Minutes

Environmental Management Commission Meeting
Alabama Department of Environmental Management Building
1400 Coliseum Boulevard
Montgomery, Alabama 36110-2400
August 15, 2014

This is to certify that the Minutes contained herein are a true and accurate account of actions taken by the Alabama Environmental Management Commission on August 15, 2014.

H. Lanier Brown, II

Chair

Alabama Environmental Management Commission

Certified this 17th day of October 2014.

Minutes

Environmental Management Commission Meeting Alabama Department of Environmental Management Building 1400 Coliseum Boulevard Montgomery, Alabama 36110-2400 August 15, 2014

Convened: 11:05 a.m. Adjourned: 11:36 a.m.

Part A

Transcript
Word Index

Part B

Attachment 1



CUI	minission Meeting			August 15, 201
		Page 1		Page 3
1	ALABAMA ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT		1	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Good
2	COMMISSION MERTING		2	morning. We will call the meeting of the
3				Alabama Environmental Management Commission
4				to order. Chair acknowledges the presence
5				of a quorum.
6			6	First item on the agenda is the
7			7	consideration of the minutes of the meeting
8				held June 20th, 2014. The minutes have
9	ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL			been distributed, and the Chair the
10	MANAGEMENT			Commission or the Chair will entertain a
11	Alabama Room			motion.
12	1400 Coliseum Boulevard	ŀ	12	DR. RICHARDSON: Move we
13	Montgomery, Alabama 36110-2400		13	adopt the minutes of the June 20th, 2014
14	August 15, 2014		14	
15	11:05 a.m.		15	DR. CARSON: Second.
16		ļ	16	CHAIRMAN BROWN: All in
17				favor?
18			18	(All Commission members
19			19	signify "aye.")
20			20	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Any
21		1		opposed?
22			22	(No response)
23	Taken by: Victoria M. Castillo, CCR#17		23	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Next on the
		Page 2		Page 4
1	APPEARANCES		1	agenda is the report from the Director
2			2	agenda is the report from the Director.
3	COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:			MR. LeFLEUR: Good morning, Mr. Chairman. Good morning and welcome to
4	H. Lanier Brown, II, Esquire, Chair			all of you present for this last meeting of
5	W. Scott Phillips, Vice Chair			the Alabama Environmental Management
6	James E. Laier, Ph.D, P.E.			Commission for fiscal year 2014.
7	Terry D. Richardson, Ph.D.		7	In today's report, I will update
8	Samuel L. Miller, M.D.	1		you on the budget and then focus on several
9	Robert L. Carson, Jr., D.V.M.			topics related to Departmental matters
10				including: Updated Water media dashboards;
11	COMMISSION MEMBER NOT PRESENT:			recent public comments to EPA regarding its
12	Mary J. Merritt	}		proposed rule changes for the reduction of
13				greenhouse gas emissions from existing
14	ALSO PRESENT:			utilities; the LID/Green infrastructure
15	Robert Tambling, EMC Legal Counsel			initiative; and, our most recent
16	Debi Thomas, EMC Executive Assistant			collaborative efforts to promote
17	Lance R. LeFleur, ADEM Director			recycling.
18		i	18	As for the fiscal year 2014
19		{		budget, we are now well into the final
20		1		quarter of the fiscal year and we continue
21				to be on target with anticipated revenues
22				and expenditures in both the State and
23		3		Federal budget components. As we prepare
		1	ر <u>ت</u>	reduction outgot components. As we prepare

19 each of the states. As you can see on this

22 the group of states with the second largest

23 number of regulated facilities. This map

20 map, Alabama, with just over 10,000

21 facilities in the water media, falls into

	idama Environmental Management mmission Meeting		Augus	t 15, 2014
	Page 5			Page 7
1	to close out the current fiscal year, there	1	is a good illustration of one reason one	
	are no outstanding obligations or unfunded		of the reasons to be careful in	
	priorities and no reason to believe that	1	interpretation of the dashboard	
1	any major change will occur in the		information.	
1	Department's finances before the new fiscal	5	As you can see, there are only	
1	year.	6		
7	Looking ahead to fiscal year	7		
8	2015, things are still up in the air on the		than Alabama. Clearly, states such as	
9	Federal side and we've been advised that	í	California, Georgia, Indiana, Michigan, and	
1	State funding will be extraordinarily	ł	others with larger populations and	
	tight. It is possible the Legislature and		industrial bases than Alabama would be	
	the Governor will undertake consolidation	1	expected to have more regulated	
13	of some State organizations in order to		facilities.	
1	maintain minimal funding for basic	14	This apparently illogical	
1	government services. At this time, there		situation is explained by the fact that	
	is no indication any consolidations will		currently EPA only requires reporting for	
	involve the Department.	1	so-called majors, or large facilities, and,	
18	For the last year, one of the	1	thus, some states only report those majors	
19	Department's forward leaning activities has		while other states, including Alabama,	
1	been to promote the use of recently		report all categories. In the future, all	
	developed EPA Interactive Visual Compliance	1	states will be required to report all	
22	and Enforcement Metrics, or dashboards, as	!	categories.	
23	an objective means to analyze the	23	Continuing with the size of the	
	Page 6			Page 8
1	Department's performance.	1	regulated universe here in Alabama, we see	
2	In the last Commission meeting	1	on this second slide that the number of	
3	we looked at the dashboards for the Land	3	regulated facilities in the water area	
4	media. Today we will look at dashboards	1	totals 10,245, which is up from 9,669 about	
5	for the Water media. As in the past, our	5	a year ago. The dark blue portion of the	
6	analysis will focus on four areas, namely:	6	graph shows 190 major facilities holding	
7	The size of the universe of regulated	7	individual permits, which is up one from	
1	facilities; the inspections of those	8	last year.	
1	facilities; the compliance rates for the	9	The yellow portion shows 1,397	
1	facilities inspected; and, the enforcement	10	non-majors holding individual permits,	
1	actions taken for those facilities found to		which is down twelve from last year. The	
12	be in non-compliance.		orange portion shows 8,658 General Permit	
13	The analysis will look at five	13	holders, an increase of 528. Clearly, more	
	of the more than 150 graphs displaying	14	facilities are utilizing the General Permit	
	Alabama's activities and performance, which	15	program. This is exactly the trend that we	
	are available on the EPA Web site.	16	are seeking because General Permits can be	
17	This first slide shows the total		issued with far less investment of	
18	number of NPDES regulated facilities in	18	resources by both the Department and the	

21

19 regulated community; all the while setting

22 of inspections for major facilities. As

23 has been noted in the past, the EPA

This third slide shows the rate

20 high environmental standards.

Co	mmission Meeting		Augus	t 15, 2014
	Page 9			Page 11
1	dashboard program is a work in progress,	1	the percentage of Alabama's universe found	
	and at this time the only reliable data	ì	to be in both non-compliance and	
	available in EPA system is for majors. We	1	significant non-compliance is less than the	
	are working with EPA to get reliable	1	average for the other states, as shown by	
4	information for non-majors and General		the blue and red dashed lines	
	Permits and hope to present that data in	1	respectively.	
	the future.	7	Said another way, on the	
8	Still excuse me since we	8	average, even inspecting about half the	
9	are still in fiscal year 2014, data for		percentage of their universe of facilities,	
10	that year is still incomplete. Several		other states have a higher percentage of	
- 1	observations should be noted. First, you	1	their total facilities in non-compliance.	
12	can see that ADEM inspects every major		It is worthwhile to note that the	
13	facility holding an individual permit each	13	percentage of facilities found to be in	
14	year, which exceeds both the EPA national		significant non-compliance, shown in	
15	goal of 50 percent, shown by the black line	15	orange, is declining. This is the trend we	
16	on the graph, and the average inspection	16	want.	
17	rate of slightly over 50 percent for all	17	The Department's intentional	
18	states, shown by the blue dashed line.	18	strategy to have a high rate of	
19	Second, you will note that the	19	inspections, along with the timely	
20	overall average inspection rate for all	20	recognition of violations provided by the	
	states has been declining. The Department,	21	highly efficient eDMR reporting system is a	
1	unlike some other state environmental		contributing factor to increased	
23	agencies, has adopted a strategy to have a	23	compliance.	
	Page 10			Page 12
1	higher than required rate of inspections	1	After looking at the size of the	
1	represented by the purple columns on the		universe of regulated facilities, the rate	
1	graph.	1	of inspections, and the facilities found to	
4	The 2014 partial year bar	ì	be in non-compliance, on this final slide	
5	already exceeds the EPA national standard		we will look at the enforcement actions	
	and the national average for all states.		taken on those found to be in	
7	By year-end, this bar will approach 100		non-compliance.	
8	percent. This measure of the rate of	8	The graph displays the total	
9	inspections is one of our grant commitments	9	number of all enforcement actions taken in	
10	made each year to EPA. As you can see, the	10	the Water program for the period from 2010	
11	Water program consistently meets or exceeds	11	through the third quarter of 2014. Formal	
	1 0			
12	the EPA requirement for the rate of	1	actions are shown in blue, and the informal	
12 13	the EPA requirement for the rate of inspections.	12	-	
	the EPA requirement for the rate of inspections. Continuing with the data on	12 13 14	actions are shown in blue, and the informal actions are shown in orange. Since informal enforcement actions do not carry	
13	the EPA requirement for the rate of inspections. Continuing with the data on majors, this fourth slide sets out the	12 13 14	actions are shown in blue, and the informal actions are shown in orange. Since informal enforcement actions do not carry monetary penalties, they must be considered	
13 14	the EPA requirement for the rate of inspections. Continuing with the data on majors, this fourth slide sets out the percentage of facilities in the majors	12 13 14	actions are shown in blue, and the informal actions are shown in orange. Since informal enforcement actions do not carry monetary penalties, they must be considered comparable to regulated industry training	
13 14 15	the EPA requirement for the rate of inspections. Continuing with the data on majors, this fourth slide sets out the percentage of facilities in the majors universe that are in non-compliance shown	12 13 14 15	actions are shown in blue, and the informal actions are shown in orange. Since informal enforcement actions do not carry monetary penalties, they must be considered comparable to regulated industry training or education.	
13 14 15 16	the EPA requirement for the rate of inspections. Continuing with the data on majors, this fourth slide sets out the percentage of facilities in the majors universe that are in non-compliance shown in blue, with those in significant	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	actions are shown in blue, and the informal actions are shown in orange. Since informal enforcement actions do not carry monetary penalties, they must be considered comparable to regulated industry training or education. As has been stated on a number	
13 14 15 16 17 18	the EPA requirement for the rate of inspections. Continuing with the data on majors, this fourth slide sets out the percentage of facilities in the majors universe that are in non-compliance shown in blue, with those in significant non-compliance shown in orange. Keeping in	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	actions are shown in blue, and the informal actions are shown in orange. Since informal enforcement actions do not carry monetary penalties, they must be considered comparable to regulated industry training or education. As has been stated on a number of occasions, the Department's intentional	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the EPA requirement for the rate of inspections. Continuing with the data on majors, this fourth slide sets out the percentage of facilities in the majors universe that are in non-compliance shown in blue, with those in significant non-compliance shown in orange. Keeping in mind that the Department inspects 100	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	actions are shown in blue, and the informal actions are shown in orange. Since informal enforcement actions do not carry monetary penalties, they must be considered comparable to regulated industry training or education. As has been stated on a number of occasions, the Department's intentional strategy to reduce non-compliance, which is	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the EPA requirement for the rate of inspections. Continuing with the data on majors, this fourth slide sets out the percentage of facilities in the majors universe that are in non-compliance shown in blue, with those in significant non-compliance shown in orange. Keeping in mind that the Department inspects 100 percent of the majors in its universe,	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	actions are shown in blue, and the informal actions are shown in orange. Since informal enforcement actions do not carry monetary penalties, they must be considered comparable to regulated industry training or education. As has been stated on a number of occasions, the Department's intentional strategy to reduce non-compliance, which is the same as increasing compliance, has been	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the EPA requirement for the rate of inspections. Continuing with the data on majors, this fourth slide sets out the percentage of facilities in the majors universe that are in non-compliance shown in blue, with those in significant non-compliance shown in orange. Keeping in mind that the Department inspects 100	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	actions are shown in blue, and the informal actions are shown in orange. Since informal enforcement actions do not carry monetary penalties, they must be considered comparable to regulated industry training or education. As has been stated on a number of occasions, the Department's intentional strategy to reduce non-compliance, which is	

C	ommission Meeting		Augusi	t 15, 2014
	Page 13			Page 15
	1 enforcement actions.	1	that was developed by the Department and	
	2 If this slide is analyzed in		delivered by James Carlson, a supervisory	İ
	3 conjunction with the previous two slides		engineer in our Air Division, at the recent	
- 1	4 where the rate of inspections was shown to		EPA public hearing held in Atlanta. The	
	5 be up and the percentage of facilities in	}	statement reads as follows: The Department	
	6 significant non-compliance was shown to be	1	has three broad comments on EPA's	
	7 down, it can reasonably be interpreted that		proposal.	
	8 the increased number of informal	8	Number 1, EPA's proposed rules	
	9 enforcement actions, which are in many ways	9	to regulate greenhouse gas emissions are	
1	o educational, is having the desired impact		tantamount to setting energy policy for the	
1	1 of promoting greater compliance.	1	United States.	
-	In closing out the Water media	12	Two, EPA is seeking to decide	
1	3 dashboards, I will once again remind you	13	upon an energy policy for the nation that	
1	4 that the data presented in the dashboards	1	will have limited direct environmental	
1	5 must be interpreted with care since the	15	impact, but dramatic national economic	
1	6 databases are not yet fully populated with	1	impact. Such a policy decision is	
1	7 data from all states for all sources. As	17	appropriately reserved for the legislative	
1	8 noted earlier, much of the data for	18		
1	9 non-major sources is not required to be	19	Three, EPA is reaching far	
2	o reported to EPA at this time. Therefore,	20		
2	1 comparisons between states for data related	21	likely beyond its statutory authority in	
2	2 to non-major sources will not be accurate.	1	using measures, quote, outside the plant	
2	3 Unlike many states, Alabama	1	boundary, closed quote, to calculate the	
- 1			,,, to carcarate the	
L	-		The second secon	
-	Page 14		The second question of the second control of	Page 16
-	-		emission reductions States and affected	Page 16
	Page 14	1		Page 16
	Page 14 1 already reports data for all sources. Over	1	emission reductions States and affected	Page 16
	Page 14 1 already reports data for all sources. Over 2 time other states will, like Alabama,	1 2 3	emission reductions States and affected sources must meet.	Page 16
	Page 14 1 already reports data for all sources. Over 2 time other states will, like Alabama, 3 upgrade their reporting, and the problem of 4 inaccurate comparisons for non-majors will 5 be resolved. To this end, EPA has proposed	1 2 3 4	emission reductions States and affected sources must meet. In spite of representations to	Page 16
	Page 14 1 already reports data for all sources. Over 2 time other states will, like Alabama, 3 upgrade their reporting, and the problem of 4 inaccurate comparisons for non-majors will	1 2 3 4 5	emission reductions States and affected sources must meet. In spite of representations to the contrary, the greenhouse gas emission	Page 16
	Page 14 1 already reports data for all sources. Over 2 time other states will, like Alabama, 3 upgrade their reporting, and the problem of 4 inaccurate comparisons for non-majors will 5 be resolved. To this end, EPA has proposed 6 an NPDES eReporting Rule to increase the 7 amount of data that states are required to	1 2 3 4 5 6	emission reductions States and affected sources must meet. In spite of representations to the contrary, the greenhouse gas emission standards proposed by EPA for the existing	Page 16
	Page 14 1 already reports data for all sources. Over 2 time other states will, like Alabama, 3 upgrade their reporting, and the problem of 4 inaccurate comparisons for non-majors will 5 be resolved. To this end, EPA has proposed 6 an NPDES eReporting Rule to increase the 7 amount of data that states are required to 8 submit to EPA.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	emission reductions States and affected sources must meet. In spite of representations to the contrary, the greenhouse gas emission standards proposed by EPA for the existing fleet of Electric Generating Units in	Page 16
	Page 14 1 already reports data for all sources. Over 2 time other states will, like Alabama, 3 upgrade their reporting, and the problem of 4 inaccurate comparisons for non-majors will 5 be resolved. To this end, EPA has proposed 6 an NPDES eReporting Rule to increase the 7 amount of data that states are required to 8 submit to EPA. 9 During the last during two	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	emission reductions States and affected sources must meet. In spite of representations to the contrary, the greenhouse gas emission standards proposed by EPA for the existing fleet of Electric Generating Units in Alabama, as well as in many other states,	Page 16
1	Page 14 1 already reports data for all sources. Over 2 time other states will, like Alabama, 3 upgrade their reporting, and the problem of 4 inaccurate comparisons for non-majors will 5 be resolved. To this end, EPA has proposed 6 an NPDES eReporting Rule to increase the 7 amount of data that states are required to 8 submit to EPA. 9 During the last during two 10 days of public hearings held in four cities	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	emission reductions States and affected sources must meet. In spite of representations to the contrary, the greenhouse gas emission standards proposed by EPA for the existing fleet of Electric Generating Units in Alabama, as well as in many other states, are achievable only by decommissioning	Page 16
1	Page 14 1 already reports data for all sources. Over 2 time other states will, like Alabama, 3 upgrade their reporting, and the problem of 4 inaccurate comparisons for non-majors will 5 be resolved. To this end, EPA has proposed 6 an NPDES eReporting Rule to increase the 7 amount of data that states are required to 8 submit to EPA. 9 During the last during two 10 days of public hearings held in four cities 1 across the country in late July, EPA	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	emission reductions States and affected sources must meet. In spite of representations to the contrary, the greenhouse gas emission standards proposed by EPA for the existing fleet of Electric Generating Units in Alabama, as well as in many other states, are achievable only by decommissioning coal-fired EGUs, and by dispatching generation to natural gas-fired capacity regardless of the cost of generation.	Page 16
1	Page 14 1 already reports data for all sources. Over 2 time other states will, like Alabama, 3 upgrade their reporting, and the problem of 4 inaccurate comparisons for non-majors will 5 be resolved. To this end, EPA has proposed 6 an NPDES eReporting Rule to increase the 7 amount of data that states are required to 8 submit to EPA. 9 During the last during two 10 days of public hearings held in four cities 1 across the country in late July, EPA 2 solicited public comments regarding its	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	emission reductions States and affected sources must meet. In spite of representations to the contrary, the greenhouse gas emission standards proposed by EPA for the existing fleet of Electric Generating Units in Alabama, as well as in many other states, are achievable only by decommissioning coal-fired EGUs, and by dispatching generation to natural gas-fired capacity regardless of the cost of generation. The types and sources of fuels	Page 16
1	Page 14 1 already reports data for all sources. Over 2 time other states will, like Alabama, 3 upgrade their reporting, and the problem of 4 inaccurate comparisons for non-majors will 5 be resolved. To this end, EPA has proposed 6 an NPDES eReporting Rule to increase the 7 amount of data that states are required to 8 submit to EPA. 9 During the last during two 10 days of public hearings held in four cities 1 across the country in late July, EPA 2 solicited public comments regarding its 3 proposal to require states to submit plans	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	emission reductions States and affected sources must meet. In spite of representations to the contrary, the greenhouse gas emission standards proposed by EPA for the existing fleet of Electric Generating Units in Alabama, as well as in many other states, are achievable only by decommissioning coal-fired EGUs, and by dispatching generation to natural gas-fired capacity regardless of the cost of generation. The types and sources of fuels to generate electric energy, the cost of	Page 16
1 1 1	Page 14 1 already reports data for all sources. Over 2 time other states will, like Alabama, 3 upgrade their reporting, and the problem of 4 inaccurate comparisons for non-majors will 5 be resolved. To this end, EPA has proposed 6 an NPDES eReporting Rule to increase the 7 amount of data that states are required to 8 submit to EPA. 9 During the last during two 10 days of public hearings held in four cities 1 across the country in late July, EPA 2 solicited public comments regarding its 3 proposal to require states to submit plans 4 to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	emission reductions States and affected sources must meet. In spite of representations to the contrary, the greenhouse gas emission standards proposed by EPA for the existing fleet of Electric Generating Units in Alabama, as well as in many other states, are achievable only by decommissioning coal-fired EGUs, and by dispatching generation to natural gas-fired capacity regardless of the cost of generation. The types and sources of fuels to generate electric energy, the cost of energy, the export and import of energy,	Page 16
1 1 1 1	Page 14 1 already reports data for all sources. Over 2 time other states will, like Alabama, 3 upgrade their reporting, and the problem of 4 inaccurate comparisons for non-majors will 5 be resolved. To this end, EPA has proposed 6 an NPDES eReporting Rule to increase the 7 amount of data that states are required to 8 submit to EPA. 9 During the last during two 10 days of public hearings held in four cities 1 across the country in late July, EPA 2 solicited public comments regarding its 3 proposal to require states to submit plans 4 to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from 5 operating fossil fuel fired electric	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	emission reductions States and affected sources must meet. In spite of representations to the contrary, the greenhouse gas emission standards proposed by EPA for the existing fleet of Electric Generating Units in Alabama, as well as in many other states, are achievable only by decommissioning coal-fired EGUs, and by dispatching generation to natural gas-fired capacity regardless of the cost of generation. The types and sources of fuels to generate electric energy, the cost of energy, the export and import of energy, the reliability of the electric grid and,	Page 16
1 1 1 1 1	Page 14 1 already reports data for all sources. Over 2 time other states will, like Alabama, 3 upgrade their reporting, and the problem of 4 inaccurate comparisons for non-majors will 5 be resolved. To this end, EPA has proposed 6 an NPDES eReporting Rule to increase the 7 amount of data that states are required to 8 submit to EPA. 9 During the last during two 10 days of public hearings held in four cities 1 across the country in late July, EPA 2 solicited public comments regarding its 3 proposal to require states to submit plans 4 to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from 5 operating fossil fuel fired electric 6 utilities. This proposal is commonly known	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	emission reductions States and affected sources must meet. In spite of representations to the contrary, the greenhouse gas emission standards proposed by EPA for the existing fleet of Electric Generating Units in Alabama, as well as in many other states, are achievable only by decommissioning coal-fired EGUs, and by dispatching generation to natural gas-fired capacity regardless of the cost of generation. The types and sources of fuels to generate electric energy, the cost of energy, the export and import of energy, the reliability of the electric grid and, thus, energy policy throughout the nation	Page 16
1 1 1 1 1 1	Page 14 1 already reports data for all sources. Over 2 time other states will, like Alabama, 3 upgrade their reporting, and the problem of 4 inaccurate comparisons for non-majors will 5 be resolved. To this end, EPA has proposed 6 an NPDES eReporting Rule to increase the 7 amount of data that states are required to 8 submit to EPA. 9 During the last during two 10 days of public hearings held in four cities 1 across the country in late July, EPA 2 solicited public comments regarding its 3 proposal to require states to submit plans 4 to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from 5 operating fossil fuel fired electric 6 utilities. This proposal is commonly known 7 as the 111(d) rule.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	emission reductions States and affected sources must meet. In spite of representations to the contrary, the greenhouse gas emission standards proposed by EPA for the existing fleet of Electric Generating Units in Alabama, as well as in many other states, are achievable only by decommissioning coal-fired EGUs, and by dispatching generation to natural gas-fired capacity regardless of the cost of generation. The types and sources of fuels to generate electric energy, the cost of energy, the export and import of energy, the reliability of the electric grid and, thus, energy policy throughout the nation would be determined by EPA if the proposed	Page 16
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Page 14 1 already reports data for all sources. Over 2 time other states will, like Alabama, 3 upgrade their reporting, and the problem of 4 inaccurate comparisons for non-majors will 5 be resolved. To this end, EPA has proposed 6 an NPDES eReporting Rule to increase the 7 amount of data that states are required to 8 submit to EPA. 9 During the last during two 10 days of public hearings held in four cities 1 across the country in late July, EPA 2 solicited public comments regarding its 3 proposal to require states to submit plans 4 to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from 5 operating fossil fuel fired electric 6 utilities. This proposal is commonly known 7 as the 111(d) rule. The Department recognizes the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	emission reductions States and affected sources must meet. In spite of representations to the contrary, the greenhouse gas emission standards proposed by EPA for the existing fleet of Electric Generating Units in Alabama, as well as in many other states, are achievable only by decommissioning coal-fired EGUs, and by dispatching generation to natural gas-fired capacity regardless of the cost of generation. The types and sources of fuels to generate electric energy, the cost of energy, the export and import of energy, the reliability of the electric grid and, thus, energy policy throughout the nation would be determined by EPA if the proposed greenhouse emission standards are	Page 16
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Page 14 1 already reports data for all sources. Over 2 time other states will, like Alabama, 3 upgrade their reporting, and the problem of 4 inaccurate comparisons for non-majors will 5 be resolved. To this end, EPA has proposed 6 an NPDES eReporting Rule to increase the 7 amount of data that states are required to 8 submit to EPA. 9 During the last during two 10 days of public hearings held in four cities 1 across the country in late July, EPA 2 solicited public comments regarding its 3 proposal to require states to submit plans 4 to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from 5 operating fossil fuel fired electric 6 utilities. This proposal is commonly known 7 as the 111(d) rule. 8 The Department recognizes the 9 dramatic impact this proposed action by EPA	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	emission reductions States and affected sources must meet. In spite of representations to the contrary, the greenhouse gas emission standards proposed by EPA for the existing fleet of Electric Generating Units in Alabama, as well as in many other states, are achievable only by decommissioning coal-fired EGUs, and by dispatching generation to natural gas-fired capacity regardless of the cost of generation. The types and sources of fuels to generate electric energy, the cost of energy, the export and import of energy, the reliability of the electric grid and, thus, energy policy throughout the nation would be determined by EPA if the proposed greenhouse emission standards are implemented.	Page 16
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2	Page 14 already reports data for all sources. Over time other states will, like Alabama, upgrade their reporting, and the problem of inaccurate comparisons for non-majors will be resolved. To this end, EPA has proposed an NPDES eReporting Rule to increase the amount of data that states are required to submit to EPA. During the last during two days of public hearings held in four cities across the country in late July, EPA solicited public comments regarding its proposal to require states to submit plans to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from operating fossil fuel fired electric utilities. This proposal is commonly known as the 111(d) rule. The Department recognizes the dramatic impact this proposed action by EPA will have on every citizen and business in	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	emission reductions States and affected sources must meet. In spite of representations to the contrary, the greenhouse gas emission standards proposed by EPA for the existing fleet of Electric Generating Units in Alabama, as well as in many other states, are achievable only by decommissioning coal-fired EGUs, and by dispatching generation to natural gas-fired capacity regardless of the cost of generation. The types and sources of fuels to generate electric energy, the cost of energy, the export and import of energy, the reliability of the electric grid and, thus, energy policy throughout the nation would be determined by EPA if the proposed greenhouse emission standards are implemented. Regarding whether Congress or	Page 16
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2	Page 14 1 already reports data for all sources. Over 2 time other states will, like Alabama, 3 upgrade their reporting, and the problem of 4 inaccurate comparisons for non-majors will 5 be resolved. To this end, EPA has proposed 6 an NPDES eReporting Rule to increase the 7 amount of data that states are required to 8 submit to EPA. 9 During the last during two 10 days of public hearings held in four cities 1 across the country in late July, EPA 2 solicited public comments regarding its 3 proposal to require states to submit plans 4 to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from 5 operating fossil fuel fired electric 6 utilities. This proposal is commonly known 7 as the 111(d) rule. 8 The Department recognizes the 9 dramatic impact this proposed action by EPA 10 will have on every citizen and business in	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	emission reductions States and affected sources must meet. In spite of representations to the contrary, the greenhouse gas emission standards proposed by EPA for the existing fleet of Electric Generating Units in Alabama, as well as in many other states, are achievable only by decommissioning coal-fired EGUs, and by dispatching generation to natural gas-fired capacity regardless of the cost of generation. The types and sources of fuels to generate electric energy, the cost of energy, the export and import of energy, the reliability of the electric grid and, thus, energy policy throughout the nation would be determined by EPA if the proposed greenhouse emission standards are implemented.	Page 16

23 concurs with the statement in the June 23,

The following is a statement

23

Co	mmission Meeting		August 15, 2014
	Page 17		Page 19
1	2014 United States Supreme Court greenhouse	1	nonpoint source pollution is the number one
2	gas ruling opinion that the justices,	1	cause of water quality impacts in Alabama
3	quote, Expect Congress to speak clearly if	1	and throughout the nation. The successful
4	it wishes to assign to an agency decisions		implementation of Low Impact Development at
5	of vast economic and political		the local level protects water resources,
6	significance, closed quote.	į.	decreases polluted stormwater runoff, and
7	EPA has stated that the	1	improves water quality.
8	reduction in greenhouse gas emissions in	8	ADEM has provided financial and
9	the United States will be negligible	9	technical resources, and worked in
10	compared to worldwide emissions and that	10	conjunction with a diverse group of
11	the effort is essentially symbolic. If the	11	stakeholders, to create a Low Impact
12	United States is to offer a low-impact,	12	Development Handbook. This manual provides
13	symbolic greenhouse gas reduction program,	13	details on how to design and construct
14	hoping to spark similar actions world-wide,		various types of Low Impact Development and
15	while incurring vast economic consequences,	15	can be a tremendous resource for city
16	ADEM believes Congress is the appropriate	16	planners, architects, building contractors,
17	institution to do so.	17	and local elected officials.
18	In past 111(d) actions, and in	18	In an effort to increase the
19	similar rulemakings in such areas as	19	awareness of LID, we have provided a copy
20	such areas as New Source Performance		of the LID Handbook to all of the 81
21	Standards and Maximum Achievable Control	21	Alabama entities that operate under an ADEM
22	Technology Standards, EPA has never	22	municipal separate stormwater sewer system
23	ventured beyond tip-of-stack control or	23	permit, MS4. In addition, a copy of the
	Page 18		Page 20
1	work practices to require reductions.	1	LID Handbook had also been provided to
	ADEM complydes that EDAIs auto		

- ADEM concludes that EPA's only
- 3 legal options for requiring reductions from
- 4 existing fossil-fuel fired power plants lie
- 5 in these two areas. Since EPA has rejected
- 6 tip-of-stack controls such as carbon
- 7 capture and sequestration for these
- 8 sources, EPA's only legal option lies in a
- 9 work practice requirement, i.e. efficiency
- 10 improvements.
- ADEM will submit more detailed 11
- 12 comments to the docket before the
- 13 deadline. This concludes our comments.
- 14 Other matters. Our Lobby Board
- 15 this month highlights the Department's
- 16 efforts to support Low Impact Development,
- 17 or LID, in Alabama. Low Impact Development
- 18 is an approach to land development that
- 19 works with nature to minimize stormwater
- 20 runoff through natural resource based site
- 21 design and planning.
- As we have previously discussed,
- 23 stormwater runoff and the associated

- 2 approximately 500 other municipalities in
- 3 Alabama. This effort will assist local
- 4 decision makers in addressing the negative
- 5 impacts of stormwater runoff in their local
- 6 communities.
- 7 One of the recurring themes in
- 8 my comments to you in past Commission
- 9 meetings has been the value that we at ADEM
- 10 place on developing productive
- 11 partnerships. We are particularly excited
- 12 when those efforts allow us and our
- 13 partners to leverage limited financial
- 14 resources to accomplish much more than any
- 15 of us could have on our own. Development
- 16 of the LID Handbook is an example of a
- 17 forward leaning activity that was
- 18 accomplished through a working partnership
- 19 with others. Another excellent example of
- 20 a working partnership is our recent
- 21 recycling public relations effort in
- 22 conjunction with local governments across
- 23 the State.

Co	mmission Meeting	August 15,		
	Page 21		Page 23	
1	Just over a year ago, the	1	you who may not have seen it.	
2		2	With that, Jason would you	
3	Fund, provided a \$75,000 grant to the City	3		
1	of Tuscaloosa, for use in the development	4		
	and broadcast of a public service	5	(Videotape playing)	
	announcement to encourage recycling through	6	MR. LeFLEUR: This public	
	a statewide campaign entitled Recycling	7	service announcement will be run in	
1	Works for Me. An additional \$5,000 to	8		
9	cover the production costs of the	9	local recycling organizations will receive	
10	advertisement was provided by the Alabama		an identification on the public service	
11	Recycling Coalition.	1	announcement in their area.	
12	Tuscaloosa, in cooperation with	12	With that, once again let me	
13	ten other local governments across the	13	close with my thanks to you for serving on	
14	state, worked with the Alabama	,	the serving the State of Alabama as	
1.5	Broadcasters' Association to secure a three	15	Commissioners on the Alabama Environmental	
16			Management Commission and for allowing me	
17	17 dollars to increase the original \$75,000 to 17 to serve the State in my capacity. If		to serve the State in my capacity. If	
	18 \$225,000 worth of airtime for statewide 18 there are any questions, I will be pleased		there are any questions, I will be pleased	
19	19 television and radio spots. 19 to address them now.		to address them now.	
20	This collaborative effort of	DR. MILLER: I have one.		
	state and local agencies and organizations,	21	MR. LeFLEUR: Yes, sir.	
1	both public and private, is intended to	22	DR. MILLER: On the slide	
23	bring awareness to the economic benefits of	23	where you showed 30 percent in	
	Page 22		Page 24	
1	recycling and to educate Alabama's citizens	1	non-compliance and then 15 percent	
	on recycling opportunities around the	1	significant non-compliance, do you add	
i	State.		those two together, so is it 45 percent of	
4	Joining us here today are some		the facilities are in non-compliance or is	
5	of the people behind this effort: Stacy	1	15 percent equal about half of the ones	
6	Vaughn and Ashley Chambers, would you	6	that	
7	please stand and be recognized? These	7	MR. LeFLEUR: In that	
1	ladies are with the City of Tuscaloosa.	8		
9	And we also have from our Solid Waste	9	the facilities that are in non-compliance,	
10	Branch, Brandy Tiblier, who is our	10	the percentage of the majors that are in	
11	coordinator in the recycling area. I would		non-compliance and divided them into	
12	like to thank you for your efforts and	12	nonsignificant non-compliance and	
13	offer you an applause.	13	significant non-compliance. So when you	
14	(Audience applause)	14	add the two of those up, the 45, that means	
15	MR. LeFLEUR: Starting from	15	that of the major facilities and there	
16	nothing and making it into something is a	16	are 190 in the state from one of the prior	
17	difficult task, and you-all have done very		slides that that percentage was found to	
18	well with that. I appreciate that.		be in non-compliance by some measure.	
19	Although some of you may have	1	Whether that means they didn't get a report	
20	already seen the public service	1	in on time or they didn't attend a training	
	announcement since it has already begun		session they were supposed to attend, that	
- 1	airing across the state, I wanted to take a	ł	sort of thing.	
23	few moments a moment to show it those of	23	And that those ones that are in	

Co	mmission Meeting		August 15,	2014
	Page 2	5	Pag	e 27
1	non-compliance, that's the blue was the	1	agenda is the report from the Commission	
	blue. Do you have the clicker? This is		Chair. The Chair has no report other than	
3			to thank everyone for being here today.	
4		4	Next on the agenda is report	
5	orange section really part of the blue	5	from the Rulemaking Committee, and I	
6			will Chair will recognize Commissioner	
7	MR. LeFLEUR: No. Those are		Phillips, who is the chair of that	
8	separate. They are additive. You would	8	Committee.	
9		9	MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you,	
10		10	Mr. Chairman. At the last meeting you will	
11		1	recall that we were charged in the	
12	percent.		Rulemaking Committee to look at our current	
13	MR. LeFLEUR: Once again,		Section 335-2-305, which is the agenda	
14	the dotted line at the top is what the		portion of our meetings.	
15	national average is for non-compliance.	15	We had a Rulemaking Committee	
16	Okay. And the red line is for significant	16	Meeting this morning. We had discussion	
17	non-compliance, so the dotted blue line		relative to that rule that rule and the	İ
18	will compare to the blue columns for the	18	sections that were referenced, which were	
19	State of Alabama and the red line would	l l	items one through three. And in our	ļ
20	compare to the orange columns for the State	20	meeting we approved an order which I will	
21	of Alabama.	21	now read.	
22	And on that one, you can see	22	The order reads: This cause,	
23	that the State of Alabama was about	23	having come before the Rulemaking	
	Page 20	3	Page	28
1	comparable to the rest of the nation in	1	Committee, pursuant to the Chair's	
	2010. And since that time, the other		recommendation that the Committee solicit	
	states in the nation have continued about		input from the public through written	
4	at that same level, whereas in Alabama the		comments regarding the current 335-2-305	Ì
1	number of facilities, percentage of the		agenda, sections one through three, and	
	total facilities, majors, that are found to		proposed changes or improvements to these	
	be in both non-compliance and significant		sections of this rule and set the deadline	
)	non-compliance has been declining.		of 5 p.m. on September 15th, 2014 for the	
9	MR. PHILLIPS: Okay. So I		comments to be submitted to the Rulemaking	
10	have a question.		Committee.	
11	MR. LeFLEUR: Yes, sir.	11	The Committee hereby orders,	
12	MR. PHILLIPS: You mentioned	12	adjudges, and decrees as follows: That the	
13	in the comments relative to energy, or the		Chair's recommendation referenced above is	
14	GHG, the docket, when is the deadline for	1	hereby adopted; and, two, that this action	
15	the	15	has been taken and this order shall be	
16	AUDIENCE MEMBER: October	16	deemed rendered effective as of the date	
17	16th.	17	shown below, issued this 15th day of August	
18	MR. LeFLEUR: October 16th.	18	2014.	
19	MR. PHILLIPS: Thanks. Any	19	Mr. Chairman and other members,	
20	1	20	that means we will be getting comments in	
21	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.		on September 15th with enough time before	
22	MR. LeFLEUR: Thank you.		our next meeting. I will be talking to	
23	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Next on the	23	each of you about your comments and	
i				

	Page 29			Page 31
١.,	thoughts major to that And the Delangables	1	STATE OF ALABAMA)	•
!	thoughts prior to that. And the Rulemaking	2	COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY)	
2	F F	3	·	
3	regular meeting on October 17th. That's	4		
4	all I have.	5	I hereby certify that the above	
5	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.	6	proceedings were taken down by me and	
6		7	transcribed by me using computer-aided	
7	(No response)	8	transcription and that the above is a true	
8	CHAIRMAN BROWN: There being	9	and accurate transcript of said proceedings	
9		10	taken down by me and transcribed by me.	
10		11		
11		12	I further certify that I am	
12		13	neither of kin nor of counsel to any of the	
13	Chair will entertain a motion to	1	parties nor in anywise financially	
1	adjourn.	14	interested in the outcome of this case.	
15	MR. PHILLIPS: So moved.	15	I further certify that I am duly	
16	DR. RICHARDSON: Second.	16	licensed by the Alabama Board of Court	
17	CHAIRMAN BROWN: All in	17	Reporting as a Certified Court Reporter as	
18		18	evidenced by the ACCR number following my	
19	(All Commission members	19	name found below.	
20	signify "aye.")	20		
21	CHAIRMAN BROWN: It passes.	21		
i	We are adjourned.	22	VICTORIA CASTILLO, ACCR #17, 9/30/14	
23	(The meeting concluded at	23	FREELANCE COURT REPORTER	
		-		
	Page 30			
1	11:36 a.m.)			
2	*******			
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
!		1		i

1	STATE OF ALABAMA)
2	COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY)
3	
4	
5	I hereby certify that the above
6	proceedings were taken down by me and
7	transcribed by me using computer-aided
8	transcription and that the above is a true
9	and accurate transcript of said proceedings
10	taken down by me and transcribed by me.
11	I further certify that I am
12	neither of kin nor of counsel to any of the
13	parties nor in anywise financially
14	interested in the outcome of this case.
15	I further certify that I am duly
16	licensed by the Alabama Board of Court
17	Reporting as a Certified Court Reporter as
18	evidenced by the ACCR number following my
19	name found below.
20	11'01 5 () 110
21	Victoria Captalo
22	VICTORIA CASTILLO, ACCR #17, 9/30/14
23	FREELANCE COURT REPORTER

Commission Meeting	T	T		August 15, 2014
	3:13	approach (2)	17:16	13:15
\$	adopted (2)	10:7;18:18	below (1)	
J	9:23;28:14	appropriate (2)	28:17	careful (1)
#33F 000 (1)	advertisement (1)	14:22;17:16	benefits (1)	1
\$225,000 (1)	21:10	appropriately (1)	21:23	Carlson (1)
21:18	advised (1)			15:2
\$5,000 (1)	5:9	15:17	beyond (3)	carry (1)
21:8		approved (1)	15:20,21;17:23	12:14
\$75,000 (2)	affected (1)	27:20	black (1)	CARSON (1)
21:3,17	16:1	approximately (1)	9:15	3:15
	again (4)	20:2	blue (11)	categories (2)
*	13:13;23:12;25:13;	architects (1)	7:6;8:5;9:18;10:18;	7:20,22
	29:2	19:16	11:5;12:12;25:1,2,5,17,	cause (2)
***************************************	agencies (2)	area (3)	18	19:2;27:22
30:2	9:23;21:21	8:3;22:11;23:11	Board (1)	Chair (9)
	agency (1)	areas (4)	18:14	3:4,9,10;27:2,2,6,7;
\mathbf{A}	17:4	6:6;17:19,20;18:5	both (6)	29:9,13
	agenda (7)	around (2)	4:22;8:18;9:14;11:2;	CHAIRMAN (13)
above (1)	3:6;4:1;27:1,4,13;	22:2;23:8	21:22;26:7	3:1,16,20,23;4:3;
28:13	28:5;29:6	Ashley (1)	boundary (1)	26:21,23;27:10;28:19;
accomplish (1)	ago (2)	22:6	15:23	29:5,8,17,21
20:14	8:5;21:1	assign (1)	branch (2)	Chair's (2)
accomplished (1)	ahead (1)	17:4	15:18;22:10	28:1,13
20:18	5:7	assist (1)	Brandy (1)	Chambers (1)
accurate (1)	air (2)	20:3	22:10	22:6
13:22	5:8;15:3	associated (1)	bring (1)	change (1)
achievable (2)	airing (1)	18:23	21:23	5:4
` '	22:22	Association (1)	broad (1)	changes (2)
16:8;17:21	airtime (1)	21:15	15:6	4:12;28:6
acknowledges (1) 3:4	21:18	Atlanta (1)	broadcast (1)	charged (1)
	Alabama (25)	15:4	21:5	27:11
across (4)	3:3;4:5;6:20;7:8,11,	attend (2)	Broadcasters' (1)	cities (1)
14:11;20:22;21:13;	19;8:1;13:23;14:2,21;	24:20,21	21:15	14:10
22:22	16:7,21;18:17;19:2,21;	Audience (2)	BROWN (10)	
action (2)	20:3;21:2,10,14;23:14,	22:14;26:16		citizen (1) 14:20
14:19;28:14	15;25:19,21,23;26:4	August (1)	3:1,16,20,23;26:21,	
actions (10)	Alabama's (3)	28:17	23;29:5,8,17,21	citizens (1)
6:11;12:5,9,12,13,	6:15;11:1;22:1		budget (3)	22:1
14;13:1,9;17:14,18	allow (1)	authority (1)	4:8,19,23	city (3)
activities (2)		15:21	building (1)	19:15;21:3;22:8
5:19;6:15	20:12	available (2)	19:16	Clearly (3)
activity (1)	allowing (1)	6:16;9:3	business (3)	7:8;8:13;17:3
20:17	23:16	average (6)	14:20;29:6,9	clicker (1)
add (3)	along (1)	9:16,20;10:6;11:4,8;		25:2
24:2,14;25:9	11:19	25:15	C	close (2)
addition (1)	Although (1)	awareness (2)		5:1;23:13
19:23	22:19	19:19;21:23	calculate (1)	closed (2)
additional (1)	amount (1)	aye (2)	15:23	15:23;17:6
21:8	14:7	3:19;29:20	California (1)	closing (1)
additive (1)	analysis (2)		7:9	13:12
25:8	6:6,13	В	call (1)	coal-fired (1)
address (2)	analyze (1)		3:2	16:9
14:22;23:19	5:23	bar (2)	campaign (1)	Coalition (1)
addressing (1)	analyzed (1)	10:4,7	21:7	21:11
20:4	13:2	based (1)	can (10)	collaborative (2)
ADEM (8)	announcement (4)	18:20	6:19;7:5;8:16;9:12;	4:16;21:20
9:12;17:16;18:2,11;	21:6;22:21;23:7,11	bases (1)	10:10,23;13:7;19:15;	columns (3)
	anticipated (1)	7:11	23:3;25:22	10:2;25:18,20
19:8,21;20:9;21:16	4:21	basic (1)	capacity (2)	comments (12)
adjourn (1)	apparently (1)	5:14	16:10;23:17	4:11;14:12;15:6;
29:14	7:14	begun (1)	capture (1)	18:12,13;20:8;26:13,
- 31 3 (1)		Degun (1)		
adjourned (1)		22.21	19.7	1 70.70.40 70 77
29:22	applause (2)	22:21 habind (1)	18:7	20;28:4,9,20,23
29:22 adjudges (1)	applause (2) 22:13,14	behind (1)	carbon (1)	Commission (11)
29:22	applause (2)		1	

Commission Meeting				August 15, 2014
19	contributing (1)	decreases (1)	22:17;24:8	13:1,9
Commissioner (1)	11:22	19:6	dotted (2)	engineer (1)
27:6	Control (2)	decrees (1)	25:14,17	15:3
Commissioners (1)	17:21,23	28:12	down (2)	
23:15	controls (1)	deemed (1)	8:11;13:7	enough (1)
commitments (1)	18:6	28:16		28:21
10:9	cooperation (1)	delivered (1)	DR (8)	entertain (2)
Committee (9)	21:12		3:12,15;23:20,22;	3:10;29:13
27:5,8,12,15;28:1,2,		15:2	25:4,10,11;29:16	entities (1)
	coordinator (1) 22:11	Department (9)	dramatic (2)	19:21
10,11;29:2		5:17;8:18;9:21;	14:19;15:15	entitled (1)
commonly (1) 14:16	copy (2)	10:20;14:18;15:1,5;	during (2)	21:7
	19:19,23	16:22;21:2	14:9,9	Environmental (7)
communities (1)	cost (2)	Departmental (1)		3:3;4:5;8:20;9:22;
20:6	16:11,13	4:9	E	15:14;16:22;23:15
community (1)	costs (1)	Department's (6)		EPA (25)
8:19	21:9	5:5,19;6:1;11:17;	earlier (1)	4:11;5:21;6:16;7:16;
comparable (2)	country (1)	12:19;18:15	13:18	8:23;9:3,4,14;10:5,10,
12:16;26:1	14:11	design (2)	economic (4)	12;13:20;14:5,8,11,19;
compare (2)	Court (1)	18:21;19:13	15:15;17:5,15;21:23	15:4,12,19;16:5,17,21;
25:18,20	17:1	desired (1)	eDMR (1)	17:7,22;18:5
compared (1)	cover (1)	13:10	11:21	EPA's (4)
17:10	21:9	detailed (1)	educate (1)	15:6,8;18:2,8
comparisons (2)	create (1)	18:11	22:1	equal (1)
13:21;14:4	19:11	details (1)	education (1)	24:5
Compliance (5)	current (3)	19:13	12:17	eReporting (1)
5:21;6:9;11:23;	5:1;27:12;28:4	determined (2)	educational (1)	14:6
12:21;13:11	currently (1)	14:21;16:17	13:10	essentially (1)
components (1)	7:16	developed (2)	effective (1)	17:11
4:23		5:21;15:1	28:16	even (1)
concluded (1)	D	developing (1)	efficiency (1)	11:8
29:23		20:10	18:9	everyone (1)
concludes (2)	dark (2)	Development (8)	efficient (1)	27:3
18:2,13	7:6;8:5	18:16,17,18;19:4,12,	11:21	exactly (1)
concurs (1)	dashboard (2)	14;20:15;21:4	effort (6)	8:15
16:23	7:3;9:1	difficult (1)	17:11;19:18;20:3,21;	1
Congress (3)	dashboards (6)	22:17	21:20;22:5	example (2) 20:16,19
16:20;17:3,16	4:10;5:22;6:3,4;	i .		
conjunction (3)	13:13,14	direct (1) 15:14	efforts (4)	exceeds (3)
13:3;19:10;20:22		1	4:16;18:16;20:12;	9:14;10:5,11
	dashed (2)	Director (1)	22:12	excellent (1)
consequences (1)	9:18;11:5	4:1	EGUs (1)	20:19
17:15	data (10)	discussed (1)	16:9	excited (1)
consideration (1)	9:2,6,9;10:14;13:14,	18:22	elected (1)	20:11
3:7	17,18,21;14:1,7	discussion (1)	19:17	excuse (1)
considered (1)	databases (1)	27:16	electric (4)	9:8
12:15	13:16	dispatching (1)	14:15;16:6,13,15	existing (3)
consistently (1)	date (2)	16:9	electronics (1)	4:13;16:5;18:4
10:11	28:16;29:10	displaying (1)	23:3	Expect (1)
consolidation (1)	day (1)	6:14	emission (3)	17:3
5:12	28:17	displays (1)	16:1,4,18	expected (1)
consolidations (1)	days (1)	12:8	emissions (5)	7:12
5:16	14:10	distributed (2)	4:13;14:14;15:9;	expenditures (1)
construct (1)	deadline (3)	3:9,14	17:8,10	4:22
19:13	18:13;26:14;28:7	diverse (1)	employ (1)	explained (1)
continue (1)	decide (1)	19:10	12:22	7:15
4:20	15:12	divided (1)	encourage (1)	export (1)
continued (1)	decision (2)	24:11	21:6	16:14
26:3	15:16;20:4	Division (1)	end (1)	extraordinarily (1)
Continuing (2)	decisions (1)	15:3	14:5	5:10
7:23;10:14	17:4	docket (2)	energy (8)	
contractors (1)	declining (3)	18:12;26:14	15:10,13;16:13,14,	F
19:16	9:21;11:15;26:8	dollars (1)	14,16,21;26:13	#'
contrary (1)	decommissioning (1)	21:17	Enforcement (7)	facilities (26)
16:4	16:8	done (2)	5:22;6:10;12:5,9,14;	6:8,9,10,11,18,21,23;
	10.0		3.22,0.10,12.3,7,14,	0.0,9,10,11,10,21,23;


	1			August 15, 2014
7:7,13,17;8:3,6,14,22;	Fund (1)	14:10	17:15	
10:16;11:9,11,13;12:2,	21:3	held (3)	Indiana (1)	T
3;13:5;24:4,9,15;26:5,	funding (2)	3:8;14:10;15:4	7:9	J
6	5:10,14	hereby (2)	indicating (1)	_
facility (1)	future (2)	28:11,14	7:6	James (1)
9:13	7:20;9:7	high (3)	indication (1)	15:2
fact (1)	7.20,7.7	8:20;11:18;12:23	5:16	Jason (1)
7:15	G	higher (2)	individual (3)	23:2
factor (1)	9	10:1;11:10		Joining (1)
11:22	gas (7)		8:7,10;9:13	22:4
falls (1)		highlights (1)	industrial (1)	July (1)
6:21	4:13;14:14;15:9;	18:15	7:11	14:11
	16:4;17:2,8,13	highly (1)	industry (1)	June (3)
far (2)	gas-fired (1)	11:21	12:16	3:8,13;16:23
8:17;15:19	16:10	historical (1)	informal (4)	justices (1)
favor (2)	General (4)	15:20	12:12,14,23;13:8	17:2
3:17;29:18	8:12,14,16;9:5	holders (1)	information (2)	
Federal (2)	generate (1)	8:13	7:4;9:5	K
4:23;5:9	16:13	holding (3)	infrastructure (1)	
few (1)	Generating (1)	8:6,10;9:13	4:14	Keeping (1)
22:23	16:6	hope (1)	initiative (1)	10:19
final (2)	generation (2)	9:6	4:15	known (1)
4:19;12:4	16:10,11	hoping (1)	input (1)	14:16
finances (1)	Georgia (1)	17:14	28:3	14.10
5:5	7:9		inspect (1)	L
financial (2)	GHG (1)	I	10:22	L
19:8;20:13	26:14		inspected (1)	ladias (1)
fired (2)	goal (1)	identification (1)	6:10	ladies (1)
14:15;18:4	9:15	23:10	inspecting (1)	22:8
First (3)	Good (4)	ie (1)	11:8	Land (2)
3:6;6:17;9:11	3:1;4:2,3;7:1	18:9	inspection (2)	6:3;18:18
fiscal (7)	government (2)	illogical (1)	9:16,20	large (1)
4:6,18,20;5:1,5,7;9:9	5:15;15:18	7:14	inspections (9)	7:17
five (1)	governments (2)	illustration (1)	6:8;8:22;10:1,9,13;	larger (1)
6:13	20:22;21:13	7:1	11:19;12:3,22;13:4	7:10
fleet (1)	Governor (1)	1		largest (1)
16:6	5:12	impact (9)	inspects (2)	6:22
focus (2)	l .	13:10;14:19;15:15,	9:12;10:20	last (7)
4:8;6:6	grant (3)	16;18:16,17;19:4,11,14	institution (1)	4:4;5:18;6:2;8:8,11;
	10:9;21:3,16	impacts (2)	17:17	14:9;27:10
following (1)	graph (4)	19:2;20:5	intended (1)	late (1)
14:23	8:6;9:16;10:3;12:8	implementation (1)	21:22	14:11
follows (2)	graphs (1)	19:4	intentional (2)	leaning (2)
15:5;28:12	6:14	implemented (1)	11:17;12:19	5:19;20:17
Formal (1)	greater (1)	16:19	Interactive (1)	LeFLEUR (10)
12:11	13:11	import (1)	5:21	4:2;22:15;23:6,21;
forward (2)	greenhouse (8)	16:14	interpretation (1)	24:7;25:7,13;26:11,18,
5:19;20:17	4:13;14:14;15:9;	improvements (2)	7:3	22
fossil (1)	16:4,18;17:1,8,13	18:10;28:6	interpreted (2)	legal (2)
14:15	grid (1)	improves (1)	13:7,15	18:3,8
fossil-fuel (1)	16:15	19:7	into (4)	legislative (1)
18:4	group (2)	inaccurate (1)	4:19;6:21;22:16;	15:17
found (7)	6:22;19:10	14:4	24:11	1
6:11;11:1,13;12:3,6;		including (2)	investment (1)	Legislature (1)
24:17;26:6	H	4:10;7:19	8:17	5:11
four (2)		incomplete (1)	involve (1)	less (2)
6:6;14:10	half (2)	9:10	5:17	8:17;11:3
fourth (1)	11:8;24:5	increase (4)	issue (1)	level (2)
10:15	Handbook (4)	8:13;14:6;19:18;	14:22	19:5;26:4
fuel (1)	19:12,20;20:1,16	21:17	issued (2)	leverage (1)
14:15		1		20:13
fuels (1)	handle (1)	increased (2)	8:17;28:17	LID (5)
	23:3	11:22;13:8	item (1)	18:17;19:19,20;20:1,
16:12	hearing (1) 15:4	increasing (1) 12:21	3:6 items (1)	16
	13.71	17.71	Trems(I)	LID/Cman (1)
fully (1) 13:16	hearings (1)	incurring (1)	27:19	LID/Green (1) 4:14


	T			August 15, 2014
lie (1)	measure (2)	municipalities (1)	occasions (1)	
18:4	10:8;24:18	20:2	12:19	
lies (1)	measures (1)	must (3)	1	P
18:8	15:22		occur (1)	
		12:15;13:15;16:2	5:4	part (1)
likely (1)	media (5)		October (4)	25:5
15:21	4:10;6:4,5,21;13:12	N	26:16,18;29:3,11	partial (1)
limited (2)	meet (2)		offer (2)	10:4
15:14;20:13	16:2;29:2	namely (1)	17:12;22:13	particular (1)
line (6)	meeting (12)	6:6	officials (1)	24:8
9:15,18;25:14,16,17,	3:2,7,14;4:4;6:2;	nation (5)	19:17	particularly (1)
19	27:10,16,20;28:22;	15:13;16:16;19:3;	once (3)	20:11
lines (1)	29:3,10,23	26:1,3	13:13;23:12;25:13	
11:5	meetings (2)	national (5)	one (14)	partners (1)
Lobby (1)	20:9;27:14	9:14;10:5,6;15:15;	5:18;7:1,1;8:7;10:9;	20:13
18:14	meets (1)	25:15	19:1;20:7;21:16;23:20;	partnership (2)
local (8)	10:11	natural (2)	24:16;25:3,22;27:19;	20:18,20
19:5,17;20:3,5,22;	MEMBER (1)	16:10;18:20	28:5	partnerships (1)
21:13,21;23:9	26:16			20:11
look (4)	members (3)	nature (1) 18:19	ones (2)	passes (1)
			24:5,23	29:21
6:4,13;12:5;27:12	3:18;28:19;29:19	negative (1)	only (8)	past (4)
looked (1)	mentioned (1)	20:4	7:5,16,18;9:2;10:22;	6:5;8:23;17:18;20:8
6:3	26:12	negligible (1)	16:8;18:2,8	penalties (1)
Looking (2)	Metrics (1)	17:9	operate (1)	12:15
5:7;12:1	5:22	new (2)	19:21	people (1)
Low (5)	Michigan (1)	5:5;17:20	operating (1)	22:5
18:16,17;19:4,11,14	7:9	Next (7)	14:15	percent (10)
low-impact (1)	MILLER (4)	3:23;26:23;27:4;	opinion (1)	9:15,17;10:8,21,23;
17:12	23:20,22;25:4,10	28:22;29:2,6,10	17:2	23:23;24:1,3,5;25:12
	mind (1)	non-compliance (24)	opportunities (1)	percentage (10)
M	10:20	6:12;10:17,19;11:2,	22:2	10:16;11:1,9,10,13;
	minimal (1)	3,11,14;12:4,7,20;13:6;	opposed (1)	
maintain (1)	5:14	24:1,2,4,9,11,12,13,18;	3:21	12:23;13:5;24:10,17;
5:14	minimize (1)	25:1,15,17;26:7,8	option (1)	26:5
major (5)	18:19	non-major (2)	18:8	performance (3)
5:4;8:6,22;9:12;	minutes (3)	13:19,22	options (1)	6:1,15;17:20
24:15	3:7,8,13	non-majors (3)	18:3	period (1)
majors (8)	moment (1)	8:10;9:5;14:4	orange (6)	12:10
7:17,18;9:3;10:15,	22:23			Permit (4)
16,21;24:10;26:6	moments (1)	nonpoint (1) 19:1	8:12;10:19;11:15;	8:12,14;9:13;19:23
	22:23	,	12:13;25:5,20	permits (4)
makers (1)	•	nonsignificant (1)	order (5)	8:7,10,16;9:6
20:4	monetary (1)	24:12	3:4;5:13;27:20,22;	PHILLIPS (6)
making (1)	12:15	note (2)	28:15	26:9,12,19;27:7,9;
22:16	month (1)	9:19;11:12	orders (1)	29:15
Management (4)	18:15	noted (3)	28:11	place (1)
3:3;4:5;16:22;23:16	more (7)	8:23;9:11;13:18	organizations (3)	20:10
manual (1)	6:14;7:7,12;8:13;	notes (1)	5:13;21:21;23:9	planners (1)
19:12	12:22;18:11;20:14	29:9	original (1)	19:16
many (3)	morning (4)	NPDES (2)	21:17	planning (1)
13:9,23;16:7	3:2;4:2,3;27:16	6:18;14:6	others (2)	18:21
map (2)	most (1)	number (9)	7:10;20:19	plans (1)
6:20,23	4:15	6:18,23;8:2;12:9,18;	out (3)	
markets (1)	motion (2)	13:8;15:8;19:1;26:5	5:1;10:15;13:12	14:13
23:8	3:11;29:13	numerous (1)	outside (1)	plant (1)
match (1)	Move (1)	23:8	15:22	15:22
21:16	3:12	23.0	outstanding (1)	plants (1)
matters (2)	moved (1)	O	5:2	18:4
4:9;18:14	29:15	<u> </u>		playing (1)
Maximum (1)	MS4 (1)	objective (1)	over (5)	23:5
17:21	19:23	objective (1)	6:20;9:17;10:23;	please (1)
	1	5:23	14:1;21:1	22:7
may (2)	much (2)	obligations (1)	overall (2)	pleased (1)
22:19;23:1	13:18;20:14	5:2	9:20;10:22	23:18
means (4)	municipal (1)	observations (1)	own (1)	pm (1)
5:23;24:14,19;28:20	19:22	9:11	20:15	28:8
*******	1	1	1	I

				August 15, 2014
policy (5)	provided (6)	22:7	represented (1)	sooure (1)
15:10,13,16;16:16,	11:20;19:8,19;20:1;	recognizes (1)	10:2	secure (1)
21	21:3,10		1	21:15
	,	14:18	require (2)	seeking (2)
political (1)	provides (1)	recommendation (2)	14:13;18:1	8:16;15:12
17:5	19:12	28:2,13	required (4)	separate (2)
polluted (1)	public (11)	recurring (1)	7:21;10:1;13:19;	19:22;25:8
19:6	4:11;14:10,12;15:4;	20:7	14:7	September (2)
pollution (1)	20:21;21:5,22;22:20;	recycling (11)	requirement (2)	28:8,21
19:1	23:6,10;28:3	4:17;20:21;21:2,6,7,	10:12;18:9	sequestration (1)
populated (1)	publicly (1)	11,16;22:1,2,11;23:9	requires (1)	18:7
13:16	14:22	red (3)	7:16	serve (1)
populations (1)	purple (1)	11:5;25:16,19	1 1 1 1 1	
7:10	10:2		requiring (1)	23:17
		reduce (2)	18:3	service (4)
portion (4)	pursuant (1)	12:20;14:14	reserved (1)	21:5;22:20;23:7,10
8:5,9,12;27:14	28:1	reduction (3)	15:17	services (1)
possible (1)		4:12;17:8,13	resolved (1)	5:15
5:11	Q	reductions (3)	14:5	serving (2)
power (1)		16:1;18:1,3	resource (2)	23:13,14
18:4	quality (2)	referenced (2)	18:20;19:15	session (1)
practice (2)	19:2,7	27:18;28:13	resources (4)	24:21
15:20;18:9	quarter (2)	referring (1)	8:18;19:5,9;20:14	set (2)
practices (1)	4:20;12:11	25:3	respectively (1)	16:21;28:7
18:1	quorum (1)	regarding (4)	11:6	
prepare (1)	3:5			sets (1)
4:23		4:11;14:12;16:20;	response (2)	10:15
	quote (4)	28:4	3:22;29:7	setting (2)
presence (1)	15:22,23;17:3,6	regardless (1)	rest (1)	8:19;15:10
3:4		16:11	26:1	several (2)
present (2)	R	regular (1)	revenues (1)	4:8;9:10
4:4;9:6		29:3	4:21	sewer (1)
presented (1)	radio (1)	regulate (1)	RICHARDSON (3)	19:22
13:14	21:19	15:9	3:12;25:11;29:16	shall (1)
previous (1)	rate (9)	regulated (10)	Right (1)	28:15
13:3	8:21;9:17,20;10:1,8,	6:7,18,23;7:7,12;8:1,	25:4	show (2)
previously (1)	12;11:18;12:2;13:4	3,19;12:2,16	room (1)	22:23;23:3
18:22	rates (1)	rejected (1)	29:12	
prior (3)	6:9	18:5		showed (1)
24:16;29:1,2		1	rule (6)	23:23
	reaching (1)	related (2)	4:12;14:6,17;27:17,	shown (11)
priorities (1)	15:19	4:9;13:21	17;28:7	9:15,18;10:17,19;
5:3	read (1)	relations (1)	Rulemaking (6)	11:4,14;12:12,13;13:4,
private (1)	27:21	20:21	27:5,12,15,23;28:9;	6;28:17
21:22	reads (2)	relative (2)	29:1	shows (5)
problem (1)	15:5;27:22	26:13;27:17	rulemakings (1)	6:17;8:6,9,12,21
14:3	really (1)	relatively (1)	17:19	side (1)
production (1)	25:5	12:23	rules (1)	5:9
21:9	reason (2)	reliability (1)	15:8	significance (1)
productive (1)	5:3;7:1	16:15	ruling (1)	17:6
20:10	reasonably (1)	reliable (2)	17:2	significant (8)
program (5)	13:7	9:2,4		
8:15;9:1;10:11;	1		run (1)	10:18;11:3,14;13:6;
	reasons (1)	remind (1)	23:7	24:2,13;25:16;26:7
12:10;17:13	7:2	13:13	runoff (4)	signify (2)
progress (1)	recall (1)	rendered (1)	18:20,23;19:6;20:5	3:19;29:20
9:1	27:11	28:16		similar (2)
promote (2)	receive (1)	report (9)	S	17:14,19
4:16;5:20	23:9	4:1,7;7:18,20,21;		site (2)
promoting (1)	recent (4)	24:19;27:1,2,4	same (2)	6:16;18:20
13:11	4:11,15;15:3;20:20	reported (1)	12:21;26:4	situation (1)
proposal (3)	recently (1)	13:20	Second (5)	7:15
14:13,16;15:7	5:20	reporting (3)	3:15;6:22;8:2;9:19;	size (3)
proposed (7)	recognition (1)	7:16;11:21;14:3	29:16	6:7;7:23;12:1
4:12;14:5,19;15:8;	11:20	reports (1)		1
16:5,17;28:6	f .	14:1	section (3)	slide (8)
	recognize (1)		25:5,6;27:13	6:17;8:2,21;10:15;
protects (1)	27:6	representations (1)	sections (3)	12:4;13:2;23:22;24:8
19:5	recognized (1)	16:3	27:18;28:5,7	slides (2)
			1	

Commission Meeting	T			August 15, 201
13:3;24:17	18:19,23;19:6,22;	11:19	15:13	worth (1)
slightly (2)	20:5	tip-of-stack (2)	use (2)	21:18
9:17;10:23	strategy (3)	17:23;18:6	5:20;21:4	worthwhile (1)
so-called (1)	9:23;11:18;12:20	Today (3)	using (1)	11:12
7:17	submit (3)	6:4;22:4;27:3	15:22	written (1)
solicit (1)	14:8,13;18:11	today's (1)	utilities (2)	28:3
28:2	submitted (1)	4:7	4:14;14:16	26.3
solicited (1)	28:9	together (2)	utilize (1)	Y
14:12	successful (1)			I
Solid (1)	19:3	24:3;25:9	12:22	
22:9	ŧ.	took (1)	utilizing (1)	year (16)
	supervisory (1)	24:8	8:14	4:6,18,20;5:1,6,7,18;
sort (1)	15:2	top (1)	T 7	8:5,8,11;9:9,10,14;
24:22	support (1)	25:14	V	10:4,10;21:1
Source (2)	18:16	topics (1)		year-end (1)
17:20;19:1	supposed (1)	4:9	value (1)	10:7
sources (7)	24:21	total (4)	20:9	yellow (1)
13:17,19,22;14:1;	Supreme (1)	6:17;11:11;12:8;	various (1)	8:9
16:2,12;18:8	17:1	26:6	19:14	you-all (1)
spark (1)	symbolic (2)	totals (1)	vast (2)	22:17
17:14	17:11,13	8:4	17:5,15	
speak (1)	system (3)	training (2)	Vaughn (1)	1
17:3	9:3;11:21;19:22	12:16;24:20	22:6	
spite (1)	,,.,.,	tremendous (1)	ventured (1)	1 (1)
16:3	T	19:15	17:23	15:8
spots (1)		trend (2)		
21:19	talking (1)	8:15;11:15	Videotape (1) 23:5	1,397 (1)
Stacy (1)				8:9
	28:22	Tuscaloosa (3)	violations (1)	10,000 (1)
22:5	tantamount (1)	21:4,12;22:8	11:20	6:20
stakeholders (1)	15:10	twelve (1)	Visual (1)	10,245 (1)
19:11	target (1)	8:11	5:21	8:4
stand (1)	4:21	two (8)		100 (3)
22:7	task (1)	13:3;14:9;15:12;	W	10:7,20;25:11
standard (1)	22:17	18:5;24:3,14;25:9;		11 (1)
10:5	technical (1)	28:14	Waste (1)	29:11
standards (5)	19:9	types (2)	22:9	11:36 (1)
8:20;16:5,18;17:21,	Technology (1)	16:12;19:14	Water (10)	30:1
22	17:22		4:10;6:5,21;8:3;	111d (2)
start (1)	television (1)	U	10:11;12:10;13:12;	14:17;17:18
29:11	21:19		19:2,5,7	15 (2)
Starting (1)	ten (1)	under (1)	way (1)	24:1,5
22:15	21:13	19:21	11:7	
State (16)	thanks (2)			150 (1)
		undertake (1)	ways (1)	6:14
4:22;5:10,13;9:22;	23:13;26:19	5:12	13:9	15th (3)
20:23;21:14,21;22:3,	themes (1)	unfunded (1)	Web (1)	28:8,17,21
22;23:8,14,17;24:16;	20:7	5:2	6:16	16th (2)
25:19,20,23	Therefore (2)	United (4)	welcome (1)	26:17,18
stated (2)	13:20;14:21	15:11;17:1,9,12	4:3	17th (2)
12:18;17:7	third (2)	Units (1)	whereas (1)	29:3,11
statement (3)	8:21;12:11	16:6	26:4	190 (2)
14:23;15:5;16:23	thoughts (1)	universe (7)	wishes (1)	8:6;24:16
states (26)	29:1	6:7;8:1;10:17,21;	17:4	
6:19,22;7:6,8,18,19,	three (6)	11:1,9;12:2	work (3)	2
21;9:18,21;10:6,22;	7:6;15:6,19;21:15;	unlike (2)	9:1;18:1,9	
11:4,10;13:17,21,23;	27:19;28:5	9:22;13:23	worked (2)	2010 (2)
14:2,7,13;15:11;16:1,	throughout (2)	up (5)	19:9;21:14	12:10;26:2
7;17:1,9,12;26:3	16:16;19:3	5:8;8:4,7;13:5;24:14	working (3)	2014 (11)
statewide (2)	thus (2)	1		
21:7,18		update (1)	9:4;20:18,20	3:8,13;4:6,18;9:9;
41./,10	7:18;16:16 Tiblier (1)	4:7	works (2)	10:4;12:11;17:1;28:8,
	: couerill	Updated (1)	18:19;21:8	18;29:11
statutory (1)		4.10		
statutory (1) 15:21	22:10	4:10	worldwide (1)	2015 (1)
statutory (1) 15:21 still (4)	22:10 tight (1)	upgrade (1)	17:10	5:8
statutory (1) 15:21	22:10	1		

Commission Meeting			August 15, 2014
23 (1) 16:23			
3			
30 (1) 23:23			
335-2-3-05 (2) 27:13;28:4			
4			
45 (2) 24:3,14			
5			
5 (1) 28:8 50 (3) 9:15,17;10:23 500 (1) 20:2 528 (1) 8:13			
8	-		
8,658 (1) 8:12 81 (1) 19:20			
9			
9,669 (1) 8:4			
		<u> </u>	





AGENDA*

MEETING OF THE

ALABAMA ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT COMMISSION

DATE: August 15, 2014 TIME: 11:00 a.m.

LOCATION: Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM) Building

Alabama Room (Main Conference Room)

1400 Coliseum Boulevard

Montgomery, Alabama 36110-2400

	<u>ITEM</u>	PAGE
1.	Consideration of minutes of meeting held on June 20, 2014**	2
2.	Report from the Director	2
3.	Report from the Commission Chair	2
4.	Report from the Rulemaking Committee	2
5.	Other business	2
6.	Future business session	2

^{*} The Agenda for this meeting will be available on the ADEM website, www.adem.alabama.gov, under Environmental Management Commission.

^{**} The Minutes for this meeting will be available on the ADEM website under Environmental Management Commission.

AEMC Meeting Agenda

Page 2

- 1. CONSIDERATION OF MINUTES OF MEETING HELD ON JUNE 20, 2014
- 2. REPORT FROM THE DIRECTOR
- 3. <u>REPORT FROM THE COMMISSION CHAIR</u>
- 4. REPORT FROM THE RULEMAKING COMMITTEE
- 5. <u>OTHER BUSINESS</u>
- 6. <u>FUTURE BUSINESS SESSION</u>