

2014 Monitoring Summary



Little Uchee Creek at Lee County Road 144 (32.54901/-85.27858)

BACKGROUND

The Little Uchee Creek sub-watershed is a tributary of Uchee Creek, which was identified as a Strategic Habitat Unit (SHU) by the Alabama Rivers & Streams Network (ARSN). SHUs are recognized as high-quality habitats occupied by federally listed and state imperiled species.

The Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM) selected the Little Uchee Creek watershed for biological and water quality monitoring as part of the 2014 Assessment of the Southeast Alabama (SEAL) River Basin. The objectives of the SEAL Basin Assessments were to collect data to fully assess chemical, physical, and biological conditions within the reach, estimate overall water quality within the basin, and support restoration efforts.



Figure 1. Little Uchee Creek at LUCL-10, June 4, 2014.

WATERSHED CHARACTERISTICS

Watershed characteristics are summarized in Table 1. The Little Uchee Creek is a Fish & Wildlife (F&W) stream, located near Salem in the Chattahoochee River basin. Based on the 2011 National Land Cover Dataset, landuse within the watershed is primarily forested areas (67%) with some pasture. As of April 2016, four outfalls are active in this watershed.

REACH CHARACTERISTICS

General observations (Table 2) and a habitat assessment (Table 3) were completed during the macroinvertebrate assessment. In comparison with reference reaches in the same ecoregion, they give an indication of the physical condition of the site and the quality and availability of habitat.

The Little Uchee Creek at LUCL-10 (Figure 1) is a riffle-run stream with a mixture of bedrock, boulder, cobble, gravel and sand substrates. Overall habitat quality was categorized as sub-optimal.

BIOASSESSMENT RESULTS

Benthic macroinvertebrate communities were sampled using ADEM's Intensive Multihabitat Bioassessment methodology (WMB-I). The WMB-I uses measures of taxonomic richness, community composition, and community tolerance to assess the overall health of the macroinvertebrate community. Each metric is scored on a 100 point scale. The final score is the average of all individual metric scores. Metric results indicated the macroinvertebrate community to be in fair condition (Table 4).

Table 1. Summary of watershed characteristics Watershed Characteristics

| wate | rsned Characteristics | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Basin | | Chattahoochee R |
| Drainage Area (mi²) | | 17 |
| Ecoregion ^a | | 45B |
| % Landuse ^b | | |
| Open water | | <1% |
| Wetland | Woody | 2% |
| | Emergent herbaceous | <1% |
| Forest | Deciduous | 41% |
| | Evergreen | 25% |
| | Mixed | 1% |
| Shrub/scrub | | 8% |
| Grassland/herbaceous | | 6% |
| Pasture/hay | | 12% |
| Development | Open space | 3% |
| | Low intensity | 1% |
| | Moderate intensity | <1% |
| | High intensity | <1% |
| Barren | | 1% |
| Population/km ^{2c} | | 23 |
| # NPDES Permits ^d | TOTAL | 4 |
| Construction | | 3 |
| Small Mining | | 1 |

a.Southern Outer Piedmont

Table 2. Physical characteristics of Little Uchee Creek at LUCL-10, May 21, 2014.

| Physical Characteristics | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|----------------|--|--|
| Width (ft) | | 45 | | |
| Canopy Cover | | Estimate 50/50 | | |
| Depth (ft) | | | | |
| | Riffle | 0.5 | | |
| | Run | 1.5 | | |
| | Pool | 1.5 | | |
| % of Reach | | | | |
| | Riffle | 30 | | |
| | Run | 65 | | |
| | Pool | 5 | | |
| % Substrate | | | | |
| В | edrock | 10 | | |
| В | oulder | 10 | | |
| (| Cobble | 10 | | |
| | Gravel | 15 | | |
| | Sand | 45 | | |
| | Silt | 5 | | |
| Organic | Matter | 5 | | |

b.2011 National Land Cover Dataset

c.2010 US Census

 $d.\#NPDES\ outfalls\ downloaded\ from\ ADEM's\ NPDES\ Management\ System$ database, April 1, 2016.

Table 3. Results of the habitat assessment conducted on Little Uchee Creek at LUCL-10, May 21, 2014.

| Habitat Assessment | %Maximum Score | Rating |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Instream Habitat Quality | 68 | Sub-optimal (59-70) |
| Sediment Deposition | 60 | Sub-optimal (59-70) |
| Sinuosity | 55 | Marginal (45-64) |
| Bank and Vegetative Stability | 55 | Marginal (35-59) |
| Riparian Buffer | 80 | Sub-optimal (70-89) |
| Habitat Assessment Score | 151 | |
| % Maximum Score | 63 | Sub-optimal (59-70) |

Table 4. Results of the macroinvertebrate bioassessment conducted in the Little Uchee Creek at LUCL-10, May 21, 2014.

| Macroinvertebrate Assessment | | | | |
|---|---------|--|--|--|
| | Results | | | |
| Taxa richness and diversity measures | | | | |
| Total # Taxa | 66 | | | |
| # EPT taxa | 16 | | | |
| Shannon Diversity | 3.51 | | | |
| # Highly-sensitive and Specialized Taxa | 1 | | | |
| Taxonomic composition measures | | | | |
| % EPT minus Baetidae and Hydropsychidae | 9 | | | |
| % Non-insect taxa | 9 | | | |
| Tolerance measures | | | | |
| # Sensitive EPT | 5 | | | |
| % Sensitive taxa | 21 | | | |
| % Tolerant taxa | 74 | | | |
| WMB-I Assessment Score | 4 | | | |
| WMB-I Assessment Rating | Fair | | | |

WATER CHEMISTRY

Results of water chemistry analyses are presented in Table 5. In situ measurements and water samples were collected during March through October of 2014 to help identify any stressors to the biological communities.

Specific conductance and hardness values were higher than the median concentration of all verified ecoregional reference reach data collected in ecoregion 45b. Alkalinity, total and dissolved iron and manganese values were greater than 90% of all verified ecoregional reference reach data collected in the Piedmont ecoregion.

SUMMARY

Bioassessment results indicated the macroinvertebrate community to be in *fair* condition. Overall habitat quality was categorized as *sub-optimal*. Some water quality results were elevated as compared to data from ADEM's least-impaired reference reaches in ecoregion 45. Monitoring should continue to ensure that conditions remain stable.

FOR MORE INFORMATION, CONTACT:

Brien Diggs, ADEM Aquatic Assessment Unit 1350 Coliseum Boulevard Montgomery, AL 36110 (334) 260-2750 lod@adem.state.al.us

Table 5. Summary of water quality data collected March through October 2014. Minimum (Min) and maximum (Max) values calculated using minimum detection limits (MDL) when results were less than this value. Median, average (Avg), and standard deviations (SD) values were calculated by multiplying the MDL by 0.5 when results were less than this value.

| Parameter | N | Min | Max | Med | Avg | SD |
|--|---|----------|---------|--------------------|--------|--------|
| Physical | - | | | | 7.19 | 7.5 |
| Temperature (°C) | 9 | 14.4 | 25.3 | 20.6 | 20.6 | 3.9 |
| Turbidity (NTU) | 8 | 7.3 | 19.3 | 13.8 | 13.4 | 4.5 |
| Total Dissolved Solids (mg/L) | 8 | 30.0 | 98.0 | 71.5 | 68.8 | 19.6 |
| Total Suspended Solids (mg/L) | 8 | <1.0 | 7.0 | 4.5 | 4.2 | 2.1 |
| Specific Conductance (µmhos) | 9 | 56.2 | 89.1 | 78.4 ^G | 75.2 | 12.1 |
| Hardness (mg/L) | 4 | 15.9 | 28.6 | 26.0 ^G | 24.1 | 5.9 |
| Alkalinity (mg/L) | 8 | 23.0 | 40.9 | 36.8 ^M | 34.2 | 6.8 |
| Stream Flow (cfs) | 8 | 0.4 | 12.3 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 4.1 |
| Chemical | | | | | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L) | 9 | 6.1 | 9.8 | 7.8 | 7.9 | 1.2 |
| pH (su) | 9 | 6.8 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 0.1 |
| Ammonia Nitrogen (mg/L) | 8 | <0.006 | 0.087 | 0.004 | 0.019 | 0.029 |
| Nitrate+Nitrite Nitrogen (mg/L) | 8 | 0.031 | 0.153 | 0.078 | 0.086 | 0.047 |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (mg/L) | 8 | < 0.049 | 0.380 | 0.276 | 0.258 | 0.106 |
| Total Nitrogen (mg/L) | 8 | < 0.070 | 0.484 | 0.364 | 0.343 | 0.136 |
| ^J Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (mg/L) | 8 | 0.006 | 0.012 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.002 |
| Total Phosphorus (mg/L) | 8 | 0.025 | 0.037 | 0.030 | 0.031 | 0.004 |
| CBOD-5 (mg/L) | 8 | <2.0 | <2.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Chlorides (mg/L) | 8 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 0.2 |
| Total Metals | | | | | | |
| JAluminum (mg/L) | 4 | < 0.050 | 0.603 | 0.054 | 0.184 | 0.281 |
| Iron (mg/L) | 4 | 1.090 | 2.190 | 1.680 ^M | 1.660 | 0.516 |
| Manganese (mg/L) | 4 | 0.113 | 0.282 | 0.249 ^M | 0.223 | 0.078 |
| Dissolved Metals | | | | | | |
| JAluminum (mg/L) | 4 | < 0.050 | 0.177 | 0.025 | 0.063 | 0.076 |
| Antimony (µg/L) | 4 | < 0.2 | < 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| JArsenic (µg/L) | 4 | < 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Cadmium (µg/L) | 4 | <0.246 | < 0.311 | 0.124 | 0.132 | 0.016 |
| ^J Chromium (µg/L) | 4 | < 0.347 | 0.660 | 0.528 | 0.472 | 0.214 |
| JCopper (mg/L) | 4 | < 0.0002 | 0.0009 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 0.0002 |
| Iron (mg/L) | 4 | 0.838 | 1.510 | 0.941 ^M | 1.058 | 0.306 |
| Lead (µg/L) | 4 | < 0.2 | < 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Manganese (mg/L) | 4 | 0.072 | 0.270 | 0.222 ^M | 0.196 | 0.087 |
| JNickel (mg/L) | 4 | < 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0003 | 0.0001 |
| Selenium (µg/L) | 4 | < 0.4 | < 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Silver (μg/L) | 4 | <0.252 | < 0.365 | 0.126 | 0.140 | 0.028 |
| Thallium (µg/L) | 4 | < 0.2 | < 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| JZinc (mg/L) | 4 | 0.002 | 0.010 | 0.003 | 0.005 | 0.003 |
| Biological | | | | | | |
| Chlorophyll a (ug/L) | 8 | < 0.10 | 11.21 | 1.34 | 2.77 | 3.71 |
| E. coli (col/100mL) E=# samples that exceeded criteria: G=value big | 8 | 16 | 613 | 135 | 221 | 209 |

E=# samples that exceeded criteria; G=value higher than median concentration of all verified ecoregional reference reach data collected in the ecoregion 45; J=estimate; M=value >90% of all verified ecoregional reference reach data collected in the ecoregion 45; N=# samples.