

Table 1 Summary of watershed abaracteristics

2012 Monitoring Summary



Lost Creek at US Highway 78 (Walker County) (33.88197/-87.51040)

BACKGROUND

Lost Creek, from US Highway 78 at Carbon Hill (upstream) to US Highway 78 North of Cedrum (approximately 6.53 miles), has been on Alabama's Clean Water Act (CWA) §303(d) list of impaired waters for only partially meeting its *Fish and Wildlife (F&W)* water use classification. It was listed for siltation due to abandoned surface mining. The segment was listed as impaired based on data collected in 1987. Development of a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) is scheduled for 2014.

The Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM) monitored Lost Creek at LOSW-5 to verify and document impairment from siltation and other habitat alterations. A macroinvertebrate assessment and a habitat assessment were conducted to verify impairment to aquatic communities. Water chemistry samples were collected in May, July, September and November 2012 to identify the causes of impairment and support TMDL development.



Figure 1. Lost Creek at LOSW-5, September 12, 2012.

WATERSHED CHARACTERISTICS

Watershed characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Lost Creek at LOSW-5 is a *Fish & Wildlife (F&W)* stream in Walker County. According to the 2006 National Land Cover Dataset, landuse within the watershed is primarily forest (65%). As of September 1, 2012, ADEM has issued 23 NPDES discharge permits in this watershed, eleven of which are mining permits.

REACH CHARACTERISTICS

General observations (Table 2) and a habitat assessment (Table 3) were completed during the macroinvertebrate assessment. In comparison with reference reaches in the same ecoregion, they give an indication of the physical condition of the site and the quality and availability of habitat. Lost Creek at LOSW-5 is characterized by a bedrock substrate (Figure 1). Overall habitat quality was rated as *sub-optimal* due to inadequate habitat quality and bank stability.

TM Graphics provided by Florida Dept. of Environmental Protection (FDEP); used with permission

Table 1. Summary of watershed characteristics. Watershed Characteristics					
Drainage Area (mi ²)		28			
Ecoregion ^a		68f			
% Landuse					
Open water		<1			
Wetland	Woody	2			
	Emergent herbaceous	<1			
Forest	Deciduous	29			
	Evergreen	24			
	Mixed	12			
Shrub/scrub		9			
Grassland/herbaceous		4			
Pasture/hay		10			
Cultivated crops		<1			
Development	Open space	6			
	Low intensity	1			
	Moderate intensity	<1			
	High intensity	<1			
Barren		<1			
Population/km ^{2b}		34			
# NPDES Permits ^c	TOTAL	23			
Construction Stormwate	er	9			
Mining		11			
Industrial Individual		1			
Municipal Individual		2			

a.Shale Hills

b.2000 US Census

c.#NPDES permits downloaded from ADEM's NPDES Management System database, September 1, 2012.

Table 2. Physical characteristics of Lost Creek atLOSW-5, October 23, 2012.

Physical Characteristics				
Canopy Cover	Shaded			
Width (ft)	45			
Depth (ft)				
Riffle	0.5			
Run	1.5			
Pool	4.0			
% of Reach				
Riffle	5			
Run	10			
Pool	85			
% Substrate				
Bedrock	60			
Boulder	2			
Cobble	8			
Mud/Muck	2			
Gravel	5			
Sand	5			
Silt	5			
Organic Matter	13			

Table 3. Results of the habitat assessment conducted on Lost Creek at LOSW-5, October 23, 2012.

Habitat Assessment	%Maximum Score	e Rating
RR		
Instream Habitat Quality	47	Marginal (41-58)
Sediment Deposition	68	Sub-optimal (59-70)
Sinuosity	68	Sub-optimal (65-84)
Bank and Vegetative Stability	55	Marginal (35-59)
Riparian Buffer	85	Sub-optimal (70-89)
Habitat Assessment Score	153	
% Maximum Score	64	Sub-optimal (59-70)

BIOASSESSMENT RESULTS

Benthic macroinvertebrate communities were sampled using ADEM's Intensive Multi-habitat Bioassessment methodology (WMB-I). The WMB-I uses measures of taxonomic richness, community composition, and community tolerance to assess the overall health of the macroinvertebrate community. Each metric is scored on a 100 point scale. The final score is the average of all individual metric scores. Metric results indicated the macroinvertebrate community to be in *fair* condition (Table 4).

 Table 4. Results of the macroinvertebrate bioassessment conducted in Lost

 Creek at LOSW-5, October 23, 2012.

Macroinvertebrate Assessment				
	Results	Scores		
Taxa richness measures		(0-100)		
# EPT taxa	14	43		
Taxonomic composition measures				
% Non-insect taxa	14	41		
% Dominant taxon	17	86		
% EPC taxa	20	37		
Functional feeding group measures				
% Predators	5	13		
Tolerance measures				
% Taxa as Tolerant	39	27		
WMB-I Assessment Score WMB-I Assessment Rating		41 Fair (39-58)		

WATER CHEMISTRY

Results of water chemistry analyses are presented in Table 5. In situ measurements and water samples were collected in May, July, September and November 2012 to help identify potential stressors to the biological communities.

The median values of total dissolved solids, specific conductance, hardness, alkalinity and manganese in LOSW-5 were higher than expected when compared to reference reaches in ecoregion 68. During the September sampling event, LOSW-5 exceeded the Human Health criteria for arsenic.

SUMMARY

The elevated level of total dissolved solids support the continued inclusion of Lost Creek at LOSW-5 on the CWA 303(d) list for siltation. The TMDLs for these impairments is set to be drafted in 2014.

Macroinvertebrate sampling indicated the macroinvertebrate community to be in *fair* condition. Water chemistry analyses suggested the elevated arsenic, manganese, total dissolved solids, specific conductance, hardness and alkalinity concentrations may be impacting macroinvertebrate communities.

Table 5. Summary of LOSW-5 water quality data collected from May, July, September and November 2012. Minimum (Min) and maximum (Max) values calculated using minimum detection limits (MDL) when results were less than this value. Median, average (Avg), and standard deviations (SD) values were calculated by multiplying the MDL by 0.5 when results were less than this value.

Parameter	Ν	Min	Мах	Med	Avg	SD	Е
Physical							
Temperature (°C)	5	12.5	25.1	20.8	19.1	5.1	
Turbidity (NTU)	5	2.7	26.5	3.5	7.9	10.4	
Total Dissolved Solids (mg/L)	4	402.0	694.0	529.0 ^M	538.5	121.4	
Total Suspended Solids (mg/L)	4	<1.0	25.0	0.8	6.8	12.2	
Specific Conductance (µmhos)	5	603.3	1056.0	807.3 ^G	835.8	166.0	
Hardness (mg/L)	4	232.0	493.0	370.0 ^G	366.2	107.0	
Alkalinity (mg/L)	4	191.0	313.0	280.5™	266.2	56.1	
Stream Flow (cfs)	2	8.5	58.0	33.2	33.2	35.0	
Chemical							
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	5	5.5	7.7	7.3	7.0	0.9	
pH (su)	5	7.5	7.7	7.5	7.6	0.1	
JAmmonia Nitrogen (mg/L)	4	<0.008	0.083	0.040	0.042	0.040	
Nitrate+Nitrite Nitrogen (mg/L)	4	0.099	0.634	0.181	0.274	0.245	
JTotal Kjeldahl Nitrogen (mg/L)	4	0.088	0.120	0.108	0.106	0.016	
JTotal Nitrogen (mg/L)	4	0.218	0.754	0.273	0.380	0.253	
JDissolved Reactive Phosphorus (mg/L)	4	0.005	0.011	0.006	0.007	0.003	
Total Phosphorus (mg/L)	4	0.012	0.036	0.018	0.021	0.010	
JCBOD-5 (mg/L)	4	<2.0	<2.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	
Chlorides (mg/L)	4	2.2	2.8	2.4	2.5	0.2	
Total Metals							
JAluminum (mg/L)	4	<0.043	0.764	0.038	0.216	0.366	
Iron (mg/L)	4	0.270	1.380	0.340	0.583	0.533	
JManganese (mg/L)	4	0.047	0.163	0.092	0.098	0.048	
Dissolved Metals							
Aluminum (mg/L)	4	<0.043	< 0.043	0.022	0.022	0.000	
Antimony (μg/L)	4	<3.6	<3.6	1.8	1.8	0.0	
Arsenic (µg/L)	4	<1.8	2.7 ^H	0.9	1.3	0.9	1
^J Cadmium (µg/L)	4	<0.022	< 0.046	0.023	0.020	0.006	
Chromium (mg/L)	4	<0.009	< 0.009	0.004	0.004	0.000	
Copper (mg/L)	4	<0.020	< 0.020	0.010	0.010	0.000	
Jlron (mg/L)	4	<0.019	0.110	0.014	0.037	0.049	
Lead (µg/L)	4	<0.9	<0.9	0.4	0.4	0.0	
^J Manganese (mg/L)	4	0.044	0.141	0.080 ^M	0.086	0.040	
Mercury (µg/L)	4	< 0.035	< 0.035	0.018	0.018	0.000	
Nickel (mg/L)	4	< 0.042	< 0.042	0.021	0.021	0.000	
Selenium (µg/L)	4	<2.5	<2.5	1.2	1.2	0.0	
Silver (µg/L)	4	<0.015	<0.215	0.108	0.082	0.050	
Thallium (µg/L)	4	<1.4	<1.4	0.7	0.7	0.0	
Zinc (mg/L)	4	<0.012	< 0.012	0.006	0.006	0.000	
Biological							
Chlorophyll a (ug/L)	4	<0.10	0.53	0.29	0.29	0.28	
JE. coli (col/100mL)	4	44	649	71	209	294	

E=# samples that exceeded criteria; G=value higher than median concentration of all verified ecoregional reference reach data collected in the ecoregion 68f; H= F&W human health criterion exceeded; J=estimate; M=value >90% of all verified ecoregional reference reach data collected in the ecoregion 68f; N=# samples.

FOR MORE INFORMATION, CONTACT: Brien Diggs, ADEM Aquatic Assessment Unit 1350 Coliseum Boulevard Montgomery, AL 36110 (334) 260-2750 lod@adem.state.al.us