional feeding group				
# Collector Taxa	22	75		
Community tolerance				
% Nutrient Tolerant individuals	24	71		
WMB-I Assessment Score		64		
WMB-I Assessment Rating		Good (48-74)		

WATER CHEMISTRY

Results of water chemistry analyses are presented in Table 5. In situ measurements and water samples were scheduled for monthly or semi-monthly (metals) sampling, March through October of 2014 to help identify any stressors to the biological communities. However, samples could not be collected in September or October due to no flow conditions. Dissolved oxygen was <5.0 mg/L during low flow conditions in July and August.

SUMMARY

Results of the biological survey indicated the macroinvertebrate community to be in good condition within the reach. However, the habitat assessment indicated sediment deposition, bank erosion, and limited instream habitat within the reach. Monitoring should continue to ensure that biological and water conditions remain stable.

> FOR MORE INFORMATION, CONTACT: Ron Sparks II, ADEM Aquatic Assessment Unit 1350 Coliseum Boulevard Montgomery, AL 36110 (334) 394-4303 Rsparks@adem.alabama.gov

Table 5. Summary of water quality data collected March through October, 2014. Minimum (Min) and maximum (Max) values calculated using minimum detection limits (MDL) when results were less than this value. Median, average (Avg), and standard deviations (SD) values were calculated by multiplying the MDL by 0.5 when results were less than this value.

Parameter	N	Min	Ma	x Med	A∨g	SD (
Physical						
Temperature (°C)	7	13.2	26.	2 24.2	20.6	5.6
Turbidity (NTU)	7	8.5	54.	5 12.0	20.1	16.4
Total Dissolved Solids (mg/L)	6	52.0	92.	0 68.0	69.7	14.2
Total Suspended Solids (mg/L)	6	4.0	71.	0 7.0	21.7	27.1
Specific Conductance	7	30.7	71.	2 49.1	52.6	15.9
Hardness (mg/L)	3	11.8	26.	3 14.5	17.5	7.7
Alkalinity (mg/L)	6	4.9	29.	4 13.0	15.8	10.6
Monthly Stream Flow (cfs)	8	0.0	60.	4 0.7	9.8	20.8
Measured Stream Flow (cfs)	5	0.1	60.	4 7.2	15.6	25.3
Chemical						
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	7	3.1	c 9.	9 6.5	6.5	2.8
pH (SU)	7	6.1	7.	0 6.5	6.6	0.3
Ammonia Nitrogen (mg/L)	6 <	0.006	0.01	1 0.003	0.005	0.003
Nitrate+Nitrite Nitrogen (mg/L)	6	0.003	0.03	7 0.015	0.016	0.011
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (mg/L)	6	0.406	1.15	0.501	0.595	0.281
Total Nitrogen (mg/L)	6	0.421	1.16	0.517	0.612	0.278
Dis Reactive Phosphorus	6	0.003	0.00	7 0.005	0.005	0.001
Total Phosphorus (mg/L)	6	0.027	0.06	8 0.032	0.037	0.016
CBOD-5 (mq/L)	6 <	2.0	< 2	0 1.0	1.0	0.0
Chlorides (mg/L)	6	2.0	3.	4 3.1	2.9	0.5
Total Metals						
Aluminum (mg/L)	3	0.214	0.87	0.286	0.459	0.363
Iron (mg/L)	3	1.290	2.32	0 1.370	1.660	0.573
Manganese (mg/L)	3	0.024	0.13	5 0.030	0.063	0.062
Dissolved Metals						
Aluminum (mg/L)	3 <	0.050	0.18	2 0.091	0.099	0.079
Antimony (µg/L)	3 <	0.176	0.17	0.088	0.088	0.000
Arsenic (µq/L)	3	0.452	1.60	0.640 H	0.897	0.616
Cadmium (µg/L)	3 <	0.246	< 0.24	0.123	0.123	0.000
Chromium (µq/L)	3	0.640	2.38	0.800	1.274	0.962
Copper (µg/L)	3	0.530	0.96	8 0.570	0.689	0.242
Iron (mg/L)	3	0.411	1.99	0.752	1.051	0.831
Lead (µg/L)	3	0.240	0.40	0 S 0.357	0.332	0.083
Manganese (mg/L)	3 <	0.006	0.02	5 0.021	0.016	0.012
Nickel (µg/L)	3	1.160	1.40	0 1.348	1.303	0.126
Selenium (µg/L)	3 <	0.395	0.39	5 0.198	0.198	0.000
Silver (µg/L)	3 <	0.252	< 0.25	2 0.126	0.126	0.000
Thallium (µg/L)	3 <	0.233	< 0.23	3 0.116	0.116	0.000
Zinc (µg/L)	3	3.780	8.09	5.200	5.693	2.201
Biological						
Chlorophyll a (mq/m ⁵)	6 <	0.10	48.0	5 1.20	8.84	19.22
E. coli (MPN/DL)	6	22.8	217.	2 132.8	126.9	76.0

C=F&W criterion violated; E=# of samples that exceeded criteria; H=F&W human health criterion exceeded; S=F&W hardness-adjusted aquatic life use criteria exceeded J=estimate; N=# samples; Q=# of samples that it is uncertain if criteria was exceeded.