

# 2009 Monitoring Summary



**Anderson Creek at Snake Road Bridge (Lauderdale County) (34.85150/-87.23610)**

## BACKGROUND

The Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM) selected the Anderson Creek watershed for biological and water quality monitoring as part of the 2009 Assessment of the Tennessee (TN) River Basin. The objectives of this project were to assess the biological integrity of each monitoring site and to estimate overall water quality within the Tennessee River basin.



**Figure 1.** Anderson Creek at ANDL-8, July 15, 2009.

## WATERSHED CHARACTERISTICS

Watershed characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Anderson Creek at ANDL-8 is a *Fish & Wildlife (F&W)* stream located in the Western Highland Rim ecoregion (71f). Based on the 2006 National Land Cover Dataset, land cover within the watershed is primarily forest (29%) and pasture/ hay, with some development and cultivated crops. As of September 1, 2012, ADEM's NPDES Management System database shows nine permitted discharges located within the watershed.

## REACH CHARACTERISTICS

General observations (Table 2) and a habitat assessment (Table 3) were completed during the macroinvertebrate assessment. In comparison with reference reaches in the same ecoregion, they give an indication of the physical condition of the site and the quality and availability of habitat.

Anderson Creek at ANDL-8 is characterized by an open-canopy and cobble, gravel and bedrock substrates (Figure 1). Overall habitat quality was categorized as *optimal* as a result of high instream habitat quality and low sediment deposition.

## BIOASSESSMENT RESULTS

Benthic macroinvertebrate communities were sampled using ADEM's Intensive Multi-habitat Bioassessment methodology (WMB-I). The WMB-I uses measures of taxonomic richness, community composition, and community tolerance to assess the overall health of the macroinvertebrate community. Each metric is scored on a 100 point scale in comparison to least impaired reference reaches in the same ecoregion. The final score is the average of all individual scores. Metric results indicated the macroinvertebrate community in Anderson Creek at ANDL-8 to be in *fair* condition (Table 4).

**Table 1.** Summary of watershed characteristics.

| Watershed Characteristics        |                         |             |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| Basin                            |                         | Tennessee R |
| Drainage Area (mi <sup>2</sup> ) |                         | 49          |
| Ecoregion <sup>a</sup>           |                         | 71f         |
| % Landuse                        |                         |             |
| Open water                       |                         | <1          |
| Wetland                          | Woody                   | 2           |
|                                  | Emergent herbaceous     | <1          |
| Forest                           | Deciduous               | 22          |
|                                  | Evergreen               | 3           |
|                                  | Mixed                   | 4           |
| Shrub/scrub                      |                         | 5           |
| Grassland/herbaceous             |                         | 1           |
| Pasture/hay                      |                         | 48          |
| Cultivated crops                 |                         | 9           |
| Development                      | Open space              | 6           |
|                                  | Low intensity           | <1          |
|                                  | Moderate intensity      | <1          |
| Population/km <sup>2b</sup>      |                         | 17          |
| # NPDES Permits <sup>c</sup>     | <b>TOTAL</b>            | 9           |
|                                  | Construction Stormwater | 3           |
|                                  | Industrial General      | 2           |
|                                  | Municipal Individual    | 4           |

a. Western Highland Rim

b. 2000 US Census

c. #NPDES permits downloaded from ADEM's NPDES Management System database, September 1, 2012.

**Table 2.** Physical characteristics of Anderson Creek at ANDL-8, July 1, 2009.

| Physical Characteristics |                |      |
|--------------------------|----------------|------|
| <b>Width (ft)</b>        |                | 35   |
| <b>Canopy cover</b>      |                | Open |
| <b>Depth (ft)</b>        | Riffle         | 0.4  |
|                          | Run            | 1.0  |
|                          | Pool           | 1.0  |
| <b>% of Reach</b>        | Riffle         | 5    |
|                          | Run            | 75   |
|                          | Pool           | 20   |
| <b>% Substrate</b>       | Bedrock        | 30   |
|                          | Boulder        | 2    |
|                          | Cobble         | 28   |
|                          | Gravel         | 20   |
|                          | Sand           | 5    |
|                          | Silt           | 3    |
|                          | Organic Matter | 2    |

**Table 3.** Results of the habitat assessment conducted in Anderson Creek at ANDL-8, July 1, 2009.

| Habitat Assessment              | (% Maximum Score) | Rating                 |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| Instream habitat quality        | 73                | Optimal > 70           |
| Sediment deposition             | 77                | Optimal > 70           |
| Sinuosity                       | 73                | Sub-optimal (65-84)    |
| Bank and vegetative stability   | 70                | Sub-optimal (60-74)    |
| Riparian buffer                 | 85                | Sub-optimal (70-89)    |
| <b>Habitat assessment score</b> | <b>180</b>        |                        |
| <b>% Maximum score</b>          | <b>75</b>         | <b>Optimal &gt; 70</b> |

**Table 4.** Results of the macroinvertebrate bioassessment conducted in Anderson Creek at ANDL-8, July 1, 2009.

| Macroinvertebrate Assessment                |         |                     |  |
|---|---------|---------------------|--|
|   | Results | Scores (0-100)      |  |
| <b>Taxa richness and diversity measures</b> |         |                     |  |
| # EPT taxa                                  | 13      | 39                  |  |
| Shannon Diversity                           | 4.06    | 63                  |  |
| <b>Taxonomic composition measures</b>       |         |                     |  |
| % EPT minus Baetidae and Hydropsychidae     | 27      | 60                  |  |
| % Non-insect taxa                           | 10      | 61                  |  |
| <b>Functional feeding group</b>             |         |                     |  |
| % Predator Individuals                      | 5       | 0                   |  |
| <b>Community tolerance</b>                  |         |                     |  |
| % Tolerant taxa                             | 33      | 46                  |  |
| <b>WMB-I Assessment Score</b>               | ---     | <b>34</b>           |  |
| <b>WMB-I Assessment Rating</b>              |         | <b>Fair (29-43)</b> |  |

## WATER CHEMISTRY

Results of water chemistry analyses are presented in Table 5. In situ measurements and water samples were collected monthly, semi-monthly (metals), or quarterly (pesticides, atrazine, and semi-volatile organics) during March through October of 2009 to help identify any stressors to the biological communities.

The median concentration of nitrate+nitrite nitrogen was above the 90th percentile of reference reach data collected within the Western Highland Rim ecoregion. Stream pH was above the *F&W* use classification criterion during August. Flow was 30.5 cfs during this site visit.

## SUMMARY

Bioassessment results indicated the macroinvertebrate community in Anderson Creek at ANDL-8 to be in *fair* condition. Habitat conditions were rated as *optimal* for macroinvertebrate communities. However, the median concentration of nitrate+nitrite nitrogen was elevated as compared to data from ADEM's least-impaired reference reaches in ecoregion 71f. Stream pH exceeded the *F&W* criterion during one sampling event. Monitoring should continue to ensure that biological and water quality conditions remain stable.

FOR MORE INFORMATION, CONTACT:  
Ruthie Perez, ADEM Aquatic Assessment Unit  
1350 Coliseum Boulevard Montgomery, AL 36110  
(334) 260-2762 ryperez@adem.state.al.us

**Table 5.** Summary of water quality data collected March-October, 2009. Minimum (Min) and maximum (Max) values calculated using minimum detection limits (MDL). Median, average (Avg), and standard deviations (SD) values were calculated by multiplying the MDL by 0.5 when results were less than this value.

| Parameter                              | N | Min     | Max              | Median             | Avg   | SD    | E |
|--|---|---------|------------------|--------------------|-------|-------|---|
| <b>Physical</b>                        |   |         |                  |                    |       |       |   |
| Temperature (°C)                       | 9 | 11.5    | 29.1             | 21.8               | 20.2  | 6.4   |   |
| Turbidity (NTU)                        | 9 | 2.0     | 5.1              | 2.4                | 2.8   | 1.0   |   |
| ↓ Total Dissolved Solids (mg/L)        | 8 | < 1.0   | 90.0             | 66.0               | 55.6  | 32.0  |   |
| Total Suspended Solids (mg/L)          | 8 | < 1.0   | 3.0              | 1.0                | 1.3   | 0.9   |   |
| Specific Conductance (µmhos)           | 9 | 77.4    | 123.1            | 107.5              | 103.8 | 16.6  |   |
| Hardness (mg/L)                        | 4 | 32.6    | 54.0             | 41.4               | 42.4  | 9.8   |   |
| Alkalinity (mg/L)                      | 8 | 26.8    | 52.0             | 39.0               | 38.8  | 9.2   |   |
| Stream Flow (cfs)                      | 9 | 12.0    | 75.4             | 36.6               | 41.5  | 22.2  |   |
| <b>Chemical</b>                        |   |         |                  |                    |       |       |   |
| Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)                | 9 | 8.6     | 10.9             | 10.1               | 9.8   | 0.8   |   |
| pH (su)                                | 9 | 7.2     | 8.6 <sup>C</sup> | 7.7                | 7.9   | 0.5   | 1 |
| Ammonia Nitrogen (mg/L)                | 8 | < 0.006 | 0.014            | 0.007              | 0.006 | 0.002 |   |
| Nitrate+Nitrite Nitrogen (mg/L)        | 8 | 0.765   | 1.133            | 0.876 <sup>M</sup> | 0.928 | 0.154 |   |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (mg/L)         | 8 | < 0.089 | 0.335            | 0.122              | 0.154 | 0.107 |   |
| Total Nitrogen (mg/L)                  | 8 | < 0.810 | 1.370            | 1.111              | 1.083 | 0.193 |   |
| ↓ Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (mg/L) | 8 | 0.009   | 0.038            | 0.015              | 0.018 | 0.009 |   |
| ↓ Total Phosphorus (mg/L)              | 8 | 0.012   | 0.045            | 0.022              | 0.024 | 0.010 |   |
| CBOD-5 (mg/L)                          | 8 | < 2.0   | < 2.0            | 1.0                | 1.0   | 0.0   |   |
| Chlorides (mg/L)                       | 8 | 2.9     | 3.8              | 3.2                | 3.3   | 0.3   |   |
| Atrazine (µg/L)                        | 2 | < 0.06  | 0.19             | 0.11               | 0.11  | 0.11  |   |
| <b>Total Metals</b>                    |   |         |                  |                    |       |       |   |
| ↓ Aluminum (mg/L)                      | 4 | 0.034   | 0.17             | 0.086              | 0.094 | 0.059 |   |
| ↓ Iron (mg/L)                          | 4 | 0.049   | 0.09             | 0.071              | 0.070 | 0.018 |   |
| ↓ Manganese (mg/L)                     | 4 | 0.005   | 0.018            | 0.012              | 0.012 | 0.005 |   |
| <b>Dissolved Metals</b>                |   |         |                  |                    |       |       |   |
| ↓ Aluminum (mg/L)                      | 4 | < 0.019 | 0.035            | 0.018              | 0.020 | 0.011 |   |
| Antimony (µg/L)                        | 4 | < 0.7   | 2.0              | 0.4                | 0.5   | 0.3   |   |
| Arsenic (µg/L)                         | 4 | < 0.4   | 1.6              | 0.2                | 0.4   | 0.3   |   |
| Cadmium (mg/L)                         | 4 | < 0.003 | < 0.003          | 0.002              | 0.002 | 0.000 |   |
| Chromium (mg/L)                        | 4 | < 0.013 | < 0.013          | 0.006              | 0.006 | 0.000 |   |
| Copper (mg/L)                          | 4 | < 0.013 | < 0.013          | 0.006              | 0.006 | 0.000 |   |
| ↓ Iron (mg/L)                          | 4 | < 0.017 | 0.033            | 0.020              | 0.022 | 0.009 |   |
| Lead (µg/L)                            | 4 | < 0.6   | 1.0              | 0.5                | 0.5   | 0.1   |   |
| ↓ Manganese (mg/L)                     | 4 | < 0.001 | 0.008            | 0.006              | 0.005 | 0.003 |   |
| Mercury (µg/L)                         | 4 | < 0.1   | < 0.1            | 0.0                | 0.0   | 0.0   |   |
| ↓ Nickel (mg/L)                        | 4 | < 0.004 | 0.019            | 0.004              | 0.005 | 0.004 |   |
| Selenium (µg/L)                        | 4 | < 0.4   | 1.5              | 0.2                | 0.3   | 0.3   |   |
| Silver (mg/L)                          | 4 | < 0.002 | < 0.002          | 0.001              | 0.001 | 0.000 |   |
| Thallium (µg/L)                        | 4 | < 0.4   | 0.5              | 0.2                | 0.2   | 0.0   |   |
| ↓ Zinc (mg/L)                          | 4 | < 0.003 | 0.012            | 0.002              | 0.004 | 0.005 |   |
| <b>Biological</b>                      |   |         |                  |                    |       |       |   |
| Chlorophyll a (µg/L)                   | 8 | < 0.10  | 1.60             | 0.53               | 0.64  | 0.46  |   |
| ↓ Fecal Coliform (col/100 mL)          | 8 | 22      | 210              | 92                 | 99    | 55    |   |

N= # samples; J= estimate; M= value > 90th percentile of all verified ecoregional reference reach data collected within ecoregions 71f; E= # samples that exceeded criteria; C= value exceeds criteria for *Fish & Wildlife* use classification