

# 2006 Monitoring **Summary**



Muddy Fork of Big Nance Creek at AL Hwy 157 in Lawrence County (34.5579/-87.37375)

#### **BACKGROUND**

The Muddy Fork from Big Nance Creek to Crow Branch is classified for Agriculture and Industry (A&I) uses. As mandated, the ADEM conducted a Use Attainability Analysis (UAA) study to determine if the reach could reasonably be expected to attain water quality criteria consistent with Alabama's Fish & Wildlife (F&W) use classification which achieves the Clean Water Act interim "fishable/swimmable" goal.

As part of this effort, habitat and macroinvertebrate assessments were conducted on the Muddy Fork of Big Nance Creek at MFBN-1 on June 8, 2006.



Figure 1. Muddy Fork of Big Nance Creek at MFBN-1, 4/13/2006.

## WATERSHED CHARACTERISTICS

Watershed characteristics are summarized in Table 1. The Muddy Fork of Big Nance Creek (Fig. 1) is located in the Little Mountain ecoregion. Landuse within the watershed is primarily pasture with some forested areas. As of September 18, 2009, the Department has issued sixteen NPDES permits in this watershed.

## REACH CHARACTERISTICS

General observations (Table 2) and habitat assessments (Table 3) were completed during the macroinvertebrate assessment. When compared to other reference reaches in the Little Mountain ecoregion, they give an indication of the physical condition of the site and the quality and availability of habitat. Muddy Fork of Big Nance Creek at MFBN-1 is a shallow stream with cobble-gravel riffles. Overall habitat quality was categorized as optimal.

Table 1. Summary of watershed characteristics.

Basin         Tennessee Riv           Drainage Area (mi²)         71           Ecoregiona         71j           % Landuse         71j           Open water         <1           Wetland         Woody         8           Forest         Deciduous         12           Evergreen         4         Mixed         2           Shrub/scrub         9         9           Grassland/herbaceous         1         Pasture/hay         50           Cultivated crops         5         Development         Open space         6           Low intensity         2         Moderate intensity         1           High intensity         <1         37           # NPDES Permits <sup>c</sup> TOTAL         16           401 Water Quality Certification         2	
Ecoregion <sup>a</sup> 71j           % Landuse         <1           Open water         <1           Wetland         Woody         8           Forest         Deciduous         12           Evergreen         4         Mixed         2           Shrub/scrub         9         9           Grassland/herbaceous         1         1           Pasture/hay         50         5           Cultivated crops         5         5           Development         Open space         6           Low intensity         2         Moderate intensity           High intensity         <1           Barren         <1           Population/km²b         37           # NPDES Permits <sup>c</sup> TOTAL         16	iver
% Landuse       <1	
Open water         <1	
Wetland         Woody         8           Forest         Deciduous         12           Evergreen         4           Mixed         2           Shrub/scrub         9           Grassland/herbaceous         1           Pasture/hay         50           Cultivated crops         5           Development         Open space         6           Low intensity         2           Moderate intensity         1           High intensity         <1	
Forest   Deciduous   12     Evergreen   4     Mixed   2     Shrub/scrub   9     Grassland/herbaceous   1     Pasture/hay   50     Cultivated crops   5     Development   Open space   6     Low intensity   2     Moderate intensity   1     High intensity   <1     Barren   <1     Population/km² b   37     # NPDES Permitsc   TOTAL   16	
Evergreen   4   Mixed   2	
Mixed   2	
Shrub/scrub         9           Grassland/herbaceous         1           Pasture/hay         50           Cultivated crops         5           Development         Open space         6           Low intensity         2           Moderate intensity         1           High intensity         <1	
Grassland/herbaceous Pasture/hay Cultivated crops Development Open space Low intensity Moderate intensity High intensity  Barren Population/km²b NPDES Permitsc TOTAL  1  50  Cultivated crops 5  Low intensity 2  Moderate intensity 1  High intensity 37  TOTAL	
Pasture/hay 50 Cultivated crops 5 Development Open space 6 Low intensity 2 Moderate intensity 1 High intensity <1 Barren <1 Population/km²b 37 # NPDES Permitsc TOTAL 16	
Cultivated crops   5     Development   Open space   6     Low intensity   2     Moderate intensity   1     High intensity   <1     Barren   <1     Population/km² b   37     # NPDES Permits°   TOTAL   16	
Development Open space 6 Low intensity 2 Moderate intensity 1 High intensity <1 Barren <1 Population/km²b 37 # NPDES Permitsc TOTAL 16	
Low intensity 2  Moderate intensity 1  High intensity <1  Barren <1  Population/km² b 37  # NPDES Permitsc TOTAL 16	
$\begin{array}{ccc} & & & & & & Moderate intensity & 1 \\ & & & & High intensity & <1 \\ & Barren & & & <1 \\ & Population/km^{2  b} & & & 37 \\ \#  NPDES  Permits^c & \textbf{TOTAL} & 16 \end{array}$	
$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \text{High intensity} & <1 \\ \text{Barren} & & <1 \\ \text{Population/km}^{2 \text{ b}} & & 37 \\ \text{\# NPDES Permits}^{\text{c}} & \textbf{TOTAL} & 16 \\ \end{array}$	
Barren <1 Population/km² b 37 # NPDES Permitsc TOTAL 16	
Population/km <sup>2 b</sup> 37 # NPDES Permits <sup>c</sup> TOTAL 16	
# NPDES Permits <sup>c</sup> TOTAL 16	
401 Water Quality Certification 2	
401 Water Quality Certification 2	
Construction Stormwater 10	
Mining 1	
Industrial General 1	
Municipal Individual 2	

a.Little Mountain

**Table 2.** Physical characteristics of Muddy Fork of Big Nance Creek at MFBN-1, June 8, 2006.

Physical Characteristics						
Width (ft)		30				
Canopy cover		Mostly Open				
Depth (ft)	Riffle	0.4				
	Run	1.5				
	Pool	3.0				
% of Reach	Riffle	25				
	Run	30				
	Pool	45				
% Substrate	Boulder	1				
	Cobble	30				
	Gravel	60				
	Sand	3				
	Silt	1				
	Organic Matter	5				

b 2000 US Census

c.#NPDES permits downloaded from ADEM's NPDES Management System database, 18 Sep 2009

#### **BIOASSESSMENT RESULTS**

Benthic macroinvertebrate communities were sampled using ADEM's <u>Intensive Multi-habitat Bioassessment Methodology (WMB-I)</u>. The WMB-I uses measures of taxonomic richness, community composition, and community tolerance to assess the overall health of the macroinvertebrate community. Each metric is scored on a 100 point scale. The final score is an average of the score for each metric. Metric results indicated the macroinvertebrate community to be characterized by pollution-tolerant taxa groups, indicating *poor* community condition (Table 4).

Table 3. Results of habitat assessment conducted June 8, 2006.

Habitat Assessment (% Maximum Score)		Rating
Instream habitat quality	72	Optimal (> 70)
Sediment deposition	74	Optimal (> 70)
Sinuosity	68	Sub-optimal (65-84)
Bank and vegetative stability	71	Sub-optimal (60-74)
Riparian buffer	73	Sub-optimal (70-90)
Habitat assessment score	169	
% Maximum score	70	<b>Optimal</b> (> 70)

**Table 4.** Results of the macroinvertebrate bioassessment conducted June 8, 2006.

Macroinvertebrate Assessment Results					
	Results	Scores	Rating		
Taxa richness measures		(0-100)			
# Ephemeroptera (mayfly) genera	7	58	Fair (47-70)		
# Plecoptera (stonefly) genera	1	17	Poor (16-31)		
# Trichoptera (caddisfly) genera	4	67	Good (67-83)		
Taxonomic composition measures					
% Non-insect taxa	16	37	Poor (24.7-49.4)		
% Non-insect organisms	6	85	Fair (62.8-93.9)		
% Plecoptera	1	7	Poor (6.56-13.1)		
Tolerance measures					
Beck's community tolerance index	8	29	Poor (20.2-40.7)		
WMB-I Assessment Score		43	Poor (24-48)		

# WATER CHEMISTRY

Results of water chemistry analyses are presented in Table 5. When possible, in situ measurements and water samples are collected monthly, semi-monthly (metals), or quarterly (pesticides, herbicides (atrazine), and semi-volatile organics) during March through October at to help identify any stressors to the biological communities. In-situ measurements indicated pH values above the 8.5 standard unit criterion for Fish & Wildlife (F&W) during two of 13 sampling events. Dissolved oxygen concentrations fell below the 5.0 mg/L for Fish & Wildlife (F&W) during four of 13 sampling events. Median turbidity, total dissolved solids, specific conductance, hardness, chlorides, total Kjeldahl nitrogen and metals (total aluminum, dissolved arsenic, cadmium, and thallium) concentrations were elevated based on the 90th percentile of reference reaches in ecoregion 71.

# **CONCLUSIONS**

As part of the assessment process, ADEM will review the monitoring information presented in this report, along with all other available data, to determine if the Muddy Fork of Big Nance Creek should be reclassified as a *Fish & Wildlife* stream.

Bioassessment results indicated the macroinvertebrate community in Muddy Fork of Big Nance Creek at MFBN-1 to be in *poor* condition. Results of other data collected during 2006 suggest nutrient enrichment and elevated metals to be potential causes of the deteriorated biological conditions.

FOR MORE INFORMATION, CONTACT: Brien Diggs, ADEM Aquatic Assessment Unit 1350 Coliseum Boulevard Montgomery, AL 36110 (334) 260-2750 lod@adem.state.al.us

Table 5. Summary of water quality data collected March-October, 2006. Minimum (Min) and maximum (Max) values calculated using minimum detection limits (MDL). Median, average (Avg), and standard deviations (SD) values were calculated by multiplying the MDL by 0.5 when results were less than this value. Metals results were compared to ADEM's chronic aquatic life use criteria adjusted for hardness.

justed for hardness.						
Parameter	N	Min	Max	Median	Avg	SD
Physical						
Temperature (°C)	13	12.1	27.3	22.2 <sup>M</sup>	21.9	3.6
Turbidity (NTU)	13	1.9	10.5	4.5 <sup>M</sup>	5.3	2.5
Total Dissolved Solids (mg/L)	10	146.0	225.0	179.5 <sup>M</sup>	183.0	27.7
Total Suspended Solids (mg/L)	10	<1.0	10.0	3.5	3.8	2.8
Specific Conductance (µmhos)	13	233.0	369.0	310.0 <sup>M</sup>	314.5	41.3
Hardness (mg/L)	3	141.0	189.0	168.0 <sup>M</sup>	166.0	24.1
Alkalinity (mg/L)	10	85.7	150.9	112.7	119.2	21.5
Stream Flow (cfs)	8	0.3	30.5	5.7	11.6	12.8
Chemical						
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	13	3.5	13.3	5.3	6.0	2.4
pH (su)	13	7.3	8.6 <sup>C</sup>	7.7	7.7	0.4
Ammonia Nitrogen (mg/L)	10	<0.015	0.533	0.016	0.075	0.162
Nitrate+Nitrite Nitrogen (mg/L)	10	<0.003	2.012	0.319	0.484	0.607
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (mg/L)	10	0.279	2.010	0.697 <sup>M</sup>	0.744	0.475
Total Nitrogen (mg/L)	10	0.716	2.566	0.930	1.315	0.722
Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (mg/L)	10	0.014	0.342	0.102	0.126	0.099
Total Phosphorus (mg/L)	10	<0.100	0.478	0.108	0.150	0.136
CBOD-5 (mg/L)	10	0.4	1.2	0.7	0.7	0.3
Chlorides (mg/L)	10	5.9	31.8	13.7 <sup>M</sup>	16.2	10.7
Atrazine (µg/L)	1	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	
Total Metals						
Aluminum (mg/L)	3	0.104	0.207	0.172 <sup>M</sup>	0.161	0.052
Iron (mg/L)	3	0.176	0.248	0.21	0.211	0.036
Manganese (mg/L)	3	< 0.05	0.059	0.025	0.036	0.020
Dissolved Metals						
Aluminum (mg/L)	3	<0.05	< 0.05	0.025	0.025	0.000
Antimony (µg/L)	3	<10	<10	5	5	0
Arsenic (μg/L)	3	10	27	13 <sup>M</sup>	17	9
Cadmium (mg/L)	3	<0.015	<0.015	0.008M	0.008	0.000
Chromium (mg/L)	3	<0.050	<0.050	0.025	0.025	0.000
Copper (mg/L)	3	<0.050	<0.050	0.025	0.025	0.000
Iron (mg/L)	3	<0.050	<0.050	0.025	0.025	0.000
Lead (µg/L)	3	<10	<10	5	5	0
Manganese (mg/L)	3	<0.02	< 0.02	0.010	0.010	0.000
<sup>JH</sup> Mercury (µg/L)	3	<0.3	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.1
Nickel (mg/L)	3	<0.05	<0.05	0.025	0.025	0.000
Selenium (µg/L)	3	<50	<50	25	25	0
Silver (mg/L)	3	<0.050	<0.050	0.025	0.025	0.000
Thallium (µg/L)	3	<10	16	10 <sup>M</sup>	10	6
Zinc (mg/L)	3	<0.050	<0.050	0.025	0.025	0.000
Biological						
Chlorophyll a (µg/L)	9	<1.00	3.74	1.07	1.35	1.09
JH Fecal Coliform (col/100 mL)	8	3	92	19	26	28
		<u> </u>		ı		

JH=estimate; N=# samples; M=value > 90th percentile of all verified ecoregional reference reach data collected within eco-region 71; C= value exceeds established criteria for A&I use classification.