

Alabama Scrap Tire Program Biennial Report

October 1, 2012 - September 30, 2014

Executive Summary

The Scrap Tire Biennial Report is required by the Scrap Tire Environmental Quality Act, Code of Alabama 22-40A-21(k), which is prepared by the Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM) for the Alabama Scrap Tire Commission (STC) for submittal to the Alabama Legislature. This report addresses Alabama's Scrap Tire Program activities as undertaken by the ADEM and the STC.

The Alabama Scrap Tire Environmental Quality Act established a mechanism for the cleanup of scrap tire stockpiles and for the regulatory oversight of the collection, transportation, processing, recycling, and disposal of all scrap tires that are generated or imported into Alabama. The Act established the Scrap Tire Fund (Fund) as support for the Alabama Scrap Tire Program.

The Fund is utilized as specified in the Act as follows:

- To pay the costs of remediation, abatement, removal, or other remedial action within the range of forty-five percent (45%) to seventy-five percent (75%) of monies deposited to the Scrap Tire Fund during the previous budget year;
- To pay the costs of the ADEM associated with the development and enforcement of regulations including personnel, training, materials, and equipment relating to administration of this chapter and for the training of enforcement personnel within the department, county, and other governmental organizations, up to twenty percent (20%) of monies deposited to the Scrap Tire Fund during the previous budget year;
- To administer a program, within the range of zero percent (0%) to twenty percent (20%) of monies deposited to the Scrap Tire Fund during the previous budget year, directed at promoting and developing markets as an alternative to disposal;
- To fund the programs delegated by the department to counties for enforcement of regulations, not to exceed ten percent (10%) of monies deposited to the Scrap Tire Fund during the previous budget year;
- To pay the tire retailer, not to exceed seven percent (7%) of fees collected, for collection and accounting costs associated with collection of the fee and the monthly distribution to the Department of Revenue;
- To pay the costs of administration of the Department of Revenue, not to exceed two percent (2%) of monies, associated with establishment of the Scrap Tire Fund, receipt of funds, disbursements, and auditing revenues in the Scrap Tire Fund.

Background

The Alabama Scrap Tire Study Commission (STSC) was directed by Act 99-597 of the 1999 Session of the Alabama Legislature to produce a report detailing the generation, accumulation, and challenges posed by and opportunities to more effectively manage scrap tires in Alabama. In addition to the report, the STSC was charged by Joint Resolution SJR-152 to clarify sections of scrap tire legislation identified.

On March 8, 2001, the Scrap Tire Report was finalized and revealed that on an annual basis in Alabama approximately five (5) million scrap tires were to be generated, fourteen (14) to twenty (20) million tires were stockpiled or illegally disposed, and an additional four (4) to five (5) million tires were being shipped to Alabama from out-of-state sources. In addition, the report documented that Alabama was the only state in the Southeast that had not enacted an adequately funded comprehensive cleanup and management program, and had no extensive regulatory structure to address effective management of scrap tires in Alabama. Based on this information, the STSC made a number of recommendations that were included in the drafting of the Scrap Tire Environmental Quality Bill. The draft bill was structured around the areas of stock pile remediation, regulation, enforcement, and market development of scrap tires. The legislation was introduced during the 2003 session and ultimately signed into law in June of 2003.

The Alabama Scrap Tire Environmental Quality Act provided a funding mechanism for scrap tire management through the use of a \$1.00 per tire point of sale collection on the sale of each new, used or retreaded tire sold in Alabama. The act also prescribed how collected funds were to be allocated to support activities of fund disbursement, regulation, marketing, site remediation, and county delegation. Additionally, the Act abolished the Scrap Tire Study Commission and established the Scrap Tire Commission (STC) to oversee the implementation of the Act. In 2003, the STC met and began the process of drafting regulations with the ADEM in line with the Act. The draft regulations were submitted by the ADEM for the standard public review process and to the Environmental Management Commission for adoption. ADEM Administrative Code, Division 4, which contains the regulations and requirements for scrap tire management became effective on August 4, 2004.

The regulatory program instituted provided for the registration of Scrap Tire Receivers, which included separate classes for tire retailers, salvage, and fleet operations. The program also provided for permitting of scrap tire transporters, processors, and end-users. Other key provisions included storage and transportation guidelines, an approved form for manifesting the shipments of scrap tires, and procedures for remediation of scrap tire sites.

Financial Statement Summary

The Alabama Scrap Tire Fund, as authorized by the Alabama Scrap Tire Environmental Quality Act, provides the funding for administration of the Alabama Scrap Tire Program and the aspects enumerated in the Act including: regulation and enforcement, site remediation, and market development.

Alabama Scrap Tire Fund

FY03 through FY14			
Fee Revenues Interest Income Program Revenue	\$42,424,977 <u>\$1,652,312</u> \$44,193,978		
Program Expenditures	\$36,226,756		
Fund Balance as of October 2014	\$7,967,222 (100% encumbered)		

The Department anticipates future encumbrances to be at or above predicted revenues due to the continued efforts of the remediation program, the growth and development of the county assistance program, and possible processing infrastructure projects.

Accomplishments and Results

- An estimated 7,092,000 scrap tires from all sources are beneficially reused annually.
- Approximately 96.5 % of beneficially reused tires are utilized as fuel or substitute raw material and 3.5 % through engineered and other uses.
- Since the program inception, over 8,300,000 scrap tires have been removed from illegal stockpiles or unauthorized disposal sites.
- 3,641 Scrap Tire Receiver Registrations have been issued since the regulatory program began in late 2004.
- 416 Scrap Tire Permits have been issued for the transporting, sorting and processing of scrap tires.
- Over 8,000 inspections of registered and permitted facilities have been conducted.
- Over 1,060 inspections and assessments of unauthorized scrap tire accumulation sites have been completed.
- 1,640 enforcement actions have been initiated in cases of regulatory non-compliance resulting in over \$330,000 in penalties.

Registration and Permitting

In accordance with the provisions of the Alabama Scrap Tire Environmental Quality Act, ADEM is required to regulate facilities involved in the generation, transportation, processing, management and end-use or disposal of scrap tires.

- Facilities that generate more than 10 scrap tires per year are required to register as a Class One Receivers.
- Government, fleet management, and dismantling operations that generate more than 10 scrap tires per year must register as Class Two Receivers.
- Those who transport more than 8 scrap tires per shipment are required to obtain a Scrap Tire Transporter Permit.
- Processors who alter scrap tires by any physical or chemical means, or who incorporate scrap tires into an end-product must obtain a Scrap Tire Processor Permit.
- Facilities that have the necessary permits to utilize scrap tires as a fuel source, substitute raw material, or for engineered use must receive exemptions or obtain permits for those activities.

Registered scrap tire receivers are required to comply with regulatory provisions which include the following:

- Storage within approved limits;
- Implementation of vector control for outside storage;
- Maintenance of a scrap tire operating record;
- Use of approved manifest for shipment.

Permitted scrap tire transporters are required to comply with regulatory provisions to include the following:

- Maintenance of a scrap tire operating record;
- Use of approved manifest and transporter decals for shipment;
- Acceptance of scrap tires from registered/permitted facilities only;
- Maintenance of required financial assurance.

Requirements for scrap tire processors and those holding registrations as exempt processors, engineered use and other approved applications may include:

- Maintenance of any required financial assurance;
- Acceptance of scrap tires from registered/permitted facilities only;
- Compliance with storage requirements;
- Requirements for vector control, storage and fire prevention.

Registration and permitting of scrap tire facilities began in Fiscal year 2004. The ADEM Scrap Tire Unit staff utilize several means to identify those facilities required to be registered and/or permitted including a review of Department of Revenue scrap tire fee collection data, yellow page and internet searches, field investigations, and investigation of complaints from citizens.

Since inception, 3,641 registrations have been issued to Alabama and out-of-state receiver facilities. There have been 416 permits issued to scrap tire transporters and processors. The table on the following page provides a more detailed overview of these activities.

Current Registered and Permitted Facilities by County

Attaga 22 0 0 - 22 Batkown 110 1 1 112 112 Batkown 13 0 0 13 13 Bob 14 0 0 13 13 Buker 31 0 0 14 30 Buker 14 0 0 15 50 Cahoun 87 4 3 113 15 Cahoun 87 4 3 113 15 Cahon 0 0 15 15 15 Cahon 30 0 0 16 16 Colation 40 0 16 16 16 Colation 38 1 2 16 16 Cobern 38 1 2 16 16 Cobern 38 1 2 16 16 Cobern 38 1	-		ent Registered				
Batkwin 110 1 1 1 1 112 Bubour 13 0 0 13 113 Bibb 14 0 0 13 10 Bulotk 4 0 0 13 10 Bulotk 4 0 0 4 50 Chancin 1 0 0 13 13 Chancin 40 1 0 15 13 Chancin 40 1 0 14 16 Chancin 12 2 1 14 16 Chancin 13 0 0 13 16 Chancin 13 0 0 14 16 Contar 15 0 0 15 16 Contar 16 0 0 16 16 Contar 16 0 0 14 16 Contar 12<	County	Receivers	Transporters	Processors	Fuel Users	Engineered Use	Total Facilities
Barbouri 13 0 0 13 Bibb 14 0 0 0 14 Bourit 31 10 3 10 3 10 Bourit 31 10 3 10 3 10 50 Bourit 97 4 0 0 10 13 Calhour 97 4 3 10 13 13 Calhour 17 4 0 10 123 Checka 8 1 0 10 23 Checka 8 1 2 10 23 Checka 38 1 2 10 20 27 Conseu 6 0 0 0 16 16 Conseu 12 3 10 10 33 Culman 12 4 3 0 10 34 Culman 12 10							
Bbb 14 0 0 14 Block 31 10 3 60 44 Bulck 4 0 0 4 4 Bulck 4 1 1 1 15 Cahcurn 8 4 3 113 15 Chilon 40 1 0 11 13 Chilon 40 1 0 14 13 Chilon 40 1 0 14 16 Cake 20 3 0 17 16 Cahcurne 14 1 0 17 16 Cahcurne 14 1 0 17 16 Cahcurne 14 1 0 17 17 Cahcurne 14 1 0 16 16 Cahcurne 3 0 0 14 16 Cahcurne 3 0							
Blouck 31 10 3 50 Butlock 4 0 0 4 4 Butlock 14 1 1 1 15 Cahoun 87 4 3 113 15 Cahoun 87 4 3 23 23 Charbars 24 0 0 23 23 Charbar 90 3 0 24 44 Charbar 20 3 0 27 44 Charbar 36 2 1 40 42 Caheun 6 0 0 0 42 42 Conecun 6 0 0 0 33 3 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34							
Bullock 4.4 0.0 0.0 1 4.4 Buller 14. 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.13 Cahoun 87 4.4 3 1.13 1.13 Charbers 2.4 0.0 0.0 2.3 2.3 Cheroke 8 1.1 0.0 1.4 4.1 Colatar 1.0 0.0 1.6 1.6 1.6 Cahon 1.0 0.0 0.0 1.7 1.7 1.7 Celsy 1.2 2.1 1.1 0.0 1.6 0.40 Cober 38 1.1 2.2 1.6 0.40 0.42 Cober 38 1.1 2.0 1.6 0.0 0.0 3.3 Coreach 6 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.6 3.3 Corrigion 2.7 4.1 3.0 0.0 1.6 3.3 Colarian 3.6 1.0 0.0 1.6							
Builer 14 1 1 1 15 Calhoun 87 4 3 113 Charobers 24 0 0 23 Charobers 8 1 0 15 Chilon 40 1 1 113 Charber 20 3 0 141 141 Charber 20 3 0 27 16 Caffee 38 2 1 16 16 16 Consent 6 0 0 0 16 16 Consent 6 0 0 0 17 14 Consent 13 0 0 33 33 33 Correntaw 12 1 1 14 14 14 Culman 63 12 3 16 14 14 Culman 63 12 1 16 14 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>							
Calbourn 87 4 3 113 Charbers 24 0 0 23 Cheroke 8 1 0 15 23 Cheroke 8 1 0 15 15 Charbers 20 3 0 16 16 Charbers 20 3 0 16 27 Colkum 14 1 0 16 27 Colkum 14 1 0 16 40 Conscuh 6 0 0 16 6 Conscuh 6 12 3 14 14 Conscuh 6 12 3 14 14 Conscuh 6 12 3 16 16 16 Conscuh 12 1 1 16 17 16 Conscuh 12 1 1 16 17 17 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>							
Chambers 24 0 0 0 1 23 Chilton 40 1 0 15 15 Chilton 40 1 0 41 41 Chilton 40 1 0 16 16 Clarke 20 3 0 17 16 Clarke 20 3 0 17 17 Centratin 6 0 0 40 40 Concarb 6 0 0 15 0 33 Concarb 6 0 0 0 33 33 Concarb 6.3 12 3 14 33 33 Carbingtan 6.3 12 3 16 16 16 Carbingtan 6.3 12 3 16 16 16 Carbingtan 13 0 0 16 16 16 Carenshan 1							
Checke 8 1 0 1 41 Chion 40 - 1 41 Chocaw 15 0 0 16 Chocaw 12 2 1 17 Cely 12 2 1 17 Cely 38 2 1 40 Content 38 1 2 40 Content 38 1 2 40 Content 38 0 0 42 Content 3 3 3 3 Content 12 1 1 1 14 Culman 63 12 3 14 15 Defato 28 0 0 18 18 Defato 43 3 0 14 13 Defato 43 1 0 13 13 Defato 43 1 0 13							
Chilton 40 1 1 1 1 16 Chotaw 12 2 1 16 16 Clay 12 2 1 17 17 Cleburne 14 1 0 17 17 Cleburne 14 1 0 40 40 Colbert 38 1 2 40 42 Conscuh 6 0 0 3 3 Conscuh 8 0 0 3 3 3 Cornan 27 4 3 <							
Chockaw 15 0 0 16 27 Clay 12 2 1 17 17 Clay 12 2 1 17 17 Cole 36 2 1 17 15 Cole 36 2 1 40 42 Conech 6 0 0 42 42 Conech 6 0 0 33 33 33 Cerembar 12 1 3 14 14 14 Culman 63 12 3 171 14 14 Delas 12 3 171 26 26 26 Oulman 63 12 3 171 26 26 Delas 13 0 10 14 27 26 Correct 33 0 0 12 27 26 Correct 3 0			I I				
Clarke 20 3 0 1 77 Clay 12 2 1 1 17 Caburne 14 1 0 15 40 Cofber 38 1 2 40 42 Conecuh 6 0 0 6 6 Conecuh 6 0 0 3 3 Cornecuh 6 0 0 3 3 Cornecuh 27 4 3 3 3 Cornecuh 27 4 3 3 3 3 Cornecuh 27 4 3			0				
Clay 12 2 1 0 17 Cofleen 36 2 1 0 15 Concent 38 1 2 40 Concub 6 0 0 42 Concub 6 0 0 33 Covington 27 4 3 33 Calman 63 12 3 71 Dalas 19 0 0 26 Dalas 19 0 0 46 Elowah 47 4 0 37 Elowah 47 4 0 37 Elowah 47 0 13 37 Creenen 2 0 13 37 Elowah 47							
Claburne 14 1 0 1 16 Collect 38 1 2 1 40 Collect 38 1 2 42 Conecuh 6 0 0 3 Corosa 3 0 0 33 Corosan 3 0 0 33 Crenshaw 12 1 1 14 Callman 63 12 3 71 Dales 19 0 0 18 18 Dekalb 43 3 0 37 26 Enore 36 1 0 37 26 Escambla 31 0 0 13 27 Fayetta 13 0 0 22 25 Greene 1 0 10 31 34 Lawarce 16 1 0 14 32 Lawarce <							
Coffee 36 2 1 2 40 Conceuch 6 0 0 42 Concouch 6 0 0 33 Correndraw 12 1 1 33 Correndraw 12 1 1 14 Culiman 63 12 3 71 Dale 28 0 0 26 Dalas 19 0 0 26 Dalas 13 0 1 32 Escambia 31 0 1 32 Etwah 47 4 0 71 Scambia 31 0 0 13 Fayatte 13 0 0 13 Geneva 16 1 0 25 Geneva 2 0 0 10 Hale 8 2 0 10 Hauston 7 1 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>							
Coblert 38 1 2 1 42 Conecuh 6 0 0 3 3 Coosa 3 0 0 3 3 Coronson 27 4 3 33 3 Crenshaw 12 1 1 1 14 Callman 63 12 3 71 14 Dales 26 0 0 16 18 DeKalb 43 3 0 16 18 DeKalb 43 3 0 37 18 Elmore 36 1 0 37 18 Escambia 31 0 0 13 13 Greens 13 0 0 12 14 Faakin 24 2 0 10 14 Greene 2 0 0 12 14 Hale 8 2							
Conce.h 6 0 0 6 Coxington 27 4 3 33 Covington 27 4 3 33 Covington 27 4 3 33 Cremshaw 12 1 1 14 Cullman 63 12 3 71 Dale 266 0 0 26 Dalas 19 0 0 18 Dekab 43 3 0 46 Emore 36 1 0 137 Escambia 31 0 0 132 Fayette 13 0 0 133 Fayette 13 0 0 17 Geneva 16 1 0 17 Greene 2 0 0 10 Hais 8 0 0 131 Jackson 28 3 1							
Coosa 3 0 0 0 33 Corenshaw 12 1 1 1 14 Crenshaw 12 1 1 14 Crenshaw 12 1 1 14 Deka 26 0 0 26 Dalas 19 0.0 0 26 Dekah 43 3 0 46 Enore 36 1 0 37 Escambia 31 0 1 32 Etowah 47 4 0 56 Fayette 13 0 0 13 Creene 16 1 0 22 Creene 2 0 6 2 Hale 8 2 0 10 1 Larar 1 1 1 22 1 Larar 8 0 0 1 42							
Covington 27 4 3 1 1 33 Cerenshaw 12 1 1 1 14 Cullman 63 12 3 71 14 Cullman 63 12 3 71 26 Dallas 19 0 0 18 26 Dallas 19 0 0 46 32 Escanbia 31 0 1 32 32 Escanbia 13 0 0 13 32 Fanklin 24 2 0 25 32 Geneva 16 1 0 10 42 Hery 7 1 0 8 44 31 Jackson 75 0 0 1 42 2 Lawer and 8 0 0 1 42 2 Lawer and 10 1 21 7 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>							
Crenshaw 12 1							
Cullman 63 12 3 Image: Constraint of the second sec							
Dales 26 0 0 0 18 Dekab 13 0 0 18 Dekab 43 3 0 46 Emore 36 1 0 37 Escanbia 31 0 1 32 Etowah 47 4 0 13 Geneva 16 1 0 25 Geneva 1 0 17 1 Greene 2 0 0 10 Haie 8 2 0 10 31 Jackson 28 3 1 1 422 Lawarence 19 0 0 1 422 Lawarence 19 0 0 1 31							
Dallas 19 0 0 18 DeKab 43 3 0 46 Elmore 36 1 0 37 Escambia 31 0 1 32 Escambia 31 0 1 32 Escambia 47 4 0 32 Escambia 13 0 0 32 Fayette 13 0 0 13 Frankin 24 2 0 25 Geneva 16 1 0 22 Hale 8 2 0 10 10 Henry 7 1 0 75 31 31 Jackson 28 3 1 1 1 31 Jackson 24 3 1 0 8 422 Lawardale 43 1 0 1 21 1 Lawaroc <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>							
Dekab 43 3 0 46 Emore 36 1 0 37 Escambia 31 0 1 37 Etowah 47 4 0 32 Etowah 47 4 0 32 Etowah 24 2 0 13 Greene 16 1 0 17 Greene 2 0 0 10 Hale 8 2 0 10 Henry 7 1 0 75 Jackson 28 3 1 1 422 Lamari 8 0 0 44 Laweroke 19 0 0 44 Laweroke 19 0 0 44 Laweroke 3 0 0 26 Loweds 3 0 0 11 14 Aseon 10							
Elmore 36 1 0 1 37 Escambia 31 0 1 32 Etoxah 47 4 0 32 Etoxah 47 4 0 32 Etoxah 13 0 0 13 Franklin 24 2 0 25 Geneva 16 1 0 25 Greene 2 0 0 22 Hale 8 2 0 10 Havy 7 1 0 8 Houston 75 0 0 1 31 Jackson 28 3 1 1 31 Jackson 401 21 7 1 422 Lamar 8 0 0 1 81 Lawrence 19 0 0 1 153 Marence 31 0 0 33							
Escambla 31 0 1 22 Etowah 47 4 0 56 Fayette 13 0 0 13 Franklin 24 2 0 125 Greene 2 0 0 177 Greene 2 0 0 10 Henry 7 1 0 8 Houston 75 0 0 75 Jackson 28 3 1 1 31 Jefferson 401 21 7 1 422 Lamar 8 0 0 1 82 Lauderdale 43 1 0 21 22 Lawerce 19 0 0 1 21 Lawerce 31 0 0 26 26 Lowndes 3 0 0 111 14 Marengo 11 0							
Etowah 47 4 0							
Fayetin 13 0 0 0 13 Franklin 24 2 0 25 3 Geneva 16 1 0 17 3 Greene 2 0 0 17 3 Greene 2 0 0 10 10 Hale 8 2 0 75 3 11 10 31 Jackson 28 3 1 1 422 31 31 Jackson 28 3 1 1 422 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 33 31 33 31 31 31 33 33 31 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 34 34 34 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>							
Franklin 24 2 0 1 25 Geneva 16 1 0 17 Greene 2 0 0 17 Greene 2 0 0 0 2 2 Hale 8 2 0 0 10 8 Houston 75 0 0 75 3 1 1 31 Jackson 28 3 1 1 31 34 31 31 34 Jackson 28 3 1 0 44 22 33 31 422 34 44 24 22 44 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 26 26 26 3 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36<							
Geneva 16 1 0 17 Greene 2 0 0 2 Hale 8 2 0 10 Henry 7 1 0 8 Houston 75 0 0 75 Jackson 28 3 1 1 31 Jackson 28 3 1 1 31 Jackson 28 3 1 1 422 Lamar 8 0 0 1 422 Lamar 8 0 0 1 42 Lawrence 19 0 0 1 26 Lowndes 3 0 0 11 10 11 Macioon 10 1 0 11 11 14 Macion 142 10 2 21 80 Marion 23 1 0 14 14 <							
Greene 2 0 0 2 Hale 8 2 0 100 Henry 7 1 0 8 Houston 75 0 0 75 Jackson 28 3 1 1 31 Jackerdale 43 1 0 1 422 Lawrence 19 0 0 1 21 Lee 56 2 1 1 33 Macon 10 1 0 1 153 Marengo 11 1 0 1 14 Marino 23 1 0 1 14							
Hale 8 2 0 10 Henry 7 1 0 8 Houston 75 0 0 75 Jackson 28 3 1 1 31 Jackson 28 3 1 1 422 Lamar 8 0 0 1 422 Lamar 8 0 0 1 422 Lamar 8 0 0 1 422 Lamerce 19 0 0 1 21 Lee 56 2 1 59 21 Limestone 31 0 0 3 3 Macon 10 1 0 11 14 Macon 142 10 2 153 3 Marion 23 1 0 14 3 Morigonery 134 7 2 218 3 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>							
Henry7108Houston750075Jackson2831131Jafferson4012171422Lamar8008Lauderdale4310444Lawrence1900121Lee562159Limestone310026Lowndes30033Macon10101Marengo1114153Marengo11101Marison23101Marshall78712Montgomey13472218Montgomey13472218Montgomey1347236Pickens8008Pike262188Pike262188Morigomey13472218Montgomey13472218Montgomey1347228Randolph250028Randolph250028Randolph250028Randolph250055Talladega631190Sunter580036 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>							
Houston 75 00075Jackson2831131Jefferson4012171422Lamar8008Lauderdale431044Lawrence1900121Lee56212159Limestone3100263Lowndes300111Macion1010114Madison142102153Marengo1110114Marion231026218Monice1430114Morioe143026Morioe143026Morioe143026Morioe143026Morioe143026Morioe143056Perty50056Perty50028Randolph250028Radolph250028St. Clair59301St. Clair59301St. Clair580036Tusclosa96411Valker5800<							
Jackson 28 3 1 1 1 31 Jefferson 401 21 7 1 422 Lamar 8 0 0 8 8 Lauderdale 43 1 0 444 Lawrence 19 0 0 1 21 Lee 56 2 1 59 21 Limestone 31 0 0 3 3 3 Macon 10 1 0 1 31 3 3 Macison 142 10 2 111 1 3 3 Marino 23 1 0 1 14 3 Marino 23 1 0 1 80 3 Monice 14 3 0 14 3 3 3 Monice 14 3 0 14 3 3 3 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>							
Jefferson 401 21 7 1 422 Lamar 8 0 0 8 Lauderdale 43 1 0 44 Lawrence 19 0 0 1 21 Lee 56 2 1 59 21 Limestone 31 0 0 26 26 Lowndes 3 0 0 3 3 Macon 10 1 0 11 11 Marion 23 1 0 1 144 Marion 23 1 0 1 14 Marion 23 1 0 26 218 Montio 14 3 0 18 144 Morgan 57 1 0 14 3 Mortgomery 134 7 2 28 28 Randolph 25 0 0					1		
Lamar 8 0 0 8 Lauderdale 43 1 0 44 Lawrence 19 0 0 1 21 Lee 56 2 1 59 59 Limestone 31 0 0 26 3 Lowndes 3 0 0 11 3 Macon 10 1 0 111 13 Marengo 11 1 0 1 144 Marion 23 1 0 1 14 Marion 23 1 0 1 14 Marion 23 1 0 26 218 Montgemery 134 7 2 218 36 Montgemery 134 7 2 218 36 Perry 5 0 0 56 38 Pickens 8 0 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>							
Lauderdale 43 1 0 1 44 Lawence 19 0 0 1 21 Lee 56 2 1 59 59 Limestone 31 0 0 26 26 Lowndes 3 0 0 3 3 Macon 10 1 0 11 14 Madison 142 10 2 153 3 Marengo 11 1 0 1 14 Marion 23 1 0 26 218 Marion 23 1 0 18 80 Morion 23 1 0 18 80 Morion 14 3 0 18 80 Morigan 57 1 0 14 36 Perry 5 0 0 16 56 Prikes 26 2<							
Lawrence 19 0 0 1 21 Lee 56 2 1 59 59 Limestone 31 0 0 26 26 Lowndes 3 0 0 11 31 Macon 10 1 0 11 11 Madison 142 10 2 153 14 Marengo 11 1 0 1 14 14 Marinan 23 1 0 1 14 3 26 Marshall 78 7 1 80 80 30 18 30 144 30 0 144 30 144 31 144 30 144 30 144 30 144 30 144 30 144 30 144 30 144 30 144 30 144 30 144 30 30 31 114							
Lee 56 2 1 1 59 Limestone 31 0 0 26 26 Lowndes 3 0 0 3 3 Macon 10 1 0 11 3 Marengo 111 1 0 11 143 Marion 23 1 0 1 144 Marion 23 1 0 1 14 Marion 23 1 0 26 218 Morion 23 1 0 18 80 Morioe 14 3 0 18 80 Montgomery 134 7 2 144 3 Morgan 57 1 0 55 5 Pickens 8 0 0 5 5 Pickens 8 0 0 28 26 Russell 27 1 <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>21</td>			0	0	1		21
Limestone 31 0 0 1 26 Lowndes 3 0 0 3 3 Macon 10 1 0 11 3 Madison 142 10 2 11 14 Marion 23 1 0 1 14 Marion 23 1 0 1 26 Marshall 78 7 1 26 80 Molie 212 9 7 2 218 Monroe 144 3 0 144 80 Monroe 144 3 0 144 144 Morgan 57 1 0 144 144 Morgan 57 1 0 56 144 Piry 5 0 0 1 28 Randolph 25 0 0 28 26 Russel 27 <t< td=""><td></td><td>56</td><td>2</td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td>59</td></t<>		56	2	1			59
Lowndes 3 0 0 1 3 Macon 10 1 0 11 11 Madison 142 10 2 153 153 Marengo 111 1 0 1 144 Marion 23 1 0 1 144 Marion 23 1 0 1 144 Marion 23 1 0 1 14 Morion 23 7 1 80 26 Marshall 78 7 1 80 80 Mobile 212 9 7 2 218 80 Monroe 14 3 0 18 18 144 Morgan 57 1 0 56 5 5 Perry 5 0 0 28 8 8 7 28 8 Pickens 8 0	Limestone			0			
Macon 10 1 0 11 Madison 142 10 2 153 Marengo 11 1 0 1 153 Marengo 11 1 0 1 14 Marino 23 1 0 26 26 Marshall 78 7 1 80 80 Molie 212 9 7 2 218 Monroe 14 3 0 18 80 Mongamery 134 7 2 1444 Morgan 57 1 0 56 Perry 5 0 0 5 5 Pickens 8 0 0 28 8 8 Randolph 25 0 0 28 28 28 St. Clair 59 3 0 1 90 5 Sumter 5 0 <t< td=""><td></td><td>3</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		3	0	0			
Marengo 11 1 0 1 14 Marion 23 1 0 1 26 Marshall 78 7 1 80 80 Mobile 212 9 7 2 218 Monroe 14 3 0 144 144 Morgan 57 1 0 144 18 Morgan 57 1 0 144 144 Morgan 57 1 0 56 144 Perry 5 0 0 56 5 Pickens 8 0 0 28 8 Pike 26 2 1 28 28 Randolph 25 0 0 1 26 Russell 27 1 0 28 28 St. Clair 59 3 0 1 90 5 Subly 9				0			
Marengo 11 1 0 1 14 Marion 23 1 0 1 26 Marshall 78 7 1 80 80 Mobile 212 9 7 2 218 Monroe 14 3 0 144 144 Morgan 57 1 0 144 18 Morgan 57 1 0 144 144 Morgan 57 1 0 56 144 Perry 5 0 0 56 5 Pickens 8 0 0 28 8 Pike 26 2 1 28 28 Randolph 25 0 0 1 26 Russell 27 1 0 28 28 St. Clair 59 3 0 1 90 5 Subly 9	Madison	142	10	2			153
Marion 23 1 0 26 Marshall 78 7 1 80 Mobile 212 9 7 2 218 Monroe 14 3 0 18 Montgomery 134 7 2 144 Morgan 57 1 0 56 Perry 5 0 0 56 Pickens 8 0 0 8 Pike 26 2 1 8 Pike 26 2 1 8 Randolph 25 0 0 28 Randolph 25 0 0 28 St. Clair 59 3 0 1 76 Shelby 90 5 1 1 90 Sumter 5 0 0 5 5 Talladega 63 1 1 97 Wa				0	1		
Marshall 78 7 1 80 Mobile 212 9 7 2 218 Monroe 14 3 0 18 18 Montgomery 134 7 2 144 18 Morgan 57 1 0 56 144 Morgan 57 1 0 56 5 Perry 5 0 0 5 5 5 Pickens 8 0 0 8 8 28 28 Randolph 25 0 0 28 26 28 26 28 26 28 26 28 26 28 26 28 26 28 26 28 26 28 26 28 26 28 26 28 26 28 26 28 26 28 26 28 26 28 26 26 28 26							
Monroe 14 3 0 18 Montgomery 134 7 2 144 Morgan 57 1 0 56 Perry 5 0 0 55 Pickens 8 0 0 8 Pike 26 2 1 28 Randolph 25 0 0 28 Russell 27 1 0 28 St. Clair 59 3 0 1 28 St. Clair 59 3 0 1 26 Sumer 5 0 0 28 28 St. Clair 59 3 0 1 76 Shelby 90 5 1 1 90 Sumer 5 0 0 36 36 Talladega 63 1 1 97 36 Walker 58 0 <		78					
Montgomery 134 7 2 144 Morgan 57 1 0 56 Perry 5 0 0 57 Pickens 8 0 0 8 Pike 26 2 1 28 Randolph 25 0 0 28 St. Clair 59 3 0 1 28 St. Clair 59 3 0 1 76 Shelby 90 5 1 1 90 Sumter 5 0 0 5 5 Talladega 63 1 1 90 5 Tallaposa 35 2 0 36 36 Tuscaloosa 96 4 1 1 97 Walker 58 0 0 44 44 Washington 7 1 0 8 Wilcox 11	Mobile	212	9	7	2		
Morgan 57 1 0 56 Perry 5 0 0 5 Pickens 8 0 0 8 Pike 26 2 1 28 Randolph 25 0 0 28 Russell 27 1 0 28 St. Clair 59 3 0 1 28 Shelby 90 5 1 1 90 Sumter 5 0 0 1 90 Sumter 5 0 0 1 90 Sumter 5 0 0 5 5 Talladega 63 1 1 90 36 Tuscaloosa 96 4 1 1 97 Walker 58 0 0 44 44 Washington 7 1 0 8 11 Winston 23 </td <td>Monroe</td> <td>14</td> <td>3</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>18</td>	Monroe	14	3	0			18
Morgan 57 1 0 56 Perry 5 0 0 5 Pickens 8 0 0 8 Pike 26 2 1 28 Randolph 25 0 0 28 Russell 27 1 0 28 St. Clair 59 3 0 1 28 Shelby 90 5 1 1 90 Sumter 5 0 0 1 90 Sumter 5 0 0 1 90 Sumter 5 0 0 5 5 Talladega 63 1 1 90 36 Tuscaloosa 96 4 1 1 97 Walker 58 0 0 44 44 Washington 7 1 0 8 11 Winston 23 </td <td>Montgomery</td> <td>134</td> <td>7</td> <td>2</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Montgomery	134	7	2			
Perry 5 0 0 0 5 Pickens 8 0 0 8 Pike 26 2 1 28 Randolph 25 0 0 28 Russell 27 1 0 28 St. Clair 59 3 0 1 28 St. Clair 59 3 0 1 28 St. Clair 59 3 0 1 28 Sumter 5 0 0 1 90 Sumter 5 0 0 1 90 Sumter 5 0 0 5 1 1 90 Sumter 5 0 0 1 90 5 1 1 90 Sumter 5 0 0 0 1 36 36 Tallaposa 35 2 0 1 97			1	0			56
Pickens 8 0 0 1 8 Pike 26 2 1 28 Randolph 25 0 0 28 Russell 27 1 0 28 St. Clair 59 3 0 1 28 St. Clair 59 3 0 1 28 St. Clair 59 3 0 1 76 Shelby 90 5 1 1 90 Sumter 5 0 0 5 5 Talladega 63 1 1 1 63 Tallaposa 35 2 0 36 36 Tuscaloosa 96 4 1 1 97 Washington 7 1 0 44 44 Wilcox 11 0 0 11 36 Winston 23 3 0 25		5	0	0			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Pickens		0	0			8
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Pike		2	1			
Russell 27 1 0 28 St. Clair 59 3 0 1 76 Shelby 90 5 1 1 90 Sumter 5 0 0 55 56 Talladega 63 1 1 63 63 Tallaposa 35 2 0 36 36 Tuscaloosa 96 4 1 1 97 Walker 58 0 0 44 44 Washington 7 1 0 8 11 Wilcox 11 0 0 11 25			0	0			
Shelby 90 5 1 1 90 Sumter 5 0 0 5 5 Talladega 63 1 1 63 63 Tallaposa 35 2 0 36 36 Tuscaloosa 96 4 1 1 97 Walker 58 0 0 44 44 Washington 7 1 0 8 11 Wilcox 11 0 0 11 25							
Sumter 5 0 0 5 Talladega 63 1 1 63 Tallaposa 35 2 0 36 Tuscaloosa 96 4 1 1 97 Walker 58 0 0 44 44 Washington 7 1 0 8 Wilcox 11 0 0 11 Winston 23 3 0 25				0	1		
Sumter 5 0 0 5 Talladega 63 1 1 63 Tallaposa 35 2 0 36 Tuscaloosa 96 4 1 1 97 Walker 58 0 0 44 44 Washington 7 1 0 8 Wilcox 11 0 0 11 Winston 23 3 0 25					1		
Tallapoosa 35 2 0 36 Tuscaloosa 96 4 1 1 97 Walker 58 0 0 44 44 Washington 7 1 0 88 Wilcox 11 0 0 11 Winston 23 3 0 25							
Tuscaloosa 96 4 1 1 97 Walker 58 0 0 44 44 Washington 7 1 0 88 Wilcox 11 0 0 11 Winston 23 3 0 25							
Tuscaloosa 96 4 1 1 97 Walker 58 0 0 44 44 Washington 7 1 0 88 Wilcox 11 0 0 11 Winston 23 3 0 25	Tallapoosa						
Walker 58 0 0 44 Washington 7 1 0 8 Wilcox 11 0 0 11 Winston 23 3 0 25		96				1	97
Wilcox 11 0 0 11 Winston 23 3 0 25		58					
Winston 23 3 0 25							
Totals 2,758 146 46 8 1 3.069							
	Totals	2,758	146	46	8	1	3,069

Compliance

Facility Inspection and Site Investigation

Scrap tire program staff perform inspections of registered and permitted facilities to ensure compliance with regulatory provisions and proper management of scrap tires. Staff are assigned geographic areas and prioritize inspections to determine compliance with rules and regulations. The goal is to visit every registered and permitted facility at least once every two years. Inspections are prioritized by complaints, non-submittal of quarterly reports, and length of time since the previous inspection. In addition, facilities with past issues of non-compliance are inspected more frequently to ensure a return to compliance has been achieved and maintained.

Inspections include visual observations of the facility and its operations as well as review of the facility operating record to ensure proper scrap tire management and compliance with regulations. Compliance problems noted during the inspection are not only identified to the facility on-site, but are detailed in an inspection report provided to the facility after each inspection. Over 8,100 facility inspections have been performed to date.

Remediation

The Enforcement and Remediation Section investigates complaints regarding unauthorized scrap tire accumulations. Field inspections of these accumulations are performed including observations of site conditions, noting numbers and condition of scrap tire materials present, photographic documentation, GPS location, and determination of property ownership. To date, program staff have investigated over 1,000 complaints.

As a result of facility inspections and investigations of both small and large unauthorized scrap tire sites, 1,640 enforcement actions have been taken resulting in \$331,000 in penalties.

Scrap Tire Site Remediation

One of the major goals of the Scrap Tire Program is to eliminate existing unauthorized scrap tire accumulation sites in Alabama through either the use of enforcement actions or remediation projects funded by the Scrap Tire Fund. These unauthorized scrap tire accumulation sites are discovered primarily through complaints lodged by private citizens and public officials to the Department. Department personnel investigate these sites by gathering ranking data, obtaining GPS coordinates, quantifying the scrap tires and scrap tire materials at the site, and determining the land owner or the potential responsible party. Once the land owner/potential responsible party is identified, action is taken by the Department in an attempt to compel those responsible to remediate the scrap tire accumulation at their own expense. At the completion of the remediation project, Department personnel return to the site to ensure that remediation activities have been completed to the Department's satisfaction and that all associated documentation regarding proper waste disposal is on file. Since the programs implementation, approximately 8 million scrap tires have been remediated from 133 sites.

Scrap Tire Fund

If a responsible party cannot be identified or if the responsible party refuses to remediate an unauthorized scrap tire accumulation, the site may be eligible for remediation under the Scrap Tire Fund. The Scrap Tire Fund enables the Department to identify, assess, and remediate known unauthorized scrap tire accumulations, both large (>25,000 scrap tire equivalents) and small (<25,000 scrap tire equivalents). Large scrap tire accumulation sites are prioritized using a ranking system with information obtained during the initial investigation. Ranking data used to prioritize these sites include the following:

- quantities of tire materials present;
- presence or threat of disease carrying vectors such as mosquito species, proximity to schools or other sensitive resident populations;
- location of utility and transportation resources;
- threat of fire or other hazard; and
- proximity to sensitive environments.

Small tire accumulation sites may also be prioritized for remediation in the same manner.

Large Scrap Tire Site Remediation Projects

The remediation of the large scrap tire sites typically take several months or years to complete. Therefore, it is necessary to prioritize the large sites to ensure that those sites with the greatest potential impacts to health and environmental safety are addressed first. The priority ranking system and approved contracting process are outlined in ADEM Admin. Code r. 335-4-2-.02 and ADEM Admin. Code r. 335-4-2-.04, respectively.

Once a large scrap tire site is deemed eligible for remediation utilizing the Scrap Tire Fund, a site-specific Request for Proposals (RFP) is published soliciting remediation proposals from Alabama Approved Scrap Tire Fund Remediation Contractors. Once a remediation proposal is selected, Department personnel conduct oversight inspections of the remediation project to ensure adherence to contract requirements. The Department has not identified any new large scrap tire sites in recent years primarily due to the compliance presence at scrap tire facilities, the growth of the small site cleanup program, and partnerships with local governments to reduce or eliminate scrap tire accumulations.

Large Scrap Tire Site Remediation Projects

LOCATION	STATUS	PTE*	REUSE PERCENTAGE	COST
Attalla, Etowah County	Complete	4,173,000	~ 50%	\$3,703,863
Prichard, Mobile County	Complete	1,353,610	~ 60%	\$ 798,040
Samson, Geneva County	Complete	1,570,515	~ 50%	\$1,177,990
Robertsdale, Baldwin County	Complete	68,127	Not Required	\$ 85,949
Holt, Tuscaloosa County	Complete	18,687	Not Required	\$ 306,911
Columbiana, Shelby County	Complete	350,233	0 %	\$1,115,322
Abbeville, Henry County	Complete	158,854	~ 90%	\$ 291,016

* Does not include any associated solid waste removal

Total PTE Removed 7,693,026

Total Expenditures \$7,479,091

Small Scrap Tire Site Remediation Projects

Small scrap tire site remediation projects are prioritized and remediated concurrently with the large scrap tire site remediation projects. From FY2007 through FY2013, 301,925 PTE have been disposed or beneficially reused from more than 126 small site remediation projects at a cost of \$1,947,052.

COUNTY	SITE NAME	CONTRACTOR	TIRES REMOVED	CONTRACT AMOUNT
Etowah	Tucker Mountain Rd Site	Matthews Clearing	729	\$48,500.00
Elmore	Dozier Road Site	Teague Hauling & Demo	838	\$39,000.00
Pike	CR 121 Site	Hopper Moore, Inc.	1,800	\$11,262.00
Walker	Cut and Curl Road Site	A.P. Nicholas	92	\$3,500.00
Elmore	AL Highway 9 Site	A.P. Nicholas	1,766	\$17,000.00
Montgomery	Fairview Avenue Site	A.P. Nicholas	305	\$7,600.00
Cullman	Highway 278 East Site	A.P. Nicholas	878	\$3,700.00
Bullock	Daniel Road/CR 31 Site	Jay's Landscaping	4,400	\$16,000.00
Cullman	Wilhite Road Site	Teague Hauling	400	\$2398.00
Montgomery	Hardwick Street Site	TCB Extreme	3,000	\$6329.29
Pike	County Road 5511 Site	Pike County Commission	4,095	\$44,966.90
Elmore	Sevarge Lane Site	Elmore County Commission	3,533	\$13,485.00
Jefferson	7 th Place SE Site	Matthews Clearing	5,262	\$16,008.00
Baldwin	Bay Road West Site	Baldwin County Commission	693	\$22,136.52
Lamar	York Road & Pitts Road	Lamar County Commission	2,233	\$14,116.03
Clarke	Herron Hill Cemetery Road	Apex Construction	7,320	\$34765.00
Clarke	Old Line Road	Jay's Landscaping	1,064	\$35,600.00
Wilcox	County Road 11	Wilcox County Commission	300 tons mixed waste	\$74,642.27
Escambia	Beasley Road	Escambia Co Commission	1,727	\$50,239.24
Chilton	County Roads 113 & 115	Fikes Partners	164	\$3,650.00
Lamar	Dinky Line & Oak Roads	Lamar County Commission	246	\$40,804.91
Lamar	Hollis Cemetery/ Pleasant Hollow Roads	Lamar County Commission	150	\$18,406.44
Lamar	Cantrell Drive	Lamar County Commission	470	\$22,334.18
Bibb	Big Springs Road	Matthews Clearing	5,870	\$14,905.00
Jefferson	Carson Road North	Matthews Clearing	651	\$3,833.50
Walker	Long Avenue	Matthews Clearing	2,000	\$4,823.50
Colbert	Wagnon Mt. Road	Matthews Clearing	513	\$4,243.50
FY2013 TO1	TALS			\$574,249.28

FY2013 Small Site Remediation Projects

				CONTRACT	
COUNTY	SITE NAME	CONTRACTOR	TIRES REMOVED	AMOUNT	
Chilton	Colwell Property STS	Raymond Butler Const.	500	\$4,900	
Colbert	Moore Combo STS	Fikes Partners	292	\$3,745	
Blount	Jacques STS	Matthews Clearing	100	\$500	
Greene	Boligee Park Bridge	Greene County	66	\$16,766	
Walker	McGehee Property	Commission Fikes Partners	1,459	\$4,700	
Shelby	Joinertown Road	Fikes Partners	338	\$2,900	
Marshall	Smalley Property	Matthews Clearing	218	\$12,510	
Blount	Robertson Property	Matthews Clearing	10,099	\$17,500	
Calhoun	Holley Property	Matthews Clearing	120	\$3,000	
Marion	GMO Threshold Property	Gamble Construction	160	\$11,300	
DeKalb	Scenic Drive	Gamble Construction	In Progress	\$13,600	
Marshall	Pleasant Hill/Pleasant Grove	Matthews Clearing	In Progress	\$6,077	
Conecuh	Lily Pond Road	Matthews Clearing	In Progress	\$4,988	
Talladega	Old Sylacauga Highway	Peoples Services	In Progress	\$2,388	
Montgomery	E South Blvd/Smiley Ferry Rd	Raymond Butler Const.	In Progress	\$3,500	
Hale	Raspberry Road	Hale County Commission	In Progress	\$47,465	
FY2014 TOTALS \$1					

FY2014 Small Site Remediation Projects

Right of Way Cleanup Program

The Department has continued a reimbursement program to assist county governments in offsetting costs associated with the removal and proper disposal of discarded tires located on county rights-of-way. Since beginning this program, 50 of the 67 Alabama counties have entered into agreements with the Department.

Participating counties utilize county engineering departments, county sheriff's office, and solid waste offices to identify and clean-up discarded tires. Using the Scrap Tire Fund, the Department reimburses county personnel costs, equipment rates, and disposal costs. To date, counties have removed and disposed of approximately 300,000 passenger tire equivalents and associated solid waste at a cost of approximately \$1.9 million.

Scrap Tire Markets and Market Development

The ADEM Scrap Tire Marketing Program was established in 2009 with the express purpose of demonstrating scrap tire derived products and applications, and their suitability for substitution of new raw materials. The concept is not new, as scrap tires have been used in such applications for decades. Widespread use however, has been limited by factors including developers, consumers, construction firms and others being unaware of the myriad of applications available. The program aims to increase utilization in Alabama and beyond.

To date, the program has provided over \$7.8 million for the implementation of projects in Alabama. These projects have not only provided stated environmental and economic benefits in their application, but have improved communities and public facilities across Alabama.

Scrap Tire Marketing Projects

ADEM Wellness Track	\$	62,000.00
Buck's Pocket State Park	\$	5,100.00
Joe Wheeler State Park	\$	2,217.00
Lake Guntersville State Park	\$	50,783.00
DeSoto State Park	\$	15,090.00
Roland Cooper State Park	\$	9,575.00
City of Montgomery Riverfront	\$ 2	240,000.00
Tire-Derived Aggregate Reimbursement Program 2011-2012	\$ 1	07,500.00
T.R. Simmons Elementary Playground	\$	37,972.00
NCAT Test Track Section (RMA)	\$ 5	540,000.00
Coffee County Scrap Tire Processing Facility	\$5,8	342,177.00
Mt. Laurel Elementary	\$	23,297.00
City of Prattville Splash Pad	\$	42,000.00
Field of Dreams – Prattville YMCA	\$ 2	250,000.00
Playground Mulch – Selma YMCA	\$	15,750.00
Playground Pad – Coosada Elementary	\$	32,727.00
Walking Trail – Mt. Zion	\$ 1	50,000.00
Walking Trail – Scottsboro	\$ 1	50,000.00
ADA Compliant Park – Creola	\$	51,000.00
Total Amount :	\$7,8	865,490.00

Future Program Activity

The program has achieved success in development and implementation of standard operating procedures, regulatory mechanisms, and tools for the management of scrap tire generation, transportation, processing, and disposal. Procedures and requirements for the remediation of scrap tire sites are well established. The program continues to expand and evolve as necessary to further its mission of properly managing scrap tires in Alabama. Continued emphasis will be placed not only on the elimination of threats posed by scrap tire accumulations and illegal disposal, but on increasing beneficial reuse of scrap tires as a resource to be utilized instead of a waste to be managed. The following strategies and activities will be developed and enhanced to strengthen the program and its effectiveness.

- Operating procedures will be continually reviewed to determine opportunities to increase program efficiency and effectiveness.
- Educational efforts targeted to department staff, the regulated community, and others on regulatory requirements, as well as emerging technologies in scrap tire management.
- The program will continue to identify unregistered and unpermitted facilities, and assess and prioritize sites for cleanup.
- Enhance the outreach to and coordination among trade associations, government agencies, and public groups in order to maximize program exposure, encourage increased reuse opportunities, and reduce instances of non-compliance.
- Continue contracting and oversight of scrap tire remediation projects.
- Review data acquired through facility reporting and field activity to prioritize activities such as inspections and site investigations.
- Continue to evaluate ways to enhance or increase scrap tire processing infrastructure.