2007 Monitoring Summary



Jock Creek at mouth at unnamed road in Tuscaloosa County (33.45310/-87.42820)

BACKGROUND

The Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM) selected the Jock Creek watershed for biological and water quality monitoring as part of the 2007 Black Warrior Cahaba (BWC) Basin Assessment Monitoring. The objectives of the study were to assess each monitoring location and estimate overall water quality within the basin. Data collected from this project will also be used for metric and criteria development.



Figure 1. Watershed Characteristics of Jock Creek at JKC-1.

WATERSHED CHARACTERISTICS

Watershed characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Jock Creek is a small *Fish & Wildlife (F&W)* stream that drains through two square miles in the Tuscaloosa County. Based on the 2000 National Land Cover Dataset, landuse within the watershed is primarily forest (77%) (Figure 1), interspersed with some shrubs and grasslands. Population density is relatively very low in this area. As of February 23, 2011, only two NPDES permits have been issued in this monitoring site.

REACH CHARACTERISTICS

General observations (Table 2) and a <u>habitat assessment</u> (Table 3) were completed during the macroinvertebrate assessment. In comparison with reference reaches in the same ecoregion, they give an indication of the physical condition of the site and the quality and availability of habitat. Jock Creek at JKC-1 is a moderate gradient stream with bedrock, gravel, cobble, boulder, and sand substrates. This watershed lies in the Shale Hills sub ecoregion (68f). Overall habitat quality was categorized as *optimal*.

Table 1. Summary of watershed characteristics.

| watersned Characteristics | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Basin | | Black Warrior River | | |
| Drainage Area (mi ²) | | 2 | | |
| Ecoregion ^a | | 68f | | |
| % Landuse | | | | |
| Open water | | <1 | | |
| Forest | Deciduous | 31 | | |
| | Evergreen | 36 | | |
| | Mixed | 10 | | |
| Shrub/scrub | | 15 | | |
| Grassland/herbaceous | | 4 | | |
| Development | Open space | 1 | | |
| | Low intensity | <1 | | |
| Barren | | 1 | | |
| Population/km ^{2 b} | | <1 | | |
| # NPDES Permits ^c | TOTAL | 2 | | |
| Mining | | 1 | | |
| Municipal Individual | | 1 | | |
| o Cholo Hillo | | | | |

Watershed Characteristics

Table 2. Physical characteristics of Jock Creek at JKC-1, May 9, 2007.

| Physical Characteristics | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| Width (ft) | 6 | | | | |
| Canopy Cover | Shaded | | | | |
| Depth (ft) | | | | | |
| Riffle | 0.3 | | | | |
| Run | 0.5 | | | | |
| Pool | 1.5 | | | | |
| % of Reach | | | | | |
| Riffle | 35 | | | | |
| Run | 30 | | | | |
| Pool | 35 | | | | |
| % Substrate | | | | | |
| Bedrock | 33 | | | | |
| Boulder | 10 | | | | |
| Cobble | 20 | | | | |
| Gravel | 25 | | | | |
| Sand | 5 | | | | |
| Silt | 3 | | | | |
| Organic Matter | 4 | | | | |

BIOASSESSMENT RESULTS

Benthic macroinvertebrate communities were sampled using ADEM's <u>Intensive Multi-habitat Bioassessment methodology (WMB-I)</u>. The WMB-I uses measures of taxonomic richness, community composition, and community tolerance to assess the overall health of the macroinvertebrate community. Each metric is scored on a 100 point scale. The final score is the average of the scores of all individual metrics. Metric results indicated the macroinvertebrate community to be characterized mainly by pollution-tolerant taxa groups, indicating *poor* community condition (Table 4).

a.Shale Hills

b.2000 US Census

c.#NPDES permits downloaded from ADEM's NPDES Management System database, February 23, 2011.

Table 3. Results of the habitat assessment conducted on Jock Creek at

| Habitat Assessment % | ⁄₀Maxim | um Score Rating |
|---------------------------------|---------|---------------------|
| Instream Habitat Qual | ity 68 | Sub-optimal (59-70) |
| Sediment Depositi | on 77 | 7 Optimal >70 |
| Sinuos | ity 88 | 3 Optimal >84 |
| Bank and Vegetative Stability | | Sub-optimal (60-74) |
| Riparian Buffer | | Optimal >89 |
| Habitat Assessment Score | 17 | 6 |
| % Maximum Score | | 3 Optimal >70 |

Table 4. Results of the macroinvertebrate bioassessment conducted in Jock Creek at JKC-1, May 9, 2007.

| Macroinvertebrate Assessment | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------|---------|------------------|--|--|--|
| | Results | Scores | Rating | | | |
| Taxa richness measures | | (0-100) | | | | |
| # Ephemeroptera (mayfly) genera | 1 | 8 | Very Poor (<23) | | | |
| # Plecoptera (stonefly) genera | 2 | 33 | Fair (32-49) | | | |
| # Trichoptera (caddisfly) genera | 6 | 50 | Fair (45-66) | | | |
| Taxonomic composition measures | | | | | | |
| % Non-insect taxa | 11 | 57 | Fair (49.5-74.1) | | | |
| % Non-insect organisms | 2 | 94 | Fair (62.8-93.9) | | | |
| % Plecoptera | 3 | 16 | Fair (13.2-19.7) | | | |
| Tolerance measures | | | | | | |
| Beck's community tolerance index | 12 | 43 | Fair (41.0-60.9) | | | |
| WMB-I Assessment Score | | 43 | Poor (24-48) | | | |

WATER CHEMISTRY

Results of water chemistry analyses are presented in Table 5. In situ measurements and water samples were collected monthly, semi-monthly (metals), or once (pesticides, atrazine, and semi-volatile organics) during March through October of 2007 to help identify any stressors to the biological communities. In situ parameters suggested that Jock Creek at JKC-1 was meeting its F&W use classification criteria, although stream pH exceeded the criterion during one sampling event. Median values of total dissolved solids, specific conductance, hardness, alkalinity, and chlorides were higher than expected based on ecoregional reference data collected in the ecoregion 68.

SUMMARY

Bioassessment results indicated the macroinvertebrate community to be in *poor* condition, although the habitat assessment score was *optimal* with good instream habitat. Macroinvertebrate communities in very small stream are typically not as diverse as communities further downstream. However, total dissolved solids, specific conductance, alkalinity, hardness and chlorides were elevated as compared to data from ADEM's least-impaired reference reaches in ecoregion 68. Elevated concentrations of total dissolved solids, specific conductance, hardness, alkalinity and chlorides are potential causes of the degraded biological conditions within the reach.

FOR MORE INFORMATION, CONTACT:

Sreeletha P Kumar, ADEM Environmental Indicators Section 1350 Coliseum Boulevard Montgomery, AL 36110 (334) 260-2782 skumar@adem.state.al.us

Table 5. Summary of water quality data collected March-October, 2007. Minimum (Min) and maximum (Max) values calculated using minimum detection limits (MDL). Median, average (Avg), and standard deviations (SD) values were calculated by multiplying the MDL by 0.5 when results were less than this value.

| MDL by 0.5 when results were less Parameter | N | | Min | Max | Med | Avg | SD | Q | Ε |
|--|---|---|-------|---------|--------------------|-------|---------|---|---|
| Physical | | | | | | | | | |
| Temperature (°C) | 9 | | 14.7 | 25.9 | 22.1 | 21.1 | 3.4 | | |
| Turbidity (NTU) | 9 | | 0.6 | 6.8 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 2.1 | | |
| Total Dissolved Solids (mg/L) | 8 | | 188.0 | 1,008.0 | 355.5 ^M | 414.4 | 246.9 | | |
| Total Suspended Solids (mg/L) | 8 | < | 1.0 | 25.0 | 3.0 | 7.2 | 8.8 | | |
| Specific Conductance (µmhos) | 9 | | 432.6 | 3,642.0 | 529.7 ^G | 887.6 | 1,035.4 | | |
| Hardness (mg/L) | 5 | | 118.0 | 270.0 | 227.0 ^G | 212.4 | 59.6 | | |
| Alkalinity (mg/L) | 8 | | 44.3 | 56.7 | 52.8 ^M | 51.9 | 4.0 | | |
| Stream Flow (cfs) | 8 | | 0.1 | 6.4 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 2.1 | | |
| Chemical | | | | | | | | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L) | 9 | | 7.2 | 10.9 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 1.0 | | |
| pH (su) | 9 | | 7.2 | 8.8 C | 7.5 | 7.6 | 0.5 | | 1 |
| Ammonia Nitrogen (mg/L) | 8 | < | 0.015 | < 0.015 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.000 | | |
| Nitrate+Nitrite Nitrogen (mg/L) | 8 | < | 0.002 | 0.160 | 0.070 | 0.074 | 0.061 | | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (mg/L) | 8 | < | 0.150 | 0.170 | 0.075 | 0.087 | 0.034 | | |
| Total Nitrogen (mg/L) | 8 | < | 0.076 | 0.259 | 0.148 | 0.161 | 0.073 | | |
| Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (mg/L) | 8 | | 0.011 | 0.048 | 0.014 | 0.019 | 0.012 | | |
| Total Phosphorus (mg/L) | 8 | | 0.012 | 0.053 | 0.020 | 0.023 | 0.013 | J | |
| CBOD-5 (mg/L) | 8 | < | 1.0 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.4 | | |
| Chlorides (mg/L) | 8 | | 6.6 | 15.3 | 10.8 ^M | 11.2 | 3.0 | J | |
| Atrazine (µg/L) | 1 | | | | | 0.08 | | | |
| Total Metals | | | | | | | | | |
| Aluminum (mg/L) | 5 | < | 0.015 | 0.200 | 0.023 | 0.080 | 0.093 | J | |
| Iron (mg/L) | 5 | | 0.028 | 0.180 | 0.050 | 0.073 | 0.061 | J | |
| Manganese (mg/L) | 5 | | 0.010 | 0.071 | 0.061 | 0.047 | 0.028 | J | |
| Dissolved Metals | | | | | | | | | |
| Aluminum (mg/L) | 6 | < | 0.015 | 0.190 | 0.008 | 0.045 | 0.073 | | |
| Antimony (µg/L) | 6 | < | 0.2 | < 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.3 | | |
| Arsenic (µg/L) | 4 | < | 0.5 | < 5.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.9 | | |
| Cadmium (mg/L) | 6 | < | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.001 | | |
| Chromium (mg/L) | 6 | < | 0.002 | 0.010 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.001 | | |
| Copper (mg/L) | 6 | < | 0.005 | 0.010 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.001 | | |
| Iron (mg/L) | 6 | < | 0.005 | 0.060 | 0.002 | 0.008 | 0.011 | | |
| Lead (µg/L) | 6 | < | 1.1 | 5.0 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.7 | | |
| Manganese (mg/L) | 6 | | 0.010 | 0.100 | 0.014 | 0.029 | 0.035 | J | |
| Mercury (µg/L) | 6 | < | 0.0 | 0.5 A | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | J | 1 |
| Nickel (mg/L) | 6 | < | 0.004 | 0.010 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.001 | | |
| Selenium (µg/L) | 6 | < | 1.6 | 5.0 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.7 | | |
| Silver (mg/L) | 5 | < | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.001 | | |
| Thallium (µg/L) | 5 | < | 0.6 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.4 | | |
| Zinc (mg/L) | 6 | < | 0.002 | 2.500 | 0.003 | 0.210 | 0.509 | | |
| Biological | | | | | | | | | |
| Chlorophyll a (ug/L) | 8 | < | 0.10 | 3.20 | 0.94 | 1.20 | 1.10 | J | |
| Fecal Coliform (col/100 mL) | 8 | | 20 | 170 | 60 | 78 | 60 | | |
| | - | | | | | | | - | |

A=(F&W) aquatic life criterion exceeded; C=(F&W) criterion violated; G=value> median of all ecoregional reference reach data collected(68); J=estimate; M=value> 90th percentile of all verified ecoregional reference reach data collected within ecoregion(68); N=# samples; Q=Laboratory qualifier code.