

2011 Monitoring Summary

Tuckabum Creek at AL Hwy 114 SW of Pennington in Choctaw County (32.17390/-88.06270)

BACKGROUND

The Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM) selected the Tuckabum Creek watershed for biological and water quality monitoring as part of the 2011 Assessment of the Escatawpa, Mobile, Tombigbee (EMT) River Basins. The objectives of the EMT Basin Assessments were to assess the biological integrity of each monitoring site and to estimate overall water quality within the basin group.



Figure 1. Tuckabum Creek at TKBC-20, May 18, 2011.

WATERSHED CHARACTERISTICS

Watershed characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Tuckabum Creek from Tombigbee River to Alabama-Mississippi state line is designated as *Fish & Wildlife (F&W)* stream. Based on the 2011 National Land Cover Dataset, landuse within the watershed is primarily forest (63%), shrub/scrub and woody wetlands. Population density is relatively low in this area. As of April 1, 2016, eight outfalls are active in this watershed.

REACH CHARACTERISTICS

General observations (Table 2) and a habitat assessment (Table 3) were completed during the macroinvertebrate assessment. In comparison with reference reaches in the same ecoregion, they give an indication of the physical condition of the site and the quality and availability of habitat.

Tuckabum Creek at TKBC-20 is a low gradient stream with sand substrate (Figure 1) which is typical of the Southeastern Floodplains & Low Terraces ecoregion. The stream is characterized by a straight channel with limited instream habitats. Over all habitat quality was rated as *sub-optimal*.

Table 1. Summary of watershed characteristics.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	shed Characteristics	
Basin		Tombigbee River
Drainage Area (mi ²)		238
Ecoregion ^a		65P
% Landuse ^b		
Open water		<1%
Wetland	Woody	9%
	Emergent herbaceous	<1%
Forest	Deciduous	23%
	Evergreen	26%
	Mixed	14%
Shrub/scrub		15%
Grassland/herbaceous		8%
Pasture/hay		3%
Cultivated crops		<1%
Development	Open space	3%
	Low intensity	<1%
	Moderate intensity	<1%
	High intensity	<1%
Barren		<1%
Population/km ^{2c}		6
# NPDES Permits ^d	TOTAL	8
Construction		3
Industrial General		3
Mining		1
Municipal		1
- C 4 4 F1 11' 0	T T	

Watershed Characteristics

- a.Southeastern Floodplains & Low Terraces
- b.2011 National Land Cover Dataset
- c.2010 US Census
- d.#NPDES outfalls downloaded from ADEM's NPDES Management System database, April 1, 2016.

Table 2. Physical characteristics of Tuckabum Creek at TKBC-20, September 13, 2011.

Physical Characteristics					
Canopy Cover		Mostly Open			
Width (ft)		50			
Depth (ft)					
R	lun	1.5			
Pe	ool	1.5			
% of Reach					
R	lun	85			
Pe	ool	15			
% Substrate					
Gra	vel	1			
Sa	ınd	91			
Organic Mat	ter	8			

BIOASSESSMENT RESULTS

Benthic macroinvertebrate communities were sampled using ADEM's Intensive Multi-habitat Bioassessment methodology (WMB-I). The WMB-I uses measures of taxonomic richness, community composition, and community tolerance to assess the overall health of the macroinvertebrate community. Each metric is scored on a 100 point scale. The final score is the average of all individual metric scores. The final score indicated the biological community at TKBC-20 to be in *fair* condition (Table 4).

Table 3. Results of the habitat assessment conducted on Tuckabum Creek at TKBC-20, September 13, 2011.

Habitat Assessment	%Maximum Score	Rating
Instream Habitat Quality	47	Marginal (40-<53)
Sediment Deposition	56 S	Sub-optimal (53-65)
Sinuosity	38	Poor (<45)
Bank and Vegetative Stability	40	Marginal (35-59)
Riparian Buffer	90 S	ub-Optimal (70-90)
Habitat Assessment Score	110	
% Maximum Score	65 S	ub-optimal (53-65)

Table 4. Results of the macroinvertebrate bioassessment conducted in Tuckabum Creek at TKBC-20, September 13, 2011.

Macroinvertebrate Assessment					
	Results	Scores	Rating		
Taxa richness measures					
# EPT genera	19	76	Good (57-78)		
Taxonomic composition measures					
% Non-insect taxa	18	36.3	Poor (30.9-61.8)		
% Plecoptera	0	0.0	Very Poor (<=1.85)		
% Dominant taxa	16	85.0	Good (70.6-85.2)		
Functional composition measures					
% Predators	18	60.4	Good (45.3-72.1)		
Tolerance measures					
Beck's community tolerance index	6	27.3	Fair (21.3-31.8)		
% Nutrient tolerant organisms	19	85.4	Good (76.3-88.1)		
WMB-I Assessment Score		53	Fair (38-56)		

WATER CHEMISTRY

Results of water chemistry analyses are presented in Table 5. In situ measurements and water samples were collected during March, May, July, and September of 2011 to help identify any stressors to the biological communities. *In situ* parameters suggested that Tuckabum Creek at TKBC-20 was meeting *F&W* use classification. Most of the metals collected were below detection limits. Samples were collected on July 6, 2011 for analysis of pesticides, semi-volatile organics and atrazine. All concentrations were below detection limits.

SUMMARY

Tuckabum Creek at TKBC-20 was typical of other large streams in the Southeastern Floodplains & Low Terraces ecoregion, which are generally low-gradient streams with sand substrate (Griffith et al. 2001). Water quality data suggested the Tuckbum Creek at TKBC-20 was meeting its criteria for *F&W* use classification.

Overall habitat assessment was rated as *sub-optimal* with poor sinuosity, unstable banks and bad instream habitats. Bioassessment results showed the macroinvertebrate community to be in *fair* condition.

FOR MORE INFORMATION, CONTACT:

Sreeletha P Kumar, ADEM Environmental Indicators Section 1350 Coliseum Boulevard Montgomery, AL 36110 (334) 260-2782 skumar@adem.state.al.us

Table 5. Summary of water quality data collected March-September, 2011. Minimum (Min) and maximum (Max) values calculated using minimum detection limits (MDL). Median, average (Avg), and standard deviations (SD) values were calculated by multiplying the MDL by 0.5 when results were less than this value.

Parameter	N		Min	Max	Med	Avg	SD	Q
Physical								
Temperature (°C)	3		22.6	28.6	23.0	24.8	3.3	
Turbidity (NTU)	5		14.2	389.0	28.2	95.9	164.1	
Total Dissolved Solids (mg/L)	4		36.0	94.0	82.0	73.5	25.8	
Total Suspended Solids (mg/L)	4		6.0	366.0	16.0	101.0	176.8	
Specific Conductance (µmhos)	3		58.7	136.9	100.1	98.6	39.1	
Hardness (mg/L)	4		11.6	26.1	19.4	19.1	6.6	
Alkalinity (mg/L)	4		2.9	52.1	23.9	25.7	21.7	
Monthly Stream Flow (cfs)	1					62.5		
Stream Flow during sample collection (cfs)	1					62.5		
Chemical								
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	3		7.2	8.1	7.5	7.6	0.5	
pH (su)	3		6.7	7.2	6.8	6.9	0.3	
Ammonia Nitrogen (mg/L)	4	<	0.005	0.033	0.017	0.018	0.017	
Nitrate+Nitrite Nitrogen (mg/L)	4		0.025	0.126	0.030	0.053	0.049	
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (mg/L)	4		0.313	0.963	0.454	0.546	0.289	
Total Nitrogen (mg/L)	4		0.339	0.988	0.534	0.599	0.286	
Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (mg/L)	4		0.009	0.013	0.010	0.011	0.002	J
Total Phosphorus (mg/L)	4		0.044	0.102	0.050	0.062	0.027	
CBOD-5 (mg/L)	4	<	2.0	2.2	1.0	1.3	0.6	J
Chlorides (mg/L)	4		1.3	6.4	3.8	3.8	2.3	
Atrazine (μg/L)	1				<	0.02		
Total Metals								
Aluminum (mg/L)	4		0.266	1.280	0.775	0.774	0.551	
lron (mg/L)	4		1.720	3.010	2.425	2.395	0.554	
Manganese (mg/L)	4		0.051	0.267	0.104	0.131	0.094	
Dissolved Metals								
Aluminum (mg/L)	4	<	0.043	0.262	0.049	0.096	0.114	J
Antimony (µg/L)	4	<	1.9 <	1.9	0.9	0.9	0.0	
Arsenic (µg/L)	4	<	1.4 <	1.4	0.7	0.7	0.0	
Cadmium (mg/L)	4	<	0.000 <	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	J
Chromium (mg/L)	4	<	0.009 <	0.009	0.004	0.004	0.000	
Copper (mg/L)	4	<	0.020 <	0.020	0.010	0.010	0.000	
lron (mg/L)	4		0.362	0.853	0.606	0.606	0.264	
Lead (µg/L)	4	<	0.9 <	0.9	0.5	0.5	0.0	
Manganese (mg/L)	4		0.017	0.101	0.080	0.070	0.039	J
Mercury (µg/L)	4	<	0.035 <	0.035	0.018	0.018	0.000	
Nickel (mg/L)	4	<	0.042 <	0.042	0.021	0.021	0.000	
Selenium (µg/L)	4	<	1.3 <	1.3	0.7	0.7	0.0	
Silver (mg/L)	4	<	0.000 <	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
Thallium (µg/L)	4	<	1.1 <	1.1	0.5	0.5	0.0	
Zinc (mg/L)	4	<	0.012 <	0.012	0.006	0.006	0.000	
Biological								
Chlorophyll a (ug/L)	4	<	0.10	1.78	0.80	0.86	0.74	
E. coli (col/100mL)	4		46	1986	235	626	918	J

J=estimate; N=# samples; Q=qualifier.