

# 2008 Monitoring Summary



# Bennett Mill Creek at Henry Co Rd 47 (31.52586/-85.07152)

# **BACKGROUND**

The Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM) selected the Bennett Mill Creek watershed for biological and water quality monitoring as part of the 1999 Basin-wide Screening Assessment of the South East Alabama (SE-AL) River Basins. The screening assessments were conducted at stream reaches where land use estimates and non-point source information from the local Soil and Water Conservation Districts indicated a moderate or high potential for impairment from nonpoint sources in non-urban areas. Results of the 1999 screening-level evaluation identified Bennett Mill Creek at BMCH-1 for further monitoring during the 2008 Basin Assessment of the South East Alabama (SE-AL) River Basins to more fully assess biological conditions at the site, as well as the extent and cause of any impairment.



Figure 1. Reach Characteristics of Bennett Mill Creek at BMCH-1.

### WATERSHED CHARACTERISTICS

Watershed characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Bennett Mill Creek drains approximately seven square miles of countryside with a very low population density and less than 3% development. Based on the 2000 National Land Cover Dataset (NLCD), landuse within the watershed is mainly forest (61%), with some shrub/scrub and cultivated crops. It is located within the McRay Mill Creek sub-watershed of the Chattahoochee River basin. A watershed assessment conducted by the local Soil & Water Conservation District (SWCD) in 1998, suggested the main Non Point Source (NPS) concerns to be run off from crop lands, pasture, forest, and sedimentation. As of February 23, 2011, no NPDES permits have been issued in watershed.

Table 1. Summary of watershed characteristics.

Basin	rsned Characteris	Chattahoochee River			
Drainage Area (mi <sup>2</sup> )		7			
Ecoregion <sup>a</sup> % Landuse		65d			
Open water		<1			
Wetland	Woody	<1			
Forest	Deciduous	9			
	Evergreen	27			
	Mixed	25			
Shrub/scrub		20			
Pasture/hay		2			
Cultivated crops		14			
Development	Open space	2			
•	Low intensity	<1			
Population/km <sup>2 b</sup>		1			

a.Southern Hilly Gulf Coastal Plain b.2000 US Census

**Table 2.** Physical characteristics of Bennett Mill Creek at BMCH-1, June 4, 2008.

Physical Characteristics				
Width (ft)	15			
Canopy Cover	Shaded			
Depth (ft)				
	Run	0.5		
% of Reach				
	Run	100		
% Substrate				
	Clay	1		
	Sand	93		
	Silt	2		
Organic M	latter	4		

**Table 3.** Results of the habitat assessment conducted on Bennett Mill Creek at BMCH-1, June 4, 2008.

<b>Aaximum</b>	Score Rating		
25	Poor <40		
74	Optimal >65		
53	Marginal (45-64)		
70	Sub-optimal (60-74)		
88	Sub-optimal (70-89)		
133			
60	Sub-optimal (53-65)		
	25 74 53 70 88 133		

# REACH CHARACTERISTICS

General observations (Table 2) and a habitat assessment (Table 3) were completed during the macroinvertebrate assessment. In comparison with reference reaches in the same ecoregion, they give an indication of the physical condition of the site and the quality and availability of habitat. Bennett Mill Creek at BMCH-1 is a medium gradient, sand bottomed stream typical of ecoregion 65d (Figure 1). Overall habitat quality was categorized as *sub-optimal*, but a lack of stream sinuosity and instream habitat were noted.

## **BIOASSESSMENT RESLTS**

Benthic macroinvertebrate communities were sampled using ADEM's <u>Intensive Multi-habitat Bioassessment methodology</u> (WMB-I). The WMB-I uses measures of taxonomic richness, community composition, and community tolerance to assess the overall health of the macroinvertebrate community. Each metric is scored on a 100 point scale. The final score is the average of scores for each individual metric. The overall condition of macroinvertebrate community was rated as *poor* (Table 4).

**Table 4.** Results of the macroinvertebrate bioassessment conducted in Bennett Mill Creek at BMCH-1, June 4, 2008.

Macroinvertebrate Assessment					
	Results Scores		Rating		
Taxa richness measures					
# EPT genera	12	48	Fair (38-56)		
Taxonomic composition measures					
% Non-insect taxa	2	100	Excellent (>96.34)		
% Plecoptera	2	9	Good (5.7-52.8)		
% Dominant taxa	58	0	Very Poor (<23.5)		
Functional composition measures					
% Predators	8	26	Poor (15.1-30.1)		
Tolerance measures					
Beck's community tolerance index	13	59	Good (31.9-65.9)		
% Nutrient tolerant organisms	59	18	Very Poor (<25.4)		
WMB-I Assessment Score		37	Poor (19-37)		

#### WATER CHEMISTRY

Results of water chemistry are presented in Table 5. In situ measurements and water samples were collected during May, July and September (chemical analysis and metals), or two times (pesticides, atrazine, and semi-volatile organics) during 2008. In situ parameters were also measured during the macroinvertebrate bioassessment. Median nutrient concentrations (ammonia nitrogen and nitrate+nitrite nitrogen) were slightly higher than background levels based on the 90th percentile of all least impaired reference reach data in ecoregion 65d.

#### **SUMMARY**

Bioassessment results indicated an impaired macroinvertebrate community. Median concentrations of nitrogen were higher than expected based on 90th percentile of all reference reach data collected in ecoregion 65d. Based on the 2000 NLCD and the 1998 SWCD sub-watershed assessment, the main NPS concerns within the watershed were run off from crops, pastures and forestry. Additionally, results of the habitat assessment suggested that in-stream habitat may be limited by excessive sediment deposition. The habitat assessment also noted a lack of stream sinuosity which can contribute to scouring from sedimentation, and minimize habitat for aquatic communities.

FOR MORE INFORMATION, CONTACT:

Sreeletha Prem Kumar ADEM Environmental Indicators Section 1350 Coliseum Boulevard Montgomery, AL 36110 (334) 260-2782 skumar@adem.state.al.us

**Table 5.** Summary of water quality data collected March-October, 2008. Minimum (Min) and maximum (Max) values calculated using minimum detection limits (MDL). Median, average (Avg), and standard deviations (SD) values were calculated by multiplying the MDL by 0.5 when results were less than this value

plying the MDL by 0.5 when results		e less					
Parameter	N		Min	Max	Med	Avg	SD Q
Physical							
Temperature (°C)	4		20.1	24.8	23.5	23.0	2.2
Turbidity (NTU)	4		3.2	4.9	3.9	4.0	8.0
Total Dissolved Solids (mg/L)	3	<	1.0	44.0	6.0	16.8	23.7
Total Suspended Solids (mg/L)	3		1.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	1.0
Specific Conductance (µmhos)	4		54.2	56.9	55.2	55.4	1.1
Hardness (mg/L)	3		16.0	21.6	20.2	19.3	2.9
Alkalinity (mg/L)	3		12.4	13.4	12.6	12.8	0.5
Stream Flow (cfs)	4		0.1	3.7	2.0	2.0	1.5
Chemical							
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	4		7.9	8.6	8.4	8.3	0.4
pH (su)	4		6.6	7.2	7.2	7.0	0.3
Ammonia Nitrogen (mg/L)	3	<	0.015	< 0.015	$0.008^{M}$	0.008	0.000
Nitrate+Nitrite Nitrogen (mg/L)	3		0.459	0.610	$0.470^{M}$	0.513	0.084
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (mg/L)	3	<	0.150	0.169	0.075	0.106	0.054
Total Nitrogen (mg/L)	3	<	0.534	0.779	0.545	0.619	0.138
Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (mg/L)	3		0.016	0.018	0.017	0.017	0.001
Total Phosphorus (mg/L)	3		0.020	0.024	0.021	0.022	0.002
CBOD-5 (mg/L)	3	<	1.0	< 1.0	0.5	0.5	0.0
Chlorides (mg/L)	3		2.7	4.2	3.1	3.3	0.8
Atrazine (µg/L)	2	<	0.05	< 0.05	0.02	0.02	0.00
Total Metals							
Aluminum (mg/L)	3		0.021	0.201	0.073	0.098	0.093
Iron (mg/L)	3		0.291	0.584	0.534	0.470	0.157
Manganese (mg/L)	3		0.027	0.044	0.043	0.038	0.010
Dissolved Metals							
Aluminum (mg/L)	3	<	0.015	0.019	0.008	0.008	0.001
Antimony (µg/L)	3	<	2.0	< 2.0	1.0	1.0	0.0
Arsenic (µg/L)	3	<	2.2	< 2.2	1.1	1.1	0.0
Cadmium (mg/L)	3	<	0.005	< 0.005	0.002	0.002	0.000
Chromium (mg/L)	3	<	0.004	< 0.004	0.002	0.002	0.000
Copper (mg/L)	3	<		< 0.005	0.002	0.002	0.000
Iron (mg/L)	3		0.126	0.183	0.134	0.148	0.031
Lead (µg/L)	3	<	1.5	< 1.5	0.7	0.7	0.0
Manganese (mg/L)	3		0.022	0.038	0.036	0.032	0.009
Mercury (µg/L)	3	<	0.0	< 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Nickel (mg/L)	3	<		< 0.006	0.003	0.003	0.000
Selenium (µg/L)	3	<	1.5	1.6	0.8	0.8	0.0
Silver (mg/L)	3	<		< 0.003	0.002 <sup>M</sup>	0.002	0.000
Thallium (µg/L)	3	<	0.6	< 0.6	0.3	0.3	0.0
Zinc (mg/L)	3	<		< 0.006	0.003	0.003	0.000
Biological	J	_	0.000	\ U.UUU	0.003	0.003	0.000
Chlorophyll a (ug/L)	3		0.71	1.78	1.07	1.19	0.54
·	3						
Fecal Coliform (col/100 mL)		. 000	140	430	320	297	146 J

J=estimate; N=# samples; Q=qualifier; M=value >90% of all verified ecoregional reference reach data collected in the ecoregion (65d).