

2013 Monitoring Summary



Hurricane Creek at the end of Jackson County Road 9 (34.98214/-86.09607)

BACKGROUND

The Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM) selected the Hurricane Creek watershed for biological and water quality monitoring as part of the 2013 Assessment of the Tennessee (TN) River Basin. The Hurricane Creek watershed was also requested for reference reach monitoring. The objectives of the Tennessee River Basin Assessments were to assess the biological integrity of each monitoring location and to estimate overall water quality within the TN basin. A habitat and macroinvertebrate assessment were conducted on Hurricane Creek at HURR-2 on June 25, 2013.



Figure 1. Hurricane Creek at HURR-2 on June 20, 2013.

WATERSHED CHARACTERISTICS

Watershed characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Hurricane Creek is a *Fish and Wildlife (F&W)* stream located near of the city of Estill Fork, Alabama, and flows into Paint Rock River. At HURR-2, the stream drains approximately 30 square miles and has very little disturbance within the watershed. Based on the 2006 National Land Cover Dataset, landuse within the watershed is primarily forest (>96%) areas. As of May 13, 2013, no NPDES permits have been issued in this watershed.

REACH CHARACTERISTICS

General observations (Table 2) and a habitat assessment (Table 3) were completed during the macroinvertebrate assessment. In comparison with reference reaches in the same ecoregion, they give an indication of the physical condition of the site and the quality and availability of habitat. Hurricane Creek at HURR-2 is a riffle-run stream characterized primarily by gravel and cobble (Figure 1). Overall habitat quality was categorized as *optimal* due to good channel morphology, bank stability, and instream habitat quality.

BIOASSESSMENT RESULTS

The benthic macroinvertebrate community was sampled using ADEM's Intensive Multi-habitat Bioassessment methodology (WMB-I). Measures of taxonomic richness, community composition, and community tolerance are used to assess the overall health of the macroinvertebrate community in comparison to conditions expected in south Alabama streams and rivers. Each site is placed in one of six levels, ranging from 1, or *natural* to 6, or *highly altered*. The macroinvertebrate survey conducted at HURR-2 rated the site as a 3, or *Good* (Table 4).

Table 1. Summary of watershed characteristics.

| Watershed Characteristics | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|----|
| Basin | Tennessee River | |
| Drainage Area (mi²) | 30 | |
| Ecoregion^a | 68c | |
| % Landuse | | |
| Open water | | <1 |
| Wetland | Woody | <1 |
| Forest | Deciduous | 95 |
| | Evergreen | <1 |
| | Mixed | 1 |
| Shrub/scrub | | 1 |
| Grassland/herbaceous | | 1 |
| Pasture/hay | | 1 |
| Cultivated crops | | <1 |
| Development | Open space | 1 |
| | Low intensity | <1 |
| Population/km^{2b} | <1 | |

a. Platons Escorpment

b. 2000 US Census

Table 2. Physical characteristics of Hurricane Creek at HURR-2, June 25, 2013.

| Physical Characteristics | | |
|--------------------------|----------------|-----|
| Width (ft) | 40 | |
| Canopy Cover | Estimate 50/50 | |
| Depth (ft) | | |
| | Riffle | 0.5 |
| | Run | 1.5 |
| | Pool | 2.5 |
| % of Reach | | |
| | Riffle | 30 |
| | Run | 60 |
| | Pool | 10 |
| % Substrate | | |
| | Boulder | 2 |
| | Cobble | 42 |
| | Gravel | 43 |
| | Sand | 10 |
| | Organic Matter | 3 |

Table 3. Results of the habitat assessment conducted on Hurricane Creek at HURR-2, June 25, 2013.

| Habitat Assessment | %Maximum Score | Rating |
|-------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Instream Habitat Quality | 94 | Optimal (>70) |
| Sediment Deposition | 93 | Optimal (>70) |
| Smoothness | 95 | Optimal (>84) |
| Bank and Vegetative Stability | 81 | Optimal (>74) |
| Riparian Buffer | 78 | Sub-optimal (70-89) |
| Habitat Assessment Score | 211 | |
| % Maximum Score | 88 | Optimal (>70) |

Table 4. Results of the macroinvertebrate bioassessment conducted in Hurricane Creek at HURR-2, June 25, 2013.

| Macroinvertebrate Assessment | | Results |
|--|---|---------|
| Taxa richness measures | | |
| | Total # Taxa | 70 |
| | # EPT taxa | 21 |
| | # Highly-sensitive and Specialized Taxa | 5 |
| Taxonomic composition measures | | |
| | % EPC taxa | 33 |
| | % Non-insect taxa | 9 |
| | % Dominant taxon | 46 |
| | % Individuals in Dominant 5 Taxa | 73 |
| Functional feeding group measures | | |
| | % Predators | 10 |
| Tolerance measures | | |
| | # Sensitive EPT | 14 |
| | % Sensitive taxa | 40 |
| | % Taxa as Tolerant | 20 |
| | WMB-I Assessment Score | 3 |
| | WMB-I Assessment Rating | Good |

WATER CHEMISTRY

Results of water chemistry samples are presented in Table 5. In situ measurements and water samples were collected monthly, semi-monthly (metals), or quarterly (pesticides, herbicides (atrazine), and semi-volatile organics) during May through September of 2013 to help identify any stressors to the biological communities. Median concentrations of specific conductance, hardness, total dissolved solids and alkalinity were higher than values expected based on data collected at reference reaches within the Southwestern Appalachians ecoregion (68). Plateau Escarpment ecoregion (68c) is a level IV ecoregion within the level III Southwestern Appalachians ecoregion (68).

SUMMARY

Results of ADEM's 2013 macroinvertebrate bioassessment indicated the macroinvertebrate community to be in *fair* condition. Hurricane Creek at HURR-2 had little sedimentation and good instream habitat quality, resulting in an *optimal* habitat quality score. However, intensive water chemistry results indicated median concentrations of physical parameters were higher than expected for streams within the reach.

Table 5. Summary of water quality data collected May-September, 2013. Minimum (Min) and maximum (Max) values calculated using minimum detection limits (MDL). Median (Med), average (Avg), and standard deviations (SD) values were calculated by multiplying the MDL by 0.5 when results were less than this value.

| Parameter | N | Min | Max | Med | Avg | SD |
|---|---|----------|----------|--------------------|--------|--------|
| Physical | | | | | | |
| Temperature (°C) | 5 | 13.3 | 19.4 | 18.2 | 17.0 | 2.8 |
| Turbidity (NTU) | 5 | 2.0 | 7.8 | 6.1 | 5.3 | 2.5 |
| Total Dissolved Solids (mg/L) | 4 | 110.0 | 162.0 | 152.0 ^M | 144.0 | 23.2 |
| Total Suspended Solids (mg/L) | 4 | < 1.0 | 5.0 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| Specific Conductance (µmhos) | 5 | 182.5 | 308.8 | 250.8 ^J | 250.5 | 45.1 |
| Hardness (mg/L) | 4 | 92.2 | 159.0 | 121.5 ^J | 123.8 | 28.2 |
| Alkalinity (mg/L) | 4 | 92.4 | 155.1 | 125.5 ^M | 124.8 | 25.8 |
| Stream Flow (cfs) | 5 | 2.9 | 158.8 | 6.4 | 35.8 | 87.7 |
| Chemical | | | | | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L) | 5 | 9.0 | 10.4 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 0.5 |
| pH (su) | 5 | 7.4 | 7.9 | 7.8 | 7.7 | 0.2 |
| Ammonia Nitrogen (mg/L) | 4 | < 0.018 | < 0.018 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.000 |
| Nitrate+Nitrite Nitrogen (mg/L) | 4 | 0.044 | 0.144 | 0.105 | 0.100 | 0.045 |
| ^J Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (mg/L) | 4 | 0.132 | 0.328 | 0.228 | 0.227 | 0.091 |
| ^J Total Nitrogen (mg/L) | 4 | 0.214 | 0.470 | 0.312 | 0.327 | 0.132 |
| ^J Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (mg/L) | 4 | 0.003 | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.001 |
| ^J Total Phosphorus (mg/L) | 4 | 0.006 | 0.011 | 0.010 | 0.009 | 0.002 |
| CBOD-5 (mg/L) | 4 | < 2.0 | < 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| COD (mg/L) | 4 | 7.9 | 15.9 | 12.4 | 12.2 | 3.3 |
| TOC (mg/L) | 4 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 0.7 |
| Chlorides (mg/L) | 4 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.3 |
| Total Metals | | | | | | |
| ^J Aluminum (mg/L) | 4 | < 0.076 | 0.325 | 0.216 | 0.198 | 0.132 |
| ^J Iron (mg/L) | 4 | 0.074 | 0.270 | 0.194 | 0.183 | 0.086 |
| ^J Manganese (mg/L) | 4 | < 0.009 | 0.018 | 0.008 | 0.010 | 0.006 |
| Dissolved Metals | | | | | | |
| Aluminum (mg/L) | 4 | < 0.076 | < 0.076 | 0.038 | 0.038 | 0.000 |
| Antimony (µg/L) | 4 | < 0.1 | < 2.6 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.8 |
| Arsenic (µg/L) | 3 | < 0.2 | < 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Cadmium (µg/L) | 3 | < 0.048 | < 0.170 | 0.085 | 0.064 | 0.038 |
| ^J Chromium (mg/L) | 3 | 0.001 | < 0.032 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.009 |
| Copper (mg/L) | 3 | < 0.0003 | < 0.031 | 0.0003 | 0.005 | 0.009 |
| ^J Iron (mg/L) | 4 | < 0.018 | 0.064 | 0.020 | 0.028 | 0.028 |
| Lead (µg/L) | 3 | < 0.1 | < 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| ^J Manganese (mg/L) | 3 | < 0.009 | 0.014 | 0.004 | 0.008 | 0.005 |
| Nickel (mg/L) | 2 | < 0.0002 | < 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0000 |
| Selenium (µg/L) | 3 | < 0.2 | < 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Silver (µg/L) | 3 | < 0.215 | < 2.120 | 1.080 | 0.742 | 0.550 |
| Thallium (µg/L) | 3 | < 0.1 | < 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Zinc (mg/L) | 3 | < 0.002 | < 0.017 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.004 |
| Biological | | | | | | |
| Chlorophyll a (µg/L) | 4 | < 0.10 | 0.53 | 0.16 | 0.22 | 0.23 |
| E. coli (col/100ml) | 4 | 29 | 276 | 93 | 123 | 115 |

J=estimate; N=# samples; G=value greater than median concentration of all verified reference data collected in ecoregion 68; M=value >90% of all verified ecoregional reference reach data collected in the ecoregion 68.

FOR MORE INFORMATION, CONTACT:
 Bonnie Coleman, ADEM Environmental Indicators Section
 1350 Coliseum Boulevard Montgomery, AL 36110
 (334) 260-2737 bcoleman@adem.state.al.us