

Big Coon Creek at Jackson County Road 55 (34.85659/-85.92684)

BACKGROUND

The Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM) monitored Big Coon Creek as part of the 2013 Assessment of the Tennessee River Basin (TN). The objectives of the TN Basin Assessments were to assess the biological integrity of each monitoring site and to estimate overall water quality within the TN basin.



Figure 1. Big Coon Creek at BCNJ-1, May 16, 2013.

WATERSHED CHARACTERISTICS

Watershed characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Big Coon Creek is a *Fish & Wildlife (F&W)* stream that drains north-central Jackson County. It runs roughly southeast along Jackson County road 53 towards its confluence with Little Coon Creek and later Crow Creek. Based on the 2011 National Land Cover Dataset, land use within the watershed is primarily forest (85%) with some pasture/hay. As of September 1, 2012, ADEM has issued no NPDES permits in the watershed.

REACH CHARACTERISTICS

General observations (Table 2) and a habitat assessment (Table 3) were completed during the macroinvertebrate assessment. In comparison with reference reaches in the same ecoregion, they give an indication of the physical condition of the site and the quality and availability of habitat. Big Coon Creek at BCNJ-1 is a low-gradient, glide-pool stream. The predominant instream substrate was sand (Figure 1). The overall habitat assessment resulted in a *marginal* rating due to poor bank and vegetative stability. Banks were very steep and root bank habitat was virtually non-existent.

BIOASSESSMENT RESULTS

Benthic macroinvertebrate communities were sampled using ADEM's Intensive Multi-habitat Bioassessment methodology (WMB-I). Table 4 summarizes results of taxonomic richness, community composition, and community tolerance metrics. Each metric is scored on a 100 point scale. The final score is the average of all individual metric scores. Metric results indicated the macroinvertebrate community in Big Coon Creek at BCNJ-1 to be in *fair* condition.

| Table 1. Summary of watershed characteristics. Watershed Characteristics | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|-----|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | |
| Drainage Area (mi ²) | | 42 | | | | |
| Ecoregion ^a | | 68b | | | | |
| % Landuse | | | | | | |
| Open water | | <1 | | | | |
| Wetland | Woody | <1 | | | | |
| Forest | Deciduous | 80 | | | | |
| | Evergreen | 1 | | | | |
| | Mixed | 4 | | | | |
| Shrub/scrub | | 3 | | | | |
| Grassland/herbaced | 1 | | | | | |
| Pasture/hay | | 7 | | | | |
| Cultivated crops | | 2 | | | | |
| Development | Open space | 1 | | | | |
| | Low intensity | <1 | | | | |
| Barren | | <1 | | | | |
| Population/km ^{2b} | | 3 | | | | |

ΤМ

a.Sequatchie Valley

b.2000 US Census

| Table 2. Physical characteristics of Big Coon (| Creek | at |
|---|-------|----|
| BCNJ-1, May 16, 2013. | | |

| Physical Characteristics | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Width (ft) | | 50 | | | | | |
| Canopy Cover | | Estimate 50/50 | | | | | |
| Depth (ft) | | | | | | | |
| | Run | 2.0 | | | | | |
| | Pool | 4.0 | | | | | |
| % of Reach | | | | | | | |
| | Run | 90 | | | | | |
| | Pool | 10 | | | | | |
| % Substrate | | | | | | | |
| | Clay | 5 | | | | | |
| | Cobble | 1 | | | | | |
| | Gravel | 14 | | | | | |
| | Sand | 60 | | | | | |
| | Silt | 15 | | | | | |
| | Organic Matter | 5 | | | | | |

Table 3. Results of the habitat assessment conducted in Big Coon Creek at BCNJ-1, May 16, 2013.

| Habitat Assessment | %Maximum Score | Rating |
|-------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Instream Habitat Quality | 40 | Poor (<41) |
| Sediment Deposition | 59 | Marginal (41-58) |
| Sinuosity | 33 | Poor (<45) |
| Bank and Vegetative Stability | 25 | Poor (<35) |
| Riparian Buffer | 71 | Sub-optimal (70-89) |
| Habitat Assessment Score | 106 | |
| % Maximum Score | 48 | Marginal (41-58) |
| | | |

Table 4. Results of the macroinvertebrate bioassessment conducted in Big Coon Creek at BCNJ-1, May 16, 2013.

| Macroinvertebrate Assessment | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Results | Scores | | | | |
| Taxa richness measures | | (0-100) | | | | |
| # EPT taxa | 9 | 22 | | | | |
| Taxonomic composition measures | | | | | | |
| % Non-insect taxa | 13 | 46 | | | | |
| % Dominant Taxon | 17 | 86 | | | | |
| % EPC taxa | 23 | 42 | | | | |
| Functional feeding group measures | | | | | | |
| % Predators | 5 | 16 | | | | |
| Tolerance measures | | | | | | |
| % Taxa as Tolerant | 35 | 41 | | | | |
| WMB-I Assessment Score | | 42 | | | | |
| WMB-I Assessment Rating | | Fair (39-58) | | | | |

WATER CHEMISTRY

Results of water chemistry analyses are presented in Table 5. In situ measurements and water samples were collected April, June, August and October 2013 to help identify any stressors to the biological communities. In situ parameters were also measured during the macroinvertebrate assessment on May 16. The F&W human health criterion for Arsenic was exceeded on April 10, 2013. ADEM criteria for arsenic are expressed as dissolved trivalent arsenic (arsenite - As III). Presently studies are being conducted in order to provide a better understanding of the prevalence and areal distribution of dissolved trivalent arsenic to total arsenic in the State of Alabama. Upon conclusion of the studies Big Coon Creek will be reassessed for arsenic violations. Values for Total Dissolved Solids, Specific Conductance, Hardness, and Alkalinity were greater than expected for ecoregion 68. No organics samples were collected.

SUMMARY

Bioassessment results indicated the macroinvertebrate community to be in *fair* condition. Overall habitat conditions were marginal. Total dissolved solids, specific conductance, hardness and alkalinity condcentrations were greater than expected for ecoregion 68. Monitoring of Big Coon Creek at BCNJ-1 should continue to ensure that water quality and biological conditions remain stable.

> FOR MORE INFORMATION, CONTACT: Hugh Cox, ADEM Environmental Indicator Section 1350 Coliseum Boulevard Montgomery, AL 36110 (334) 260-2753 hec@adem.state.al.us

Table 5. Summary of water quality data collected between April, June, August, October 2013. Minimum (Min) and maximum (Max) values calculated using minimum detection limits (MDL) when results were less than this value. Median, average (Avg), and standard deviations (SD) values were calculated by multiplying the MDL by 0.5 when results were less than this value.

| Parameter | Ν | | Min | | Мах | Med | Avg | SD | Q |
|---|---|---|--------|---|--------|--------------------|---------|-------|---|
| Physical | | | | | | | | | |
| Temperature (°C) | 5 | | 12.9 | | 19.2 | 18.2 | 16.6 | 2.9 | |
| Turbidity (NTU) | 5 | | 3.3 | | 6.0 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 1.1 | |
| Total Dissolved Solids (mg/L) | 4 | | 112.0 | | 141.0 | 129.0 N | 127.8 | 12.6 | |
| Total Suspended Solids (mg/L) | 4 | < | 1.0 | | 8.0 | 0.8 | 2.5 | 3.7 | |
| Specific Conductance (µmhos) | 5 | | 187.5 | | 274.7 | 237.0 ^G | 225.5 | 37.1 | |
| Hardness (mg/L) | 4 | | 97.9 | | 135.0 | 118.0 ^G | 117.2 | 15.5 | |
| J Alkalinity (mg/L) | 4 | | 97.3 | < | 136.0 | 116.5 N | 116.6 | 15.8 | |
| Stream Flow (cfs) | 5 | | 6.2 | | 80.0 | 23.9 | 36.3 | 31.5 | |
| Chemical | | | | | | | | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L) | 5 | | 7.2 | | 9.7 | 8.3 | 8.4 | 1.0 | |
| pH (su) | 5 | | 7.5 | | 7.7 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 0.1 | |
| J Ammonia Nitrogen (mg/L) | 4 | < | 0.013 | < | 0.018 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.003 | |
| Nitrate+Nitrite Nitrogen (mg/L) | 4 | | 0.144 | | 0.365 | 0.296 | 0.275 | 0.094 | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (mg/L) | 4 | < | 0.041 | | 0.391 | 0.178 | 0.192 | 0.153 | |
| Total Nitrogen (mg/L) | 4 | < | 0.164 | | 0.756 | 0.474 | 0.467 | 0.243 | |
| ^J Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (mg/L) | 4 | < | 0.004 | < | 0.006 | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.002 | |
| J Total Phosphorus (mg/L) | 4 | < | 0.007 | | 0.014 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.003 | |
| CBOD-5 (mg/L) | 4 | < | 2.0 | < | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | |
| Chlorides (mg/L) | 4 | | 1.1 | | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.1 | |
| Total Metals | | | | | | | | | |
| J Aluminum (mg/L) | 4 | < | 0.076 | < | 0.199 | 0.068 | 0.094 | 0.076 | |
| J Iron (mg/L) | 4 | < | 0.148 | | 0.317 | 0.266 | 0.250 | 0.075 | |
| J Manganese (mg/L) | 4 | < | 0.020 | | 0.054 | 0.034 | 0.035 | 0.014 | |
| Dissolved Metals | | | | | | | | | |
| Aluminum (mg/L) | 4 | < | 0.076 | < | 0.076 | 0.038 | 0.038 | 0.000 | |
| Antimony (µg/L) | 4 | < | 0.1 | < | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | |
| J Arsenic (µg/L) | 4 | < | 0.2 | < | 1.7 + | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1 |
| Cadmium (µg/L) | 4 | < | 0.046 | < | 0.170 | 0.085 | 0.070 | 0.031 | |
| [」] Chromium (µg/L) | 4 | < | 0.918 | < | 32.000 | 1.210 | 4.834 | 7.446 | |
| J Copper (mg/L) | 4 | < | 0.0003 | < | 0.005 | 0.0003 | 0.002 | 0.003 | |
| J Iron (mg/L) | 4 | | 0.033 | < | 0.109 | 0.062 | 0.066 | 0.033 | |
| Lead (µg/L) | 4 | < | 0.1 | < | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | |
| J Manganese (mg/L) | 4 | < | 0.018 | < | 0.041 | 0.029 | 0.029 | 0.009 | |
| Mercury (µg/L) | 1 | | | | | | < 0.057 | | |
| J Nickel (mg/L) | 4 | < | 0.0002 | < | 0.016 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.004 | |
| Selenium (µg/L) | 4 | < | 0.2 | < | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | |
| Silver (µg/L) | 4 | < | 0.215 | < | 2.120 | 1.060 | 0.822 | 0.476 | |
| Thallium (µg/L) | 4 | < | 0.1 | < | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | |
| J Zinc (mg/L) | 4 | < | 0.002 | < | 0.017 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.003 | |
| Biological | | | | | | | | | |
| Chlorophyll a (ug/L) | 4 | < | 0.10 | < | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.00 | |
| E. coli (col/100mL) | 4 | | 66 | | 291 | 117 | 148 | 101 | |

G=value greater than median concentration of all verified reference data collected in ecoregion 68; H=F&W human health criterion exceeded; J=estimate; M=value greater than the 90th percentile of all verified reference data collected in ecoregion 68; N=# of samples; Q=#samples where criteria exceedences are uncertain.