Minutes
Environmental Management Commission Meeting
Alabama Department of Environmental Management Building
1400 Coliseum Boulevard
Montgomery, Alabama 36110-2400
February 24, 2012

This is to certify that the Minutes contained herein are a true and accurate account of actions taken by the Alabama Environmental Management Commission on February 24, 2012.

HH H. Lester, D.V.M.

Chair

Environmental Management Commission

Certified this 20th day of April 2012.

Minutes

Environmental Management Commission Meeting Alabama Department of Environmental Management Building 1400 Coliseum Boulevard Montgomery, Alabama 36110-2400 February 24, 2012

Convened:

11:00 a.m.

Adjourned:

11:42 a.m.

Part A

Transcript Word Index

Part B

Attachment Index Attachments 1 – 8

Part A

	imission Meeting			
	Page 1			Page 3
1	ALABAMA ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT	1	(The hearing begins at 11:00 a.m.)	
2	COMMISSION MEETING	2		
3		3	CHAIRMAN LESTER: If you-all	
4		4	would turn your mics on so she can get it.	
5		5	COURT REPORTER: Thank you.	
6		6	CHAIRMAN LESTER: At this	
7		7	time we will ask our director for his	
8		8	report.	
9	ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL	9	MR. LeFLEUR: Good morning,	
10	MANAGEMENT	10	Commissioners. Good morning to all.	
11	Alabama Room		Welcome to all of you present at this third	
12	1400 Coliseum Boulevard		meeting of the Alabama Environmental	
13	Montgomery, Alabama 36110-2400	1	Management Commission for fiscal year	
14	February 24, 2012		2012.	
15	11:00 a.m.	15	We are approaching the halfway	
16			mark for the current fiscal year and one	
17		1	item continues to eclipse all other items	
18			for ADEM, as it does for every other agency	
19		1	in state government, and that is the	
20		(budget.	
21		21	We're engaged in a struggle to	
22		22	fulfill our mission when faced with reduced	
23	Taken by: Victoria M. Castillo, CCR# 17	23	funding for the balance of the current	
1				
	Page 2			Page 4
1	Page 2 APPEARANCES	1	fiscal year, and worse funding prospects in	Page 4
1 2			fiscal year, and worse funding prospects in the upcoming year. I'm aware that I've	Page 4
		2	the upcoming year. I'm aware that I've	Page 4
2	APPEARANCES	2	the upcoming year. I'm aware that I've been delivering this same message for the	Page 4
2	A P P E A R A N C E S COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:	2 3 4	the upcoming year. I'm aware that I've been delivering this same message for the last several Commission meetings, and it's	Page 4
2 3 4	A P P E A R A N C E S COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT: John H. Lester, D.V.M., Chair	2 3 4	the upcoming year. I'm aware that I've been delivering this same message for the last several Commission meetings, and it's beginning to sound like a broken record.	Page 4
2 3 4 5	A P P E A R A N C E S COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT: John H. Lester, D.V.M., Chair Terry D. Richardson, Ph.D.	2 3 4 5 6	the upcoming year. I'm aware that I've been delivering this same message for the last several Commission meetings, and it's beginning to sound like a broken record. While these are dire financial	Page 4
2 3 4 5 6	A P P E A R A N C E S COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT: John H. Lester, D.V.M., Chair Terry D. Richardson, Ph.D. Samuel L. Miller, M.D.	2 3 4 5 6 7	the upcoming year. I'm aware that I've been delivering this same message for the last several Commission meetings, and it's beginning to sound like a broken record. While these are dire financial times and our budget will continue to	Page 4
2 3 4 5 6 7	A P P E A R A N C E S COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT: John H. Lester, D.V.M., Chair Terry D. Richardson, Ph.D. Samuel L. Miller, M.D. W. Scott Phillips	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the upcoming year. I'm aware that I've been delivering this same message for the last several Commission meetings, and it's beginning to sound like a broken record. While these are dire financial times and our budget will continue to dictate what this Department can achieve,	Page 4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A P P E A R A N C E S COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT: John H. Lester, D.V.M., Chair Terry D. Richardson, Ph.D. Samuel L. Miller, M.D. W. Scott Phillips	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the upcoming year. I'm aware that I've been delivering this same message for the last several Commission meetings, and it's beginning to sound like a broken record. While these are dire financial times and our budget will continue to dictate what this Department can achieve, we are nonetheless committed to peak	Page 4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A P P E A R A N C E S COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT: John H. Lester, D.V.M., Chair Terry D. Richardson, Ph.D. Samuel L. Miller, M.D. W. Scott Phillips James E. Laier, Ph.D., P.E.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the upcoming year. I'm aware that I've been delivering this same message for the last several Commission meetings, and it's beginning to sound like a broken record. While these are dire financial times and our budget will continue to dictate what this Department can achieve,	Page 4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A P P E A R A N C E S COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT: John H. Lester, D.V.M., Chair Terry D. Richardson, Ph.D. Samuel L. Miller, M.D. W. Scott Phillips James E. Laier, Ph.D., P.E. COMMISSION MEMBERS NOT PRESENT:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the upcoming year. I'm aware that I've been delivering this same message for the last several Commission meetings, and it's beginning to sound like a broken record. While these are dire financial times and our budget will continue to dictate what this Department can achieve, we are nonetheless committed to peak performance with whatever resources are	Page 4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A P P E A R A N C E S COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT: John H. Lester, D.V.M., Chair Terry D. Richardson, Ph.D. Samuel L. Miller, M.D. W. Scott Phillips James E. Laier, Ph.D., P.E. COMMISSION MEMBERS NOT PRESENT:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the upcoming year. I'm aware that I've been delivering this same message for the last several Commission meetings, and it's beginning to sound like a broken record. While these are dire financial times and our budget will continue to dictate what this Department can achieve, we are nonetheless committed to peak performance with whatever resources are available.	Page 4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A P P E A R A N C E S COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT: John H. Lester, D.V.M., Chair Terry D. Richardson, Ph.D. Samuel L. Miller, M.D. W. Scott Phillips James E. Laier, Ph.D., P.E. COMMISSION MEMBERS NOT PRESENT: H. Lanier Brown, II, Esquire, Vice Chair	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the upcoming year. I'm aware that I've been delivering this same message for the last several Commission meetings, and it's beginning to sound like a broken record. While these are dire financial times and our budget will continue to dictate what this Department can achieve, we are nonetheless committed to peak performance with whatever resources are available. In today's report I first want	Page 4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A P P E A R A N C E S COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT: John H. Lester, D.V.M., Chair Terry D. Richardson, Ph.D. Samuel L. Miller, M.D. W. Scott Phillips James E. Laier, Ph.D., P.E. COMMISSION MEMBERS NOT PRESENT: H. Lanier Brown, II, Esquire, Vice Chair ALSO PRESENT:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the upcoming year. I'm aware that I've been delivering this same message for the last several Commission meetings, and it's beginning to sound like a broken record. While these are dire financial times and our budget will continue to dictate what this Department can achieve, we are nonetheless committed to peak performance with whatever resources are available. In today's report I first want to make you aware of our financial	Page 4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A P P E A R A N C E S COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT: John H. Lester, D.V.M., Chair Terry D. Richardson, Ph.D. Samuel L. Miller, M.D. W. Scott Phillips James E. Laier, Ph.D., P.E. COMMISSION MEMBERS NOT PRESENT: H. Lanier Brown, II, Esquire, Vice Chair ALSO PRESENT: Robert Tambling, EMC Legal Counsel	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the upcoming year. I'm aware that I've been delivering this same message for the last several Commission meetings, and it's beginning to sound like a broken record. While these are dire financial times and our budget will continue to dictate what this Department can achieve, we are nonetheless committed to peak performance with whatever resources are available. In today's report I first want to make you aware of our financial situation as best we now know it. In	Page 4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT: John H. Lester, D.V.M., Chair Terry D. Richardson, Ph.D. Samuel L. Miller, M.D. W. Scott Phillips James E. Laier, Ph.D., P.E. COMMISSION MEMBERS NOT PRESENT: H. Lanier Brown, II, Esquire, Vice Chair ALSO PRESENT: Robert Tambling, EMC Legal Counsel Debi Thomas, EMC Executive Assistant	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the upcoming year. I'm aware that I've been delivering this same message for the last several Commission meetings, and it's beginning to sound like a broken record. While these are dire financial times and our budget will continue to dictate what this Department can achieve, we are nonetheless committed to peak performance with whatever resources are available. In today's report I first want to make you aware of our financial situation as best we now know it. In previous reports I have focused on some of	Page 4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT: John H. Lester, D.V.M., Chair Terry D. Richardson, Ph.D. Samuel L. Miller, M.D. W. Scott Phillips James E. Laier, Ph.D., P.E. COMMISSION MEMBERS NOT PRESENT: H. Lanier Brown, II, Esquire, Vice Chair ALSO PRESENT: Robert Tambling, EMC Legal Counsel Debi Thomas, EMC Executive Assistant	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the upcoming year. I'm aware that I've been delivering this same message for the last several Commission meetings, and it's beginning to sound like a broken record. While these are dire financial times and our budget will continue to dictate what this Department can achieve, we are nonetheless committed to peak performance with whatever resources are available. In today's report I first want to make you aware of our financial situation as best we now know it. In previous reports I have focused on some of the actions we are taking to become more	Page 4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT: John H. Lester, D.V.M., Chair Terry D. Richardson, Ph.D. Samuel L. Miller, M.D. W. Scott Phillips James E. Laier, Ph.D., P.E. COMMISSION MEMBERS NOT PRESENT: H. Lanier Brown, II, Esquire, Vice Chair ALSO PRESENT: Robert Tambling, EMC Legal Counsel Debi Thomas, EMC Executive Assistant	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the upcoming year. I'm aware that I've been delivering this same message for the last several Commission meetings, and it's beginning to sound like a broken record. While these are dire financial times and our budget will continue to dictate what this Department can achieve, we are nonetheless committed to peak performance with whatever resources are available. In today's report I first want to make you aware of our financial situation as best we now know it. In previous reports I have focused on some of the actions we are taking to become more efficient and perform our duties with fewer	Page 4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT: John H. Lester, D.V.M., Chair Terry D. Richardson, Ph.D. Samuel L. Miller, M.D. W. Scott Phillips James E. Laier, Ph.D., P.E. COMMISSION MEMBERS NOT PRESENT: H. Lanier Brown, II, Esquire, Vice Chair ALSO PRESENT: Robert Tambling, EMC Legal Counsel Debi Thomas, EMC Executive Assistant	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the upcoming year. I'm aware that I've been delivering this same message for the last several Commission meetings, and it's beginning to sound like a broken record. While these are dire financial times and our budget will continue to dictate what this Department can achieve, we are nonetheless committed to peak performance with whatever resources are available. In today's report I first want to make you aware of our financial situation as best we now know it. In previous reports I have focused on some of the actions we are taking to become more efficient and perform our duties with fewer resources. These actions include increased	Page 4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT: John H. Lester, D.V.M., Chair Terry D. Richardson, Ph.D. Samuel L. Miller, M.D. W. Scott Phillips James E. Laier, Ph.D., P.E. COMMISSION MEMBERS NOT PRESENT: H. Lanier Brown, II, Esquire, Vice Chair ALSO PRESENT: Robert Tambling, EMC Legal Counsel Debi Thomas, EMC Executive Assistant	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the upcoming year. I'm aware that I've been delivering this same message for the last several Commission meetings, and it's beginning to sound like a broken record. While these are dire financial times and our budget will continue to dictate what this Department can achieve, we are nonetheless committed to peak performance with whatever resources are available. In today's report I first want to make you aware of our financial situation as best we now know it. In previous reports I have focused on some of the actions we are taking to become more efficient and perform our duties with fewer resources. These actions include increased automation, streamlining enforcement, and	Page 4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT: John H. Lester, D.V.M., Chair Terry D. Richardson, Ph.D. Samuel L. Miller, M.D. W. Scott Phillips James E. Laier, Ph.D., P.E. COMMISSION MEMBERS NOT PRESENT: H. Lanier Brown, II, Esquire, Vice Chair ALSO PRESENT: Robert Tambling, EMC Legal Counsel Debi Thomas, EMC Executive Assistant	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the upcoming year. I'm aware that I've been delivering this same message for the last several Commission meetings, and it's beginning to sound like a broken record. While these are dire financial times and our budget will continue to dictate what this Department can achieve, we are nonetheless committed to peak performance with whatever resources are available. In today's report I first want to make you aware of our financial situation as best we now know it. In previous reports I have focused on some of the actions we are taking to become more efficient and perform our duties with fewer resources. These actions include increased automation, streamlining enforcement, and developing general permits.	Page 4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT: John H. Lester, D.V.M., Chair Terry D. Richardson, Ph.D. Samuel L. Miller, M.D. W. Scott Phillips James E. Laier, Ph.D., P.E. COMMISSION MEMBERS NOT PRESENT: H. Lanier Brown, II, Esquire, Vice Chair ALSO PRESENT: Robert Tambling, EMC Legal Counsel Debi Thomas, EMC Executive Assistant	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the upcoming year. I'm aware that I've been delivering this same message for the last several Commission meetings, and it's beginning to sound like a broken record. While these are dire financial times and our budget will continue to dictate what this Department can achieve, we are nonetheless committed to peak performance with whatever resources are available. In today's report I first want to make you aware of our financial situation as best we now know it. In previous reports I have focused on some of the actions we are taking to become more efficient and perform our duties with fewer resources. These actions include increased automation, streamlining enforcement, and developing general permits. Second, following an update of	Page 4

	mmission Meeting			February	24, 2012
	<u> </u>	Page 5			Page 7
1	highlight some of the more specialized but		1	projects that we will be able to fund in	
	important areas where we are making great			2013. In light of congressional concerns	
1	strides, even in these difficult times.			regarding EPA activities, it's entirely	
4	First the financial situation.			possible the final 2013 fiscal year	
5	Although we are nearly halfway through FY		1	congressional budget will include larger	
	'12, there remain two budgetary unknowns		1	cuts to EPA, and thus larger cuts to ADEM.	
	one with our federal funding, and one with		7	In the proposed FY 2013 state	
	our general fund allotment. As you no		8	general fund budget that Governor Bentley	
- 1	doubt recall, departmental funding comes		l .	recently sent to the legislature, he	
	from federal grants, departmental fees, and		1	suggested a 20 percent reduction in our	
1	state general funds.		1	appropriation. We are now at the point	
12	Current indications are that		1	where any net decrease in our general fund	
13	federal funding for our continuing		13		
14	programs, except the 319 Nonpoint Source		14	will result in the loss of federal funds	
15	Program, will drop back to 2010 levels;		15	due to our inability to provide match	
16	however, even this is not certain because			funds. If this recommended cut stands, the	
- 1	our major federal grants are being funded		l .	compounding effect on the federal match	
18	at a much slower rate than normal while			funds can dramatically reduce our overall	
19	EPA's operating budget is undergoing a		19	funding for FY 2013.	
20	second review by the Office of Management		20	We've already been in talks with	
21	and Budget.		21	the legislative leadership regarding our	
22	As for the second unknown, our		22	general fund budget. They've been	
23	FY '12 general fund allotment, we've been		23	receptive to our concerns, and we will	
		Page 6			Page 8
1	notified to expect a possible additional		1	continue to work closely with them and	
	cut for proration on top of the \$1.1			other members of the legislature over the	
	million reduction already reflected in the			coming months to ensure that we are	
	FY '12 general fund budget. Until we know		1	fiscally able to maintain operations. At	
	for certain what additional what		1	the same time, we will continue to evaluate	
6	additional cuts will materialize, I cannot			every part of the Department for new	
7	report for certain what actions the		7	efficiencies and for noncritical functions	
8	Department will take to absorb them. At		8	that can be eliminated. We can expect this	
9	this point we do believe that the worst of		9	process to result in substantial changes to	-
10	the potential impact will be mitigated by		10	our programs. If at all possible, we will	
11	our previously announced 19 percent fee		11	avoid cost reductions that may result in	
12	increase.		12	state permitting authority being rescinded	
13	We are now well into the FY 2013		13	and turned over to EPA.	İ
14	budget process. On the federal side,		14	Let me briefly mention one new	
15	President Obama proposed fairly modest		15	cost recovery initiative that has recently	İ
16	funding cuts to EPA, although all beach		16	been implemented in our Emergency Response	e
17	monitoring funding would be eliminated as		17	Program. Historically, the Department has	

18 not recovered emergency response costs from

19 the responsible parties, except in the

22 for spills and other environmental

20 largest response efforts such as the BP oil

21 spill. We have now begun recovering costs

23 emergencies from the responsible parties in

18 well as a portion of the 319 Program. For

20 \$260,000 previously allocated to beach

21 monitoring and \$216,000 less in the 319

22 Program. The 319 cut will further reduce

23 the number of restoration and environmental

19 ADEM, these would amount to eliminating the

	minission Meeting		February 24, 201
	Pa	ge 9	Page 1
1	virtually all cases where the response	١,	Tire Fund.
	effort requires more than one day.		
3	m .	-	enlisted the assistance of county
4	that we still believe the adoption of the		• •
	19 percent permit fee increase, along with		s effectiveness of scrap tire cleanup by
	the continued streamlining of operations		entering into agreements whereby funds are
- 1	and the newly implemented Cost Recovery	7	
	Program, will allow us to meet the	٤	
	financial challenges in FY 2012 and	1 7	of scrap tires from the right-of-ways
	possibly in FY 2013 without compromising		maintained by the local government.
	our mission to assure for the citizens of	11	
	the state a safe, healthful, and productive		have already entered into the agreements.
13	· ·	13	most t
14			fight the scrap tire problem and is an
1	allow me to update you on a few areas where	- 1	extremely efficient use of scarce dollars.
	we have been experiencing some success,	16	
	even in these difficult budget times.	- 1	evaluating the potential for establishing
18			scrap tire collection sites in each county,
ļ	successful programs has been the		with funding for the proper disposal or
- 1	environmental cleanup effort supported by		recycling of the tires collected at these
	the Scrap Tire Fund. The fund was first		sites provided by the Scrap Tire Fund.
	created by an act of the legislature to	22	
	first clean up illegal scrap tire dumps,	ľ	the scrap tire problem in the state is to
	mst cream up megar scrup the dumps,	23	the scrap the problem in the state is to
	Page	10	Page 12
1	and second to promote the beneficial reuse	1	develop an after-market for scrap tires so
2	of scrap tires to prevent the illegal dumps	I .	there is incentive not to dump them. This
3	from reappearing.	I .	is somewhat analogous to what has been done
4	Over the past five years, a		with aluminum cans. Jump-starting the
5	total of 69 sites have been remediated		beneficial reuse of scrap tires has been
6	utilizing private sector contractors paid		more challenging than the remediation of
7	primarily by the Scrap Tire Fund. Of these		dump sites.
8	69 sites, four were classified as large	8	One significant hurdle has been
9	sites. The smallest of these large sites	9	the inability of the private sector to find
- 1	contained 70,000 tires, while the largest	1	an economically viable business model to
11	contained some 4 million scrap tires. The	i	undertake the initial step of transporting
12	cost to remediate each of these sites	12	in scrap tires and reducing them to a
13	varies, but the largest ranged up to nearly	13	shredded form. A reliable supply of
14	\$4 million. There are three known large	14	prepared tire material is necessary for
15	sites remaining to be cleaned up in the	15	potential end-users to consider investing
16	state. The Scrap Tire Fund will provide		in further processing.
17	the means to remediate these sites.	17	To overcome this problem, the
18		18	Department has reached out to the Alabama
1	The remaining 65 sites cleaned	1.0	= open mile in mas reactive out to the reaction
119	The remaining 65 sites cleaned up were classified as small scrap tire	19	Department of Corrections and a municipally
	-		Department of Corrections and a municipally
20 21	up were classified as small scrap tire remediation sites, meaning they contained less than 25,000 scrap tires. ADEM has so	19	Department of Corrections and a municipally operated landfill to partner with them in
20 21 22	up were classified as small scrap tire remediation sites, meaning they contained less than 25,000 scrap tires. ADEM has so far identified an additional seven small	19 20	Department of Corrections and a municipally operated landfill to partner with them in pilot projects to undertake this crucial
20 21 22	up were classified as small scrap tire remediation sites, meaning they contained less than 25,000 scrap tires. ADEM has so	19 20 21 22	Department of Corrections and a municipally operated landfill to partner with them in pilot projects to undertake this crucial

	CO	mmission Meeting		redruary	y 24, 2012
		Page 13			Page 15
	1	in an effort to build private/public sector	1	research and will likely be sending out	
,	l	ventures. Scrap tire funds have been		additional general notice letters to other	
	1	committed to obtain heavy duty shredders to	1	companies in the area.	
		facilitate undertaking this necessary	4	Since the enforcement action	
	ŀ		5		
	6	ADEM is also working with	6		
	7	potential end-users to help build demand	7		
		for recycled tire material. One such	8		
	ı	effort involves working with ALDOT to	وا	Over the past several months,	
		implement the use of rubber modified	10	17577 (1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
		asphalt for paving projects and for the use	1	groups in the north Birmingham area, along	
		of processed scrap tire material in		with interested environmental organizations	
- 1		engineered uses, such as road related	13		
•		structural fill projects.		this process. Because of this near	
- 1	15	Stimulating both supply and		universal support for our participation,	
- 1		demand with these types of projects is what		ADEM has indicated to EPA that we will	
- 1		was envisioned when the market development	1	partner with them in these activities.	
- 1		monies were set aside in the Alabama Scrap	18	We hope that by taking an active	
- 1		Tire Environmental Quality Act.	1	role in this process, ADEM can bring this	
	20	Moving on now to north		action to a timely conclusion and achieve	
	21	Birmingham. There has been some recent		an outcome that ensures a safe, healthful,	
- 1		activity to report on industrial pollution		and productive environment in the north	
		in the north Birmingham area. As you are		Birmingham area.	
		·			
		Page 14			Page 16
	1	aware, there continues to be a great deal	1	Let me move on now to the	
	2	of attention given to legacy environmental	2	landfill moratorium in effect in Alabama.	
	3	issues in the north Birmingham area. This	3	In the 2011 session of the Alabama	
-	4	area has been heavily industrialized since	4	Legislature, legislation was adopted	
	5	the 19th century, and there is concern that	5	declaring a two-year moratorium on the	
	6	unsafe levels of substances may be present	6	permitting of certain new or expanded	
	7	in the soil.	7	landfills. That legislation also directed	
	8	Sampling has been performed in a	8	the Department, along with the Alabama	
	9	number of yards and schools around the	9	Department of Public Health, to review and	
	10	Walter Coke plant site in north Birmingham	10	recommend any necessary changes to	
	11	which has resulted in contaminated soil	11	Alabama's statewide Solid Waste Disposal	
-	12	being removed at a few of the locations.	12	Plan.	
- 1	13	These were activities undertaken	13	To accomplish this mandate, a	
	14	by Walter Coke under the supervision of EPA	14	Request for Proposals was developed and	
	15	Region 4's RCRA Program. Recently, Region	15	sent to universities around the state.	
	16	4's Superfund Removal Program has taken the	16	After reviewing the proposals submitted,	ļ
	17	lead regarding off-site that is off the	17	having presentations by the submitting	
		Walter Coke property site	18	groups, the proposal submitted by Auburn	
	19	contamination.	19	University was selected.	
	20	Walter Coke has been sent what	20	Planning for the next steps in	
	21	is called a general notice letter which	21	this process has already begun, and we will	
- 1		identifies them as a natentially		be entering into a formal agreement with	1

22 be entering into a formal agreement with

23 Auburn in the near future. An important

22 identifies them as a potentially

23 responsible party. Region 4 continues its

Co	mmission Meeting	February 24, 20		
	Page 17			Page 19
1	part of the study will involve public	1	programs.	
2	meetings around the state to encourage	2	The air, water, and land	
3	public input on landfill siting, recycling,	3	programs for each state were ranked as	
4	and other components of the statewide Solid	4	being in one of four quartiles for their	
5	Waste Plan. We anticipate the first public	1	overall programs, and the states were	
6	meetings will take place this spring.	6	ranked as being in one of the four	
7	Next, let me update you on	7	quartiles for their overall programs.	
8	activities of the Underground Storage Tank	8	The Alabama Air Program was	
9	Program. This program began in 1988 with	9	listed as having the highest average	
10	the creation of the Alabama Underground	10	performance for the Clean Air Act	
11	Storage Tank Trust Fund, which was designed	11	enforcement of all states. Alabama's air	
12	to fund cleanup of the pollution from the	12	and water programs were in the top	
13	thousands of leaking gasoline and other	13	quartile. Overall, Alabama was in the top	
14	underground storage tanks in the state.	14	quartile in the nation.	
15	The program is funded by a fee	15	Impressive as this is, it is	
16	applied to each gallon of fuel at the	16	even more impressive when it's recognized	
17	wholesale level. The Alabama Underground	17	that Alabama has among the very lowest	
18	Storage Tank Trust Fund expends	18	state funding levels in the nation. We	
19	approximately \$30 million per year to	19	expect excellent performance, and I tip my	
20	investigate, monitor, and take appropriate	20	hat to our water, air, and land division	
21	corrective action to prevent potential	21	chiefs for this recognition of their work.	
22	adverse health effects from UST releases.	22	If I might, I'd like to ask that	
23	Since the beginning of the ADEM UST Program	23	you stand.	
	Page 18			Page 20
1	in 1988, nearly three-fourths of the 4,700	1	(Audience applause)	
2	known release incidents have been cleaned	2	MR. LeFLEUR: And let me	
3	up. This leaves 1,300 still under	3	close by thanking you once again for your	
4	investigation and corrective action.	4	service and for allowing me to serve in	
5	Although the number of new	5	this capacity.	
1	releases from underground storage tanks has	6	Are there any questions?	
7	declined since the Tank Regulatory Program	7	MR. PHILLIPS: I have one	
8	was implemented, we still have	8	question, just relative to your budget and	

8 was implemented, we still have 9 approximately 100 new releases a year. Alabama's program has been one 10 11 of the most successful in the nation; 12 however, there is much work remaining to 13 ensure that all these existing and newly 14 discovered sites are remediated and the 15 health of the surrounding communities is 16 protected. I'd like to close on a high note 17 18 by bringing to your attention an assessment 19 of the Department's performance by an 20 impartial outside organization. The U.S. 21 Office of Inspector General released a 22 report on December 9th, 2011 analyzing

23 EPA's oversight of the state enforcement

8 question, just relative to your budget and 9 the wonderful news you gave us this 10 morning. When do you expect from the time 11 frame to understand better how this will 12 affect us? 13 MR. LeFLEUR: The 14 legislature, in terms of our final budget, 15 as we all know, and it's -- it appears that 16 the budgeting process is going to be 17 delayed in the legislature for 2013 18 budgets. They may even have to call a 19 special session for those budgets. So we 20 will not be sure of anything as far as 21 state funding until probably May of this 22 year, is the guess that we have right now. On the federal side for '13, 23

	mmission Meeting		February 24, 201	Z
	Page 2		Page 23	3
1	obviously the congressional budget has not	1	you getting us all this information	
1	been proposed yet, so we don't know what's		because people don't realize how much	
	going to happen there. And that in the		information comes to each commissioner	
4	last two years, we have not even had a	- 1	and accurate, I would say, information.	
5	federal budget. They have had continuing	5		
6	resolutions.	6	<u> </u>	1
7	So the answer to your question	7	Legislative Committee on the Administrative	ĺ
8	is I don't know for 2013. 2012, if		Regulation Review's proposed amendments to	
9	proration is going to be declared at the		the Commission rulemaking adopted by the	
10	state level, that would normally be	- 1	Commission on December 9, 2011 to repeal	ı
11	declared sometime either now or in the next	1	the Clean Air Interstate Rule Regulations	
12	couple three months.	1	in ADEM's Administrative Code, Chapter	
13	As far as federal money's		335-2.	ŀ
14	concerned, we have reasonable assurance,	14	Call on the Department.	
15	but we normally have received half of our	15	MR. JOHNSTON: Mr. Chairman,	
16	funding from our federal grants by this	16	members of the Commission, I'm Tom Johnston	1
17	time of year, and we're down in the ten	17	with the Department's Office of General	
18	percent having been received. So we're	18	Counsel. At your last meeting in December	
19	it's until the money's in the bank, we	19	you adopted a rulemaking package that	
	never know what the federal government may	20	repealed certain rules from the	
	do. But right now they are very, very slow	21	Department's air regulations that had been	
22	in their distributions.	22	adopted to conform with federal	
23	MR. PHILLIPS: Thanks.	23	requirements known as the Clean Air	
		- 1		
-	Page 22	-		-
	Page 22		Page 24	
1	CHAIRMAN LESTER: The		Interstate Rule, the CAIR rule.	
2	CHAIRMAN LESTER: The Commission would like to thank you for all	1 2	Interstate Rule, the CAIR rule. The Air Division had proposed	
2	CHAIRMAN LESTER: The Commission would like to thank you for all your hard work and our employees who keep	1 2 3	Interstate Rule, the CAIR rule. The Air Division had proposed repealing these rules because EPA had	
2 3 4	CHAIRMAN LESTER: The Commission would like to thank you for all your hard work and our employees who keep us really informed. And the folks that	1 2 3 4	Interstate Rule, the CAIR rule. The Air Division had proposed repealing these rules because EPA had promulgated a new rule that was scheduled	
2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN LESTER: The Commission would like to thank you for all your hard work and our employees who keep us really informed. And the folks that don't know, Lance meets with each	1 2 3 4 5	Interstate Rule, the CAIR rule. The Air Division had proposed repealing these rules because EPA had promulgated a new rule that was scheduled to replace CAIR on January 1. And we	
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN LESTER: The Commission would like to thank you for all your hard work and our employees who keep us really informed. And the folks that don't know, Lance meets with each commissioner individually the week before	1 2 3 4 5 6	Interstate Rule, the CAIR rule. The Air Division had proposed repealing these rules because EPA had promulgated a new rule that was scheduled to replace CAIR on January 1. And we wanted to get the old rules off the books	
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN LESTER: The Commission would like to thank you for all your hard work and our employees who keep us really informed. And the folks that don't know, Lance meets with each commissioner individually the week before our meetings to answer any questions and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Interstate Rule, the CAIR rule. The Air Division had proposed repealing these rules because EPA had promulgated a new rule that was scheduled to replace CAIR on January 1. And we wanted to get the old rules off the books to maintain conformity and to avoid any	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN LESTER: The Commission would like to thank you for all your hard work and our employees who keep us really informed. And the folks that don't know, Lance meets with each commissioner individually the week before our meetings to answer any questions and bring us up to date. And we'd like to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Interstate Rule, the CAIR rule. The Air Division had proposed repealing these rules because EPA had promulgated a new rule that was scheduled to replace CAIR on January 1. And we wanted to get the old rules off the books to maintain conformity and to avoid any confusion.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN LESTER: The Commission would like to thank you for all your hard work and our employees who keep us really informed. And the folks that don't know, Lance meets with each commissioner individually the week before our meetings to answer any questions and bring us up to date. And we'd like to thank you for that.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Interstate Rule, the CAIR rule. The Air Division had proposed repealing these rules because EPA had promulgated a new rule that was scheduled to replace CAIR on January 1. And we wanted to get the old rules off the books to maintain conformity and to avoid any confusion. However, on December 30th, the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN LESTER: The Commission would like to thank you for all your hard work and our employees who keep us really informed. And the folks that don't know, Lance meets with each commissioner individually the week before our meetings to answer any questions and bring us up to date. And we'd like to thank you for that. MR. LeFLEUR: Well, I want	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Interstate Rule, the CAIR rule. The Air Division had proposed repealing these rules because EPA had promulgated a new rule that was scheduled to replace CAIR on January 1. And we wanted to get the old rules off the books to maintain conformity and to avoid any confusion. However, on December 30th, the United States Court of Appeals for the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN LESTER: The Commission would like to thank you for all your hard work and our employees who keep us really informed. And the folks that don't know, Lance meets with each commissioner individually the week before our meetings to answer any questions and bring us up to date. And we'd like to thank you for that. MR. LeFLEUR: Well, I want to thank all these people in this room that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Interstate Rule, the CAIR rule. The Air Division had proposed repealing these rules because EPA had promulgated a new rule that was scheduled to replace CAIR on January 1. And we wanted to get the old rules off the books to maintain conformity and to avoid any confusion. However, on December 30th, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia entered an order in a	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN LESTER: The Commission would like to thank you for all your hard work and our employees who keep us really informed. And the folks that don't know, Lance meets with each commissioner individually the week before our meetings to answer any questions and bring us up to date. And we'd like to thank you for that. MR. LeFLEUR: Well, I want to thank all these people in this room that are and the ones not here, the ones that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Interstate Rule, the CAIR rule. The Air Division had proposed repealing these rules because EPA had promulgated a new rule that was scheduled to replace CAIR on January 1. And we wanted to get the old rules off the books to maintain conformity and to avoid any confusion. However, on December 30th, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia entered an order in a pending case that did two things first,	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN LESTER: The Commission would like to thank you for all your hard work and our employees who keep us really informed. And the folks that don't know, Lance meets with each commissioner individually the week before our meetings to answer any questions and bring us up to date. And we'd like to thank you for that. MR. LeFLEUR: Well, I want to thank all these people in this room that are and the ones not here, the ones that are up working that make me look better	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Interstate Rule, the CAIR rule. The Air Division had proposed repealing these rules because EPA had promulgated a new rule that was scheduled to replace CAIR on January 1. And we wanted to get the old rules off the books to maintain conformity and to avoid any confusion. However, on December 30th, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia entered an order in a pending case that did two things first, the Court stayed EPA's new rule and ordered	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN LESTER: The Commission would like to thank you for all your hard work and our employees who keep us really informed. And the folks that don't know, Lance meets with each commissioner individually the week before our meetings to answer any questions and bring us up to date. And we'd like to thank you for that. MR. LeFLEUR: Well, I want to thank all these people in this room that are and the ones not here, the ones that are up working that make me look better than perhaps I would otherwise.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Interstate Rule, the CAIR rule. The Air Division had proposed repealing these rules because EPA had promulgated a new rule that was scheduled to replace CAIR on January 1. And we wanted to get the old rules off the books to maintain conformity and to avoid any confusion. However, on December 30th, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia entered an order in a pending case that did two things first, the Court stayed EPA's new rule and ordered EPA to go back and continue administering	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN LESTER: The Commission would like to thank you for all your hard work and our employees who keep us really informed. And the folks that don't know, Lance meets with each commissioner individually the week before our meetings to answer any questions and bring us up to date. And we'd like to thank you for that. MR. LeFLEUR: Well, I want to thank all these people in this room that are and the ones not here, the ones that are up working that make me look better than perhaps I would otherwise. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Thank	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Interstate Rule, the CAIR rule. The Air Division had proposed repealing these rules because EPA had promulgated a new rule that was scheduled to replace CAIR on January 1. And we wanted to get the old rules off the books to maintain conformity and to avoid any confusion. However, on December 30th, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia entered an order in a pending case that did two things first, the Court stayed EPA's new rule and ordered EPA to go back and continue administering the old rule, the CAIR rule. So in light	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN LESTER: The Commission would like to thank you for all your hard work and our employees who keep us really informed. And the folks that don't know, Lance meets with each commissioner individually the week before our meetings to answer any questions and bring us up to date. And we'd like to thank you for that. MR. LeFLEUR: Well, I want to thank all these people in this room that are and the ones not here, the ones that are up working that make me look better than perhaps I would otherwise. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Thank you. Gisele, would you stand. We'd like	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Interstate Rule, the CAIR rule. The Air Division had proposed repealing these rules because EPA had promulgated a new rule that was scheduled to replace CAIR on January 1. And we wanted to get the old rules off the books to maintain conformity and to avoid any confusion. However, on December 30th, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia entered an order in a pending case that did two things first, the Court stayed EPA's new rule and ordered EPA to go back and continue administering the old rule, the CAIR rule. So in light of this court order, the repeal of the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN LESTER: The Commission would like to thank you for all your hard work and our employees who keep us really informed. And the folks that don't know, Lance meets with each commissioner individually the week before our meetings to answer any questions and bring us up to date. And we'd like to thank you for that. MR. LeFLEUR: Well, I want to thank all these people in this room that are and the ones not here, the ones that are up working that make me look better than perhaps I would otherwise. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Thank you. Gisele, would you stand. We'd like to thank Gisele on behalf of the Commission	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Interstate Rule, the CAIR rule. The Air Division had proposed repealing these rules because EPA had promulgated a new rule that was scheduled to replace CAIR on January 1. And we wanted to get the old rules off the books to maintain conformity and to avoid any confusion. However, on December 30th, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia entered an order in a pending case that did two things first, the Court stayed EPA's new rule and ordered EPA to go back and continue administering the old rule, the CAIR rule. So in light of this court order, the repeal of the state CAIR rules would have the exact	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN LESTER: The Commission would like to thank you for all your hard work and our employees who keep us really informed. And the folks that don't know, Lance meets with each commissioner individually the week before our meetings to answer any questions and bring us up to date. And we'd like to thank you for that. MR. LeFLEUR: Well, I want to thank all these people in this room that are and the ones not here, the ones that are up working that make me look better than perhaps I would otherwise. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Thank you. Gisele, would you stand. We'd like to thank Gisele on behalf of the Commission for looking after us while Debi's been	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Interstate Rule, the CAIR rule. The Air Division had proposed repealing these rules because EPA had promulgated a new rule that was scheduled to replace CAIR on January 1. And we wanted to get the old rules off the books to maintain conformity and to avoid any confusion. However, on December 30th, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia entered an order in a pending case that did two things first, the Court stayed EPA's new rule and ordered EPA to go back and continue administering the old rule, the CAIR rule. So in light of this court order, the repeal of the state CAIR rules would have the exact opposite effect of what it was intended, to	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN LESTER: The Commission would like to thank you for all your hard work and our employees who keep us really informed. And the folks that don't know, Lance meets with each commissioner individually the week before our meetings to answer any questions and bring us up to date. And we'd like to thank you for that. MR. LeFLEUR: Well, I want to thank all these people in this room that are and the ones not here, the ones that are up working that make me look better than perhaps I would otherwise. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Thank you. Gisele, would you stand. We'd like to thank Gisele on behalf of the Commission for looking after us while Debi's been out. And without her she's	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Interstate Rule, the CAIR rule. The Air Division had proposed repealing these rules because EPA had promulgated a new rule that was scheduled to replace CAIR on January 1. And we wanted to get the old rules off the books to maintain conformity and to avoid any confusion. However, on December 30th, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia entered an order in a pending case that did two things first, the Court stayed EPA's new rule and ordered EPA to go back and continue administering the old rule, the CAIR rule. So in light of this court order, the repeal of the state CAIR rules would have the exact opposite effect of what it was intended, to maintain conformity and avoid confusion.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN LESTER: The Commission would like to thank you for all your hard work and our employees who keep us really informed. And the folks that don't know, Lance meets with each commissioner individually the week before our meetings to answer any questions and bring us up to date. And we'd like to thank you for that. MR. LeFLEUR: Well, I want to thank all these people in this room that are and the ones not here, the ones that are up working that make me look better than perhaps I would otherwise. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Thank you. Gisele, would you stand. We'd like to thank Gisele on behalf of the Commission for looking after us while Debi's been out. And without her she's double Lance's secretary and our	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Interstate Rule, the CAIR rule. The Air Division had proposed repealing these rules because EPA had promulgated a new rule that was scheduled to replace CAIR on January 1. And we wanted to get the old rules off the books to maintain conformity and to avoid any confusion. However, on December 30th, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia entered an order in a pending case that did two things first, the Court stayed EPA's new rule and ordered EPA to go back and continue administering the old rule, the CAIR rule. So in light of this court order, the repeal of the state CAIR rules would have the exact opposite effect of what it was intended, to maintain conformity and avoid confusion. Therefore, the Joint Legislative	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN LESTER: The Commission would like to thank you for all your hard work and our employees who keep us really informed. And the folks that don't know, Lance meets with each commissioner individually the week before our meetings to answer any questions and bring us up to date. And we'd like to thank you for that. MR. LeFLEUR: Well, I want to thank all these people in this room that are and the ones not here, the ones that are up working that make me look better than perhaps I would otherwise. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Thank you. Gisele, would you stand. We'd like to thank Gisele on behalf of the Commission for looking after us while Debi's been out. And without her she's double Lance's secretary and our secretary. She has had a lot of work to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Interstate Rule, the CAIR rule. The Air Division had proposed repealing these rules because EPA had promulgated a new rule that was scheduled to replace CAIR on January 1. And we wanted to get the old rules off the books to maintain conformity and to avoid any confusion. However, on December 30th, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia entered an order in a pending case that did two things first, the Court stayed EPA's new rule and ordered EPA to go back and continue administering the old rule, the CAIR rule. So in light of this court order, the repeal of the state CAIR rules would have the exact opposite effect of what it was intended, to maintain conformity and avoid confusion. Therefore, the Joint Legislative Committee on Administrative Regulation	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN LESTER: The Commission would like to thank you for all your hard work and our employees who keep us really informed. And the folks that don't know, Lance meets with each commissioner individually the week before our meetings to answer any questions and bring us up to date. And we'd like to thank you for that. MR. LeFLEUR: Well, I want to thank all these people in this room that are and the ones not here, the ones that are up working that make me look better than perhaps I would otherwise. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Thank you. Gisele, would you stand. We'd like to thank Gisele on behalf of the Commission for looking after us while Debi's been out. And without her she's double Lance's secretary and our	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Interstate Rule, the CAIR rule. The Air Division had proposed repealing these rules because EPA had promulgated a new rule that was scheduled to replace CAIR on January 1. And we wanted to get the old rules off the books to maintain conformity and to avoid any confusion. However, on December 30th, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia entered an order in a pending case that did two things first, the Court stayed EPA's new rule and ordered EPA to go back and continue administering the old rule, the CAIR rule. So in light of this court order, the repeal of the state CAIR rules would have the exact opposite effect of what it was intended, to maintain conformity and avoid confusion. Therefore, the Joint Legislative	

Co	mmission Meeting		February	24, 2012
	Page 25			Page 27
1	clarifies that these rules will be repealed	1	a special gasoline quality for Jefferson	
1	when the Department certifies to the	1	and Chilton Counties to help the ozone	
	Legislative Reference Service that the		situation up there. Since then, the	
	federal CAIR rules are no longer in effect,		federal gasoline quality requirements have	
	and that way the we will maintain that		supercede largely your action.	
	conformity and avoid that confusion.	6	So what we're asking you to do	
7	The Committee's proposed	1	is to erase the regulations that require	
8	amendment is before you for a vote to		special gasoline in Birmingham. The cost	
1	accept or reject. Should you vote to		just isn't worth the benefit anymore in	
	accept the proposed amendment, the rules	1	view of the federal quality requirements.	
	would be refiled with the legislative		So we held a public hearing public	
	records with the amendment language and the	1	comment period, excuse me on these rule	
	unintended consequences arising from the	1	changes on October 24th, December 9th, the	
	court order can be avoided.		public hearing on December 7th. No	
15	For these reasons, the		comments were received in writing or	
16	Department recommends that you accept the	1	orally.	
17	proposed amendment. Thank you.	17	Pending any questions, I'd like	
18	CHAIRMAN LESTER: Does any	18	to ask that you repeal these rules.	
19	commissioner have a question of Tom?	19	CHAIRMAN LESTER: Thank	
20	(No response)	20	you. I think I said 335-2, but it was	
21	CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have	21	335-3.	
22	a motion?	22	Do I have a motion?	
23	MR. PHILLIPS: So moved	23	MR. PHILLIPS: So moved.	
		-		
	Page 26			Page 28
1	Page 26 Phillips.	1	CHAIRMAN LESTER: Second?	Page 28
1 2	-	1 2		Page 28
2	Phillips. DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: A motion	2	CHAIRMAN LESTER: Second? DR. RICHARDSON: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All in	Page 28
2	Phillips. DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: A motion and a second. All in favor say "aye."	2	CHAIRMAN LESTER: Second? DR. RICHARDSON: Second.	Page 28
2	Phillips. DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: A motion and a second. All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye")	2	CHAIRMAN LESTER: Second? DR. RICHARDSON: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye")	Page 28
2 3 4 5 6	Phillips. DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: A motion and a second. All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN LESTER: Second? DR. RICHARDSON: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All	Page 28
2 3 4 5 6	Phillips. DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: A motion and a second. All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed?	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN LESTER: Second? DR. RICHARDSON: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed?	Page 28
2 3 4 5 6	Phillips. DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: A motion and a second. All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response)	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN LESTER: Second? DR. RICHARDSON: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response)	Page 28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Phillips. DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: A motion and a second. All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN LESTER: Second? DR. RICHARDSON: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) MR. GORE: Thank you.	Page 28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Phillips. DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: A motion and a second. All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item of business is to consider adoption of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN LESTER: Second? DR. RICHARDSON: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) MR. GORE: Thank you. CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next	Page 28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Phillips. DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: A motion and a second. All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item of business is to consider adoption of the proposed amendment to ADEM	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN LESTER: Second? DR. RICHARDSON: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) MR. GORE: Thank you. CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item, we will consider adoption of proposed	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Phillips. DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: A motion and a second. All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item of business is to consider adoption of the proposed amendment to ADEM Administrative Code 335-2, Air Pollution	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN LESTER: Second? DR. RICHARDSON: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) MR. GORE: Thank you. CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item, we will consider adoption of proposed to amendment to ADEM Administrative Code	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Phillips. DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: A motion and a second. All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item of business is to consider adoption of the proposed amendment to ADEM Administrative Code 335-2, Air Pollution Control Program Regulations.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN LESTER: Second? DR. RICHARDSON: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) MR. GORE: Thank you. CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item, we will consider adoption of proposed to amendment to ADEM Administrative Code 335-6, Water Quality Program Regulations.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Phillips. DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: A motion and a second. All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item of business is to consider adoption of the proposed amendment to ADEM Administrative Code 335-2, Air Pollution Control Program Regulations. I call on the Department.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN LESTER: Second? DR. RICHARDSON: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) MR. GORE: Thank you. CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item, we will consider adoption of proposed to amendment to ADEM Administrative Code 335-6, Water Quality Program Regulations. Call on the Department.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Phillips. DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: A motion and a second. All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item of business is to consider adoption of the proposed amendment to ADEM Administrative Code 335-2, Air Pollution Control Program Regulations. I call on the Department. MR. GORE: Mr. Chairman,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN LESTER: Second? DR. RICHARDSON: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) MR. GORE: Thank you. CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item, we will consider adoption of proposed to amendment to ADEM Administrative Code 335-6, Water Quality Program Regulations. Call on the Department. MR. CROCKETT: Thank you,	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Phillips. DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: A motion and a second. All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item of business is to consider adoption of the proposed amendment to ADEM Administrative Code 335-2, Air Pollution Control Program Regulations. I call on the Department. MR. GORE: Mr. Chairman, gentlemen, I'm Ron Gore with the Air	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN LESTER: Second? DR. RICHARDSON: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) MR. GORE: Thank you. CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item, we will consider adoption of proposed to amendment to ADEM Administrative Code 335-6, Water Quality Program Regulations. Call on the Department. MR. CROCKETT: Thank you, Dr. Lester. Good morning, Commissioners.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Phillips. DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: A motion and a second. All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item of business is to consider adoption of the proposed amendment to ADEM Administrative Code 335-2, Air Pollution Control Program Regulations. I call on the Department. MR. GORE: Mr. Chairman, gentlemen, I'm Ron Gore with the Air Division, and I appreciate the irony with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN LESTER: Second? DR. RICHARDSON: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) MR. GORE: Thank you. CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item, we will consider adoption of proposed to amendment to ADEM Administrative Code 335-6, Water Quality Program Regulations. Call on the Department. MR. CROCKETT: Thank you, Dr. Lester. Good morning, Commissioners. I'm Chip Crockett, Chief of the Stormwater	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Phillips. DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: A motion and a second. All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item of business is to consider adoption of the proposed amendment to ADEM Administrative Code 335-2, Air Pollution Control Program Regulations. I call on the Department. MR. GORE: Mr. Chairman, gentlemen, I'm Ron Gore with the Air Division, and I appreciate the irony with what I'm fixing to ask you to do given the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN LESTER: Second? DR. RICHARDSON: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) MR. GORE: Thank you. CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item, we will consider adoption of proposed to amendment to ADEM Administrative Code 335-6, Water Quality Program Regulations. Call on the Department. MR. CROCKETT: Thank you, Dr. Lester. Good morning, Commissioners. I'm Chip Crockett, Chief of the Stormwater Management Branch of the Water Division.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Phillips. DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: A motion and a second. All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item of business is to consider adoption of the proposed amendment to ADEM Administrative Code 335-2, Air Pollution Control Program Regulations. I call on the Department. MR. GORE: Mr. Chairman, gentlemen, I'm Ron Gore with the Air Division, and I appreciate the irony with what I'm fixing to ask you to do given the action you just took. But I'm here I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN LESTER: Second? DR. RICHARDSON: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) MR. GORE: Thank you. CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item, we will consider adoption of proposed to amendment to ADEM Administrative Code 335-6, Water Quality Program Regulations. Call on the Department. MR. CROCKETT: Thank you, Dr. Lester. Good morning, Commissioners. I'm Chip Crockett, Chief of the Stormwater Management Branch of the Water Division. The Department is seeking your approval to	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Phillips. DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: A motion and a second. All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item of business is to consider adoption of the proposed amendment to ADEM Administrative Code 335-2, Air Pollution Control Program Regulations. I call on the Department. MR. GORE: Mr. Chairman, gentlemen, I'm Ron Gore with the Air Division, and I appreciate the irony with what I'm fixing to ask you to do given the action you just took. But I'm here I and the Department are here to ask you to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN LESTER: Second? DR. RICHARDSON: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) MR. GORE: Thank you. CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item, we will consider adoption of proposed to amendment to ADEM Administrative Code 335-6, Water Quality Program Regulations. Call on the Department. MR. CROCKETT: Thank you, Dr. Lester. Good morning, Commissioners. I'm Chip Crockett, Chief of the Stormwater Management Branch of the Water Division. The Department is seeking your approval to modify portions of its water quality	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Phillips. DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: A motion and a second. All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item of business is to consider adoption of the proposed amendment to ADEM Administrative Code 335-2, Air Pollution Control Program Regulations. I call on the Department. MR. GORE: Mr. Chairman, gentlemen, I'm Ron Gore with the Air Division, and I appreciate the irony with what I'm fixing to ask you to do given the action you just took. But I'm here I and the Department are here to ask you to repeal another group of Air Division	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN LESTER: Second? DR. RICHARDSON: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) MR. GORE: Thank you. CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item, we will consider adoption of proposed to amendment to ADEM Administrative Code 335-6, Water Quality Program Regulations. Call on the Department. MR. CROCKETT: Thank you, Dr. Lester. Good morning, Commissioners. I'm Chip Crockett, Chief of the Stormwater Management Branch of the Water Division. The Department is seeking your approval to modify portions of its water quality regulations that pertain to the automatic	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Phillips. DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: A motion and a second. All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item of business is to consider adoption of the proposed amendment to ADEM Administrative Code 335-2, Air Pollution Control Program Regulations. I call on the Department. MR. GORE: Mr. Chairman, gentlemen, I'm Ron Gore with the Air Division, and I appreciate the irony with what I'm fixing to ask you to do given the action you just took. But I'm here I and the Department are here to ask you to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN LESTER: Second? DR. RICHARDSON: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) MR. GORE: Thank you. CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item, we will consider adoption of proposed to amendment to ADEM Administrative Code 335-6, Water Quality Program Regulations. Call on the Department. MR. CROCKETT: Thank you, Dr. Lester. Good morning, Commissioners. I'm Chip Crockett, Chief of the Stormwater Management Branch of the Water Division. The Department is seeking your approval to modify portions of its water quality	

Commission Meeting			February	24, 2012
	Page 29			Page 31
1	expire automatically 18 months after	1	hearing on February 3rd in which there were	
	issuance of construction of the permitted		no members of the public in attendance.	
1	facility has not begun in the time frame.		The Department received one set of written	
	This automatic expiration is tolled by an	1	comments during the public comment period.	
	administrative or judicial stay of the		We prepared a reconciliation statement, and	
6	permit.	1		
7	Now, we're seeking to modify	7	So Mr. Chairman, we appreciate	
8	this provision in two ways. First, we	8	your consideration of this proposal. I'm	
9	propose to toll the automatic expiration by	9	happy to address any questions the	
10		10	Commissioners have at this time.	
11	addition to an actual stay of the permit.	11	CHAIRMAN LESTER: Any	
	Second, we propose to clarify the automatic	12	Commissioners have a question?	
1	expiration of an NPDES permit for surface	13	(No response)	
1	coal mining.	14	CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have	
15	Surface coal mining in Alabama	15	a motion?	
16	is a highly regulated activity requiring	16	MR. PHILLIPS: Move to adopt	
17	permits from not only the Department, but	17	the proposed amendments.	
18	also the Alabama Surface Mining Commission,	18	DR. LAIER: Second.	
19	and in numerous cases the U.S. Army Corps	19	CHAIRMAN LESTER: All in	
20	of Engineers.	20	favor say "aye."	
21	We are continuously coordinating	21	(All respond "aye")	
22	our permitting activities with these other	22	CHAIRMAN LESTER: All	
23	agencies. But these efforts	23	opposed?	
1				1
			-	
	Page 30			Page 32
1	_	1	(No response)	Page 32
	notwithstanding, there are times when our	1 2	(No response) (Dr. Miller joins the Commissioners.)	Page 32
2	_	ì	(Dr. Miller joins the Commissioners.)	Page 32
2	notwithstanding, there are times when our respective permitting activities simply cannot be concurrent.	2	(Dr. Miller joins the Commissioners.) CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next	Page 32
2 3 4	notwithstanding, there are times when our respective permitting activities simply	2 3 4	(Dr. Miller joins the Commissioners.) CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item is the consideration of the adoption	Page 32
2 3 4 5	notwithstanding, there are times when our respective permitting activities simply cannot be concurrent. And in these situations, a	2 3 4 5	(Dr. Miller joins the Commissioners.) CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item is the consideration of the adoption of the proposed amendments of ADEM's	Page 32
2 3 4 5 6	notwithstanding, there are times when our respective permitting activities simply cannot be concurrent. And in these situations, a paradox can arise between the automatic	2 3 4 5 6	(Dr. Miller joins the Commissioners.) CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item is the consideration of the adoption of the proposed amendments of ADEM's Administrative Code 335-8, Coastal Program	Page 32
2 3 4 5 6 7	notwithstanding, there are times when our respective permitting activities simply cannot be concurrent. And in these situations, a paradox can arise between the automatic expiration of the NPDES permit and the	2 3 4 5 6	(Dr. Miller joins the Commissioners.) CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item is the consideration of the adoption of the proposed amendments of ADEM's	Page 32
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	notwithstanding, there are times when our respective permitting activities simply cannot be concurrent. And in these situations, a paradox can arise between the automatic expiration of the NPDES permit and the restrictions on the activities that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(Dr. Miller joins the Commissioners.) CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item is the consideration of the adoption of the proposed amendments of ADEM's Administrative Code 335-8, Coastal Program Regulations.	Page 32
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	notwithstanding, there are times when our respective permitting activities simply cannot be concurrent. And in these situations, a paradox can arise between the automatic expiration of the NPDES permit and the restrictions on the activities that the mine operator can perform prior to being	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Dr. Miller joins the Commissioners.) CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item is the consideration of the adoption of the proposed amendments of ADEM's Administrative Code 335-8, Coastal Program Regulations. MR. JENKINS: Good morning,	Page 32
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	notwithstanding, there are times when our respective permitting activities simply cannot be concurrent. And in these situations, a paradox can arise between the automatic expiration of the NPDES permit and the restrictions on the activities that the mine operator can perform prior to being issued a permit by the ASMC, resulting in, at the very least, a reduction in the time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Dr. Miller joins the Commissioners.) CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item is the consideration of the adoption of the proposed amendments of ADEM's Administrative Code 335-8, Coastal Program Regulations. MR. JENKINS: Good morning, Chairman Lester, members of the Commission. I'm Steve Jenkins with the	Page 32
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	notwithstanding, there are times when our respective permitting activities simply cannot be concurrent. And in these situations, a paradox can arise between the automatic expiration of the NPDES permit and the restrictions on the activities that the mine operator can perform prior to being issued a permit by the ASMC, resulting in, at the very least, a reduction in the time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	(Dr. Miller joins the Commissioners.) CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item is the consideration of the adoption of the proposed amendments of ADEM's Administrative Code 335-8, Coastal Program Regulations. MR. JENKINS: Good morning, Chairman Lester, members of the Commission. I'm Steve Jenkins with the	Page 32
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	notwithstanding, there are times when our respective permitting activities simply cannot be concurrent. And in these situations, a paradox can arise between the automatic expiration of the NPDES permit and the restrictions on the activities that the mine operator can perform prior to being issued a permit by the ASMC, resulting in, at the very least, a reduction in the time allowed for the beginning of construction.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	(Dr. Miller joins the Commissioners.) CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item is the consideration of the adoption of the proposed amendments of ADEM's Administrative Code 335-8, Coastal Program Regulations. MR. JENKINS: Good morning, Chairman Lester, members of the Commission. I'm Steve Jenkins with the Department's Field Operations Division. As	Page 32
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	notwithstanding, there are times when our respective permitting activities simply cannot be concurrent. And in these situations, a paradox can arise between the automatic expiration of the NPDES permit and the restrictions on the activities that the mine operator can perform prior to being issued a permit by the ASMC, resulting in, at the very least, a reduction in the time allowed for the beginning of construction. Now, this was not the intent of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	(Dr. Miller joins the Commissioners.) CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item is the consideration of the adoption of the proposed amendments of ADEM's Administrative Code 335-8, Coastal Program Regulations. MR. JENKINS: Good morning, Chairman Lester, members of the Commission. I'm Steve Jenkins with the Department's Field Operations Division. As part of your package, you have the hearing	Page 32
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	notwithstanding, there are times when our respective permitting activities simply cannot be concurrent. And in these situations, a paradox can arise between the automatic expiration of the NPDES permit and the restrictions on the activities that the mine operator can perform prior to being issued a permit by the ASMC, resulting in, at the very least, a reduction in the time allowed for the beginning of construction. Now, this was not the intent of the automatic expiration rule, and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Dr. Miller joins the Commissioners.) CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item is the consideration of the adoption of the proposed amendments of ADEM's Administrative Code 335-8, Coastal Program Regulations. MR. JENKINS: Good morning, Chairman Lester, members of the Commission. I'm Steve Jenkins with the Department's Field Operations Division. As part of your package, you have the hearing record and a related document for the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	notwithstanding, there are times when our respective permitting activities simply cannot be concurrent. And in these situations, a paradox can arise between the automatic expiration of the NPDES permit and the restrictions on the activities that the mine operator can perform prior to being issued a permit by the ASMC, resulting in, at the very least, a reduction in the time allowed for the beginning of construction. Now, this was not the intent of the automatic expiration rule, and the Department believes that a clarification is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(Dr. Miller joins the Commissioners.) CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item is the consideration of the adoption of the proposed amendments of ADEM's Administrative Code 335-8, Coastal Program Regulations. MR. JENKINS: Good morning, Chairman Lester, members of the Commission. I'm Steve Jenkins with the Department's Field Operations Division. As part of your package, you have the hearing record and a related document for the proposed modification for the ADEM	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	notwithstanding, there are times when our respective permitting activities simply cannot be concurrent. And in these situations, a paradox can arise between the automatic expiration of the NPDES permit and the restrictions on the activities that the mine operator can perform prior to being issued a permit by the ASMC, resulting in, at the very least, a reduction in the time allowed for the beginning of construction. Now, this was not the intent of the automatic expiration rule, and the Department believes that a clarification is in order.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(Dr. Miller joins the Commissioners.) CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item is the consideration of the adoption of the proposed amendments of ADEM's Administrative Code 335-8, Coastal Program Regulations. MR. JENKINS: Good morning, Chairman Lester, members of the Commission. I'm Steve Jenkins with the Department's Field Operations Division. As part of your package, you have the hearing record and a related document for the proposed modification for the ADEM Administrative Code Revision 335-8, Coastal	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	notwithstanding, there are times when our respective permitting activities simply cannot be concurrent. And in these situations, a paradox can arise between the automatic expiration of the NPDES permit and the restrictions on the activities that the mine operator can perform prior to being issued a permit by the ASMC, resulting in, at the very least, a reduction in the time allowed for the beginning of construction. Now, this was not the intent of the automatic expiration rule, and the Department believes that a clarification is in order. Our proposal is to modify the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(Dr. Miller joins the Commissioners.) CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item is the consideration of the adoption of the proposed amendments of ADEM's Administrative Code 335-8, Coastal Program Regulations. MR. JENKINS: Good morning, Chairman Lester, members of the Commission. I'm Steve Jenkins with the Department's Field Operations Division. As part of your package, you have the hearing record and a related document for the proposed modification for the ADEM Administrative Code Revision 335-8, Coastal Program Rules.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	notwithstanding, there are times when our respective permitting activities simply cannot be concurrent. And in these situations, a paradox can arise between the automatic expiration of the NPDES permit and the restrictions on the activities that the mine operator can perform prior to being issued a permit by the ASMC, resulting in, at the very least, a reduction in the time allowed for the beginning of construction. Now, this was not the intent of the automatic expiration rule, and the Department believes that a clarification is in order. Our proposal is to modify the rules such that under specific conditions, the NPDES permit for surface coal mining will automatically expire 18 months after	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Dr. Miller joins the Commissioners.) CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item is the consideration of the adoption of the proposed amendments of ADEM's Administrative Code 335-8, Coastal Program Regulations. MR. JENKINS: Good morning, Chairman Lester, members of the Commission. I'm Steve Jenkins with the Department's Field Operations Division. As part of your package, you have the hearing record and a related document for the proposed modification for the ADEM Administrative Code Revision 335-8, Coastal Program Rules. The Department is proposing to	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	notwithstanding, there are times when our respective permitting activities simply cannot be concurrent. And in these situations, a paradox can arise between the automatic expiration of the NPDES permit and the restrictions on the activities that the mine operator can perform prior to being issued a permit by the ASMC, resulting in, at the very least, a reduction in the time allowed for the beginning of construction. Now, this was not the intent of the automatic expiration rule, and the Department believes that a clarification is in order. Our proposal is to modify the rules such that under specific conditions, the NPDES permit for surface coal mining will automatically expire 18 months after issuance of the ASMC permit if construction	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(Dr. Miller joins the Commissioners.) CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item is the consideration of the adoption of the proposed amendments of ADEM's Administrative Code 335-8, Coastal Program Regulations. MR. JENKINS: Good morning, Chairman Lester, members of the Commission. I'm Steve Jenkins with the Department's Field Operations Division. As part of your package, you have the hearing record and a related document for the proposed modification for the ADEM Administrative Code Revision 335-8, Coastal Program Rules. The Department is proposing to modify the Division 8 regulations to make them consistent with regulatory decision making time lines required by NOAA	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	notwithstanding, there are times when our respective permitting activities simply cannot be concurrent. And in these situations, a paradox can arise between the automatic expiration of the NPDES permit and the restrictions on the activities that the mine operator can perform prior to being issued a permit by the ASMC, resulting in, at the very least, a reduction in the time allowed for the beginning of construction. Now, this was not the intent of the automatic expiration rule, and the Department believes that a clarification is in order. Our proposal is to modify the rules such that under specific conditions, the NPDES permit for surface coal mining will automatically expire 18 months after issuance of the ASMC permit if construction of the permitted facility has not begun in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(Dr. Miller joins the Commissioners.) CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item is the consideration of the adoption of the proposed amendments of ADEM's Administrative Code 335-8, Coastal Program Regulations. MR. JENKINS: Good morning, Chairman Lester, members of the Commission. I'm Steve Jenkins with the Department's Field Operations Division. As part of your package, you have the hearing record and a related document for the proposed modification for the ADEM Administrative Code Revision 335-8, Coastal Program Rules. The Department is proposing to modify the Division 8 regulations to make them consistent with regulatory decision making time lines required by NOAA regulations for the federal projects and	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	notwithstanding, there are times when our respective permitting activities simply cannot be concurrent. And in these situations, a paradox can arise between the automatic expiration of the NPDES permit and the restrictions on the activities that the mine operator can perform prior to being issued a permit by the ASMC, resulting in, at the very least, a reduction in the time allowed for the beginning of construction. Now, this was not the intent of the automatic expiration rule, and the Department believes that a clarification is in order. Our proposal is to modify the rules such that under specific conditions, the NPDES permit for surface coal mining will automatically expire 18 months after issuance of the ASMC permit if construction	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Dr. Miller joins the Commissioners.) CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next item is the consideration of the adoption of the proposed amendments of ADEM's Administrative Code 335-8, Coastal Program Regulations. MR. JENKINS: Good morning, Chairman Lester, members of the Commission. I'm Steve Jenkins with the Department's Field Operations Division. As part of your package, you have the hearing record and a related document for the proposed modification for the ADEM Administrative Code Revision 335-8, Coastal Program Rules. The Department is proposing to modify the Division 8 regulations to make them consistent with regulatory decision making time lines required by NOAA	

Co	mmission Meeting		February 24, 20	12
	Page 33		Page 3	5
1	Rulemaking agreements have been	1	be the requirements to obtain permits from	
	completed. A public hearing was held on	4	the Department and to design and implement	
1	January 4th, 2012, and the record remained		certain technical control measures	
	open until January 6th to receive	1	necessary to prevent migration of solid	
	comments. No comments were received during	1	waste into the environment.	
	the comment period.	6	The proposed regulations would	
7	And at this time the Department	1 -	establish the technical design and	
8	requests your favorable action by adopting	1	operational requirements for these	
	these proposed regulation changes. Be			
- 1	happy to answer any questions.		permitting requirements that would be	
11	CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do you		applicable to new and existing facilities.	ļ
12	have a question?	12	The proposed revision to the	
13	MR. PHILLIPS: Move to adopt	1	Solid Waste Program Regulations were the	
14		1	subject of a public comment period that ran	ļ
15	DR. LAIER: Second.	1	from October 23rd to December 7th, 2011. A	
16	CHAIRMAN LESTER: Motion and	1	public hearing was held on December 7th.	
17	a second. All in favor say "aye."	1	No written or oral comments on these	
18	(All respond "aye")	18	regulations were received by the	
19	CHAIRMAN LESTER: All	1	Department; however, a typographic error	
20	opposed?	1	concerning a date was discovered following	
21	(No response)		the close of the comment period. This	
22	MR. JENKINS: Thank you.		error has been corrected in the version	
23	CHAIRMAN LESTER: Thank you.	23	presented to you today for your	
1		1		
<u> </u>		<u>L</u> _		
	Page 34		Page 36	6
1	Page 34 The next item of business is the adoption	1	Page 36 consideration.	3
		1 2	_	6
2	The next item of business is the adoption	2	consideration.	3
2	The next item of business is the adoption of proposed New Chapter 14, Composting	2	consideration. Pending any questions you might	3
2 3 4	The next item of business is the adoption of proposed New Chapter 14, Composting Facility Permitting Regulation within ADEM	2 3 4	consideration. Pending any questions you might have, the Department asks that the	3
2 3 4 5 6	The next item of business is the adoption of proposed New Chapter 14, Composting Facility Permitting Regulation within ADEM Administrative Code Division 335-13, Solid Waste Program Regulations. MR. HARDY: Good morning,	2 3 4	consideration. Pending any questions you might have, the Department asks that the Commission adopt these changes to the Solid	3
2 3 4 5 6	The next item of business is the adoption of proposed New Chapter 14, Composting Facility Permitting Regulation within ADEM Administrative Code Division 335-13, Solid Waste Program Regulations.	2 3 4 5 6	consideration. Pending any questions you might have, the Department asks that the Commission adopt these changes to the Solid Waste Program.	3
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	The next item of business is the adoption of proposed New Chapter 14, Composting Facility Permitting Regulation within ADEM Administrative Code Division 335-13, Solid Waste Program Regulations. MR. HARDY: Good morning, Dr. Lester and members of the Commission. I'm Gerald Hardy, Chief of the Land	2 3 4 5 6	consideration. Pending any questions you might have, the Department asks that the Commission adopt these changes to the Solid Waste Program. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Any	3
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	The next item of business is the adoption of proposed New Chapter 14, Composting Facility Permitting Regulation within ADEM Administrative Code Division 335-13, Solid Waste Program Regulations. MR. HARDY: Good morning, Dr. Lester and members of the Commission. I'm Gerald Hardy, Chief of the Land Division, and I am before you to recommend	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	consideration. Pending any questions you might have, the Department asks that the Commission adopt these changes to the Solid Waste Program. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Any questions? Do I have a motion? MR. PHILLIPS: Move to adopt the new regulations.	3
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	The next item of business is the adoption of proposed New Chapter 14, Composting Facility Permitting Regulation within ADEM Administrative Code Division 335-13, Solid Waste Program Regulations. MR. HARDY: Good morning, Dr. Lester and members of the Commission. I'm Gerald Hardy, Chief of the Land Division, and I am before you to recommend that the Commission adopt amendments to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	consideration. Pending any questions you might have, the Department asks that the Commission adopt these changes to the Solid Waste Program. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Any questions? Do I have a motion? MR. PHILLIPS: Move to adopt the new regulations. DR. LAIER: Second.	3
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	The next item of business is the adoption of proposed New Chapter 14, Composting Facility Permitting Regulation within ADEM Administrative Code Division 335-13, Solid Waste Program Regulations. MR. HARDY: Good morning, Dr. Lester and members of the Commission. I'm Gerald Hardy, Chief of the Land Division, and I am before you to recommend that the Commission adopt amendments to the Department's Division 13, Solid Waste	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	consideration. Pending any questions you might have, the Department asks that the Commission adopt these changes to the Solid Waste Program. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Any questions? Do I have a motion? MR. PHILLIPS: Move to adopt the new regulations. DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All	3
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	The next item of business is the adoption of proposed New Chapter 14, Composting Facility Permitting Regulation within ADEM Administrative Code Division 335-13, Solid Waste Program Regulations. MR. HARDY: Good morning, Dr. Lester and members of the Commission. I'm Gerald Hardy, Chief of the Land Division, and I am before you to recommend that the Commission adopt amendments to the Department's Division 13, Solid Waste Program Regulations.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	consideration. Pending any questions you might have, the Department asks that the Commission adopt these changes to the Solid Waste Program. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Any questions? Do I have a motion? MR. PHILLIPS: Move to adopt the new regulations. DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed?	3
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	The next item of business is the adoption of proposed New Chapter 14, Composting Facility Permitting Regulation within ADEM Administrative Code Division 335-13, Solid Waste Program Regulations. MR. HARDY: Good morning, Dr. Lester and members of the Commission. I'm Gerald Hardy, Chief of the Land Division, and I am before you to recommend that the Commission adopt amendments to the Department's Division 13, Solid Waste Program Regulations. The Department proposes to amend	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	consideration. Pending any questions you might have, the Department asks that the Commission adopt these changes to the Solid Waste Program. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Any questions? Do I have a motion? MR. PHILLIPS: Move to adopt the new regulations. DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response)	3
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	The next item of business is the adoption of proposed New Chapter 14, Composting Facility Permitting Regulation within ADEM Administrative Code Division 335-13, Solid Waste Program Regulations. MR. HARDY: Good morning, Dr. Lester and members of the Commission. I'm Gerald Hardy, Chief of the Land Division, and I am before you to recommend that the Commission adopt amendments to the Department's Division 13, Solid Waste Program Regulations. The Department proposes to amend its regulations by creating Chapter 14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	consideration. Pending any questions you might have, the Department asks that the Commission adopt these changes to the Solid Waste Program. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Any questions? Do I have a motion? MR. PHILLIPS: Move to adopt the new regulations. DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Thank you,	3
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	The next item of business is the adoption of proposed New Chapter 14, Composting Facility Permitting Regulation within ADEM Administrative Code Division 335-13, Solid Waste Program Regulations. MR. HARDY: Good morning, Dr. Lester and members of the Commission. I'm Gerald Hardy, Chief of the Land Division, and I am before you to recommend that the Commission adopt amendments to the Department's Division 13, Solid Waste Program Regulations. The Department proposes to amend its regulations by creating Chapter 14 within its Division 13, as authorized by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	consideration. Pending any questions you might have, the Department asks that the Commission adopt these changes to the Solid Waste Program. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Any questions? Do I have a motion? MR. PHILLIPS: Move to adopt the new regulations. DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Thank you, Gerald. Next the Commission will consider	6
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	The next item of business is the adoption of proposed New Chapter 14, Composting Facility Permitting Regulation within ADEM Administrative Code Division 335-13, Solid Waste Program Regulations. MR. HARDY: Good morning, Dr. Lester and members of the Commission. I'm Gerald Hardy, Chief of the Land Division, and I am before you to recommend that the Commission adopt amendments to the Department's Division 13, Solid Waste Program Regulations. The Department proposes to amend its regulations by creating Chapter 14 within its Division 13, as authorized by the Solid Wastes & Recyclable Materials	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	consideration. Pending any questions you might have, the Department asks that the Commission adopt these changes to the Solid Waste Program. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Any questions? Do I have a motion? MR. PHILLIPS: Move to adopt the new regulations. DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Thank you, Gerald. Next the Commission will consider adoption of the proposed amendments to ADEM	3
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	The next item of business is the adoption of proposed New Chapter 14, Composting Facility Permitting Regulation within ADEM Administrative Code Division 335-13, Solid Waste Program Regulations. MR. HARDY: Good morning, Dr. Lester and members of the Commission. I'm Gerald Hardy, Chief of the Land Division, and I am before you to recommend that the Commission adopt amendments to the Department's Division 13, Solid Waste Program Regulations. The Department proposes to amend its regulations by creating Chapter 14 within its Division 13, as authorized by the Solid Wastes & Recyclable Materials Management Act enacted in 2008. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	consideration. Pending any questions you might have, the Department asks that the Commission adopt these changes to the Solid Waste Program. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Any questions? Do I have a motion? MR. PHILLIPS: Move to adopt the new regulations. DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Thank you, Gerald. Next the Commission will consider adoption of the proposed amendments to ADEM Administrative Code 335-14, Hazardous Waste	3
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The next item of business is the adoption of proposed New Chapter 14, Composting Facility Permitting Regulation within ADEM Administrative Code Division 335-13, Solid Waste Program Regulations. MR. HARDY: Good morning, Dr. Lester and members of the Commission. I'm Gerald Hardy, Chief of the Land Division, and I am before you to recommend that the Commission adopt amendments to the Department's Division 13, Solid Waste Program Regulations. The Department proposes to amend its regulations by creating Chapter 14 within its Division 13, as authorized by the Solid Wastes & Recyclable Materials Management Act enacted in 2008. The proposed regulations would establish	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	consideration. Pending any questions you might have, the Department asks that the Commission adopt these changes to the Solid Waste Program. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Any questions? Do I have a motion? MR. PHILLIPS: Move to adopt the new regulations. DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Thank you, Gerald. Next the Commission will consider adoption of the proposed amendments to ADEM Administrative Code 335-14, Hazardous Waste Program Regulations.	3
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The next item of business is the adoption of proposed New Chapter 14, Composting Facility Permitting Regulation within ADEM Administrative Code Division 335-13, Solid Waste Program Regulations. MR. HARDY: Good morning, Dr. Lester and members of the Commission. I'm Gerald Hardy, Chief of the Land Division, and I am before you to recommend that the Commission adopt amendments to the Department's Division 13, Solid Waste Program Regulations. The Department proposes to amend its regulations by creating Chapter 14 within its Division 13, as authorized by the Solid Wastes & Recyclable Materials Management Act enacted in 2008. The proposed regulations would establish technical and operational requirements for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	consideration. Pending any questions you might have, the Department asks that the Commission adopt these changes to the Solid Waste Program. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Any questions? Do I have a motion? MR. PHILLIPS: Move to adopt the new regulations. DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Thank you, Gerald. Next the Commission will consider adoption of the proposed amendments to ADEM Administrative Code 335-14, Hazardous Waste Program Regulations. MR. HARDY: Good morning,	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	The next item of business is the adoption of proposed New Chapter 14, Composting Facility Permitting Regulation within ADEM Administrative Code Division 335-13, Solid Waste Program Regulations. MR. HARDY: Good morning, Dr. Lester and members of the Commission. I'm Gerald Hardy, Chief of the Land Division, and I am before you to recommend that the Commission adopt amendments to the Department's Division 13, Solid Waste Program Regulations. The Department proposes to amend its regulations by creating Chapter 14 within its Division 13, as authorized by the Solid Wastes & Recyclable Materials Management Act enacted in 2008. The proposed regulations would establish technical and operational requirements for facilities engaged in the composting of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	consideration. Pending any questions you might have, the Department asks that the Commission adopt these changes to the Solid Waste Program. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Any questions? Do I have a motion? MR. PHILLIPS: Move to adopt the new regulations. DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Thank you, Gerald. Next the Commission will consider adoption of the proposed amendments to ADEM Administrative Code 335-14, Hazardous Waste Program Regulations. MR. HARDY: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission.	6
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	The next item of business is the adoption of proposed New Chapter 14, Composting Facility Permitting Regulation within ADEM Administrative Code Division 335-13, Solid Waste Program Regulations. MR. HARDY: Good morning, Dr. Lester and members of the Commission. I'm Gerald Hardy, Chief of the Land Division, and I am before you to recommend that the Commission adopt amendments to the Department's Division 13, Solid Waste Program Regulations. The Department proposes to amend its regulations by creating Chapter 14 within its Division 13, as authorized by the Solid Wastes & Recyclable Materials Management Act enacted in 2008. The proposed regulations would establish technical and operational requirements for facilities engaged in the composting of solid waste.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	consideration. Pending any questions you might have, the Department asks that the Commission adopt these changes to the Solid Waste Program. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Any questions? Do I have a motion? MR. PHILLIPS: Move to adopt the new regulations. DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Thank you, Gerald. Next the Commission will consider adoption of the proposed amendments to ADEM Administrative Code 335-14, Hazardous Waste Program Regulations. MR. HARDY: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission. Again, I'm Gerald Hardy, Chief of the Land	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The next item of business is the adoption of proposed New Chapter 14, Composting Facility Permitting Regulation within ADEM Administrative Code Division 335-13, Solid Waste Program Regulations. MR. HARDY: Good morning, Dr. Lester and members of the Commission. I'm Gerald Hardy, Chief of the Land Division, and I am before you to recommend that the Commission adopt amendments to the Department's Division 13, Solid Waste Program Regulations. The Department proposes to amend its regulations by creating Chapter 14 within its Division 13, as authorized by the Solid Wastes & Recyclable Materials Management Act enacted in 2008. The proposed regulations would establish technical and operational requirements for facilities engaged in the composting of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	consideration. Pending any questions you might have, the Department asks that the Commission adopt these changes to the Solid Waste Program. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Any questions? Do I have a motion? MR. PHILLIPS: Move to adopt the new regulations. DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Thank you, Gerald. Next the Commission will consider adoption of the proposed amendments to ADEM Administrative Code 335-14, Hazardous Waste Program Regulations. MR. HARDY: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission.	

Co	mmission Meeting		February 24, 2012
	Page 3	7	Page 39
1	remain equivalent to the U.S. Environmental	1	MR. HARDY: Thank you.
	Protection Agency Regulations in order to	2	
	retain its authorization from EPA.	3	see what Gisele's been doing for the last
4	To maintain this equivalency,	4	_
5	ADEM must annually revise its regulations.	5	The next item of business is the
6	The revisions to Division 14 of the ADEM	6	Calhoun County et al. versus ADEM, and the
7	Administrative Code, which are before you	7	Black Warrior Riverkeeper, Incorporated, et
8	for consideration, would incorporate	8	
	hazardous waste regulations promulgated by	9	matter, consolidating with Business
10		10	
11	2011.	11	ADEM, and Black Warrior Riverkeeper,
12	In this rulemaking, the	l l	Incorporated, et al., Intervenors, EMC
13	Department is proposing to adopt federal	13	
14	technical corrections and regulatory	14	At this time we will consider a
15	clarifications and substantive changes made	15	motion to consider the order recommendation
16	by EPA to the federal rules. The	16	of the hearing officer in this consolidated
17	Department is also correcting typographical	17	
18	errors and changes to three rules to	18	
19	clarify their meaning.	19	
20	Public notice of the proposed	20	
21	changes to the hazardous waste regulations	21	withdrawal of their intervention.
22	began on September 25th, 2011, and a public	22	Do you have questions from the
23	hearing was held on December 5th, 2011. No	23	Committee?
	Page 3	3	Page 40
			1 ago 40
1	oral comments were received at the public	1	•
	oral comments were received at the public hearing. No written comments were received		
2	hearing. No written comments were received	1	(No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have
2	hearing. No written comments were received during the comment period that ended on	1 2	(No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a motion?
2	hearing. No written comments were received during the comment period that ended on December 5th, 2011.	1 2 3	(No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have
2 3 4 5	hearing. No written comments were received during the comment period that ended on	1 2 3 4	(No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a motion? DR. MILLER: Move to adopt. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have
2 3 4 5 6	hearing. No written comments were received during the comment period that ended on December 5th, 2011. On January 18th, 2012, the State	1 2 3 4 5	(No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a motion? DR. MILLER: Move to adopt. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have
2 3 4 5 6 7	hearing. No written comments were received during the comment period that ended on December 5th, 2011. On January 18th, 2012, the State Committee of Public Health concurred with	1 2 3 4 5	(No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a motion? DR. MILLER: Move to adopt. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a second?
2 3 4 5 6 7	hearing. No written comments were received during the comment period that ended on December 5th, 2011. On January 18th, 2012, the State Committee of Public Health concurred with the Department's recommendation to adopt	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	(No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a motion? DR. MILLER: Move to adopt. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a second? DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	hearing. No written comments were received during the comment period that ended on December 5th, 2011. On January 18th, 2012, the State Committee of Public Health concurred with the Department's recommendation to adopt these rules as proposed.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a motion? DR. MILLER: Move to adopt. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a second? DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	hearing. No written comments were received during the comment period that ended on December 5th, 2011. On January 18th, 2012, the State Committee of Public Health concurred with the Department's recommendation to adopt these rules as proposed. At this time, the Department	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a motion? DR. MILLER: Move to adopt. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a second? DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All in favor say "aye."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	hearing. No written comments were received during the comment period that ended on December 5th, 2011. On January 18th, 2012, the State Committee of Public Health concurred with the Department's recommendation to adopt these rules as proposed. At this time, the Department requests that the Commission adopt these	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a motion? DR. MILLER: Move to adopt. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a second? DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye")
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	hearing. No written comments were received during the comment period that ended on December 5th, 2011. On January 18th, 2012, the State Committee of Public Health concurred with the Department's recommendation to adopt these rules as proposed. At this time, the Department requests that the Commission adopt these rules as recommended, and I will be glad to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a motion? DR. MILLER: Move to adopt. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a second? DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	hearing. No written comments were received during the comment period that ended on December 5th, 2011. On January 18th, 2012, the State Committee of Public Health concurred with the Department's recommendation to adopt these rules as proposed. At this time, the Department requests that the Commission adopt these rules as recommended, and I will be glad to answer any questions.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	(No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a motion? DR. MILLER: Move to adopt. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a second? DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	hearing. No written comments were received during the comment period that ended on December 5th, 2011. On January 18th, 2012, the State Committee of Public Health concurred with the Department's recommendation to adopt these rules as proposed. At this time, the Department requests that the Commission adopt these rules as recommended, and I will be glad to answer any questions. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Any	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a motion? DR. MILLER: Move to adopt. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a second? DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Any other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	hearing. No written comments were received during the comment period that ended on December 5th, 2011. On January 18th, 2012, the State Committee of Public Health concurred with the Department's recommendation to adopt these rules as proposed. At this time, the Department requests that the Commission adopt these rules as recommended, and I will be glad to answer any questions. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Any questions from the Commission?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	(No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a motion? DR. MILLER: Move to adopt. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a second? DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Any other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	hearing. No written comments were received during the comment period that ended on December 5th, 2011. On January 18th, 2012, the State Committee of Public Health concurred with the Department's recommendation to adopt these rules as proposed. At this time, the Department requests that the Commission adopt these rules as recommended, and I will be glad to answer any questions. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Any questions from the Commission? (No response)	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a motion? DR. MILLER: Move to adopt. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a second? DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Any other business from the Commission? Debi, do you have any business? (No response)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	hearing. No written comments were received during the comment period that ended on December 5th, 2011. On January 18th, 2012, the State Committee of Public Health concurred with the Department's recommendation to adopt these rules as proposed. At this time, the Department requests that the Commission adopt these rules as recommended, and I will be glad to answer any questions. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Any questions from the Commission? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	(No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a motion? DR. MILLER: Move to adopt. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a second? DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Any other business from the Commission? Debi, do you have any business?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	hearing. No written comments were received during the comment period that ended on December 5th, 2011. On January 18th, 2012, the State Committee of Public Health concurred with the Department's recommendation to adopt these rules as proposed. At this time, the Department requests that the Commission adopt these rules as recommended, and I will be glad to answer any questions. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Any questions from the Commission? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a motion?	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a motion? DR. MILLER: Move to adopt. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a second? DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Any other business from the Commission? Debi, do you have any business? (No response)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	hearing. No written comments were received during the comment period that ended on December 5th, 2011. On January 18th, 2012, the State Committee of Public Health concurred with the Department's recommendation to adopt these rules as proposed. At this time, the Department requests that the Commission adopt these rules as recommended, and I will be glad to answer any questions. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Any questions from the Commission? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a motion? DR. RICHARDSON: Move to	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a motion? DR. MILLER: Move to adopt. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a second? DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Any other business from the Commission? Debi, do you have any business? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Gisele, do you have any business? (No response)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	hearing. No written comments were received during the comment period that ended on December 5th, 2011. On January 18th, 2012, the State Committee of Public Health concurred with the Department's recommendation to adopt these rules as proposed. At this time, the Department requests that the Commission adopt these rules as recommended, and I will be glad to answer any questions. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Any questions from the Commission? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a motion? DR. RICHARDSON: Move to adopt.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a motion? DR. MILLER: Move to adopt. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a second? DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Any other business from the Commission? Debi, do you have any business? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Gisele, do you have any business? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Robert, do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	hearing. No written comments were received during the comment period that ended on December 5th, 2011. On January 18th, 2012, the State Committee of Public Health concurred with the Department's recommendation to adopt these rules as proposed. At this time, the Department requests that the Commission adopt these rules as recommended, and I will be glad to answer any questions. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Any questions from the Commission? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a motion? DR. RICHARDSON: Move to adopt. DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a motion? DR. MILLER: Move to adopt. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a second? DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Any other business from the Commission? Debi, do you have any business? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Gisele, do you have any business? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Robert, do you have any business?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	hearing. No written comments were received during the comment period that ended on December 5th, 2011. On January 18th, 2012, the State Committee of Public Health concurred with the Department's recommendation to adopt these rules as proposed. At this time, the Department requests that the Commission adopt these rules as recommended, and I will be glad to answer any questions. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Any questions from the Commission? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a motion? DR. RICHARDSON: Move to adopt. DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a motion? DR. MILLER: Move to adopt. CHAIRMAN LESTER: Do I have a second? DR. LAIER: Second. CHAIRMAN LESTER: All in favor say "aye." (All respond "aye") CHAIRMAN LESTER: All opposed? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Any other business from the Commission? Debi, do you have any business? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Gisele, do you have any business? (No response) CHAIRMAN LESTER: Robert, do

```
Page 41
 1 stuff.
            CHAIRMAN LESTER: The next
 3 Commission meeting will be April 20th,
 4 2012.
          Any Commissioners have any
 6 problem with it?
            (No response)
            CHAIRMAN LESTER: If not, do
 8
 9 I have a motion to adjourn?
            DR. MILLER: So moved.
10
            MR. PHILLIPS: Second.
11
            CHAIRMAN LESTER: All
12
13 opposed?
14
            (No response)
15
    (The hearing concludes at 11:42 a.m.)
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
                                               Page 42
 1 STATE OF ALABAMA)
    COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY)
 3
               I hereby certify that the above
 5
    proceedings were taken down by me and
    transcribed by me using computer-aided
    transcription and that the above is a true
    and accurate transcript of said proceedings
 9
    taken down by me and transcribed by me.
10
               I further certify that I am
   neither of kin nor of counsel to any of the
    parties nor in anywise financially
13 interested in the outcome of this case.
14
               I further certify that I am duly
15 licensed by the Alabama Board of Court
    Reporting as a Certified Court Reporter as
    evidenced by the ACCR number following my
18
    name found below.
19
20
21
22
           VICTORIA CASTILLO, ACCR #17, 9/30/12
23
           FREELANCE COURT REPORTER
```

1	STATE OF ALABAMA)
2	COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY)
3	
4	I hereby certify that the above
5	proceedings were taken down by me and
6	transcribed by me using computer-aided
7	transcription and that the above is a true
8	and accurate transcript of said proceedings
9	taken down by me and transcribed by me.
10	I further certify that I am
11	neither of kin nor of counsel to any of the
12	parties nor in anywise financially
13	interested in the outcome of this case.
14	I further certify that I am duly
15	licensed by the Alabama Board of Court
16	Reporting as a Certified Court Reporter as
17	evidenced by the ACCR number following my
18	name found below.
19	
20	Mirtoria Cartillo
21	Victoria Chotille
22	VICTORIA CASTILLO, ACCR #17, 9/30/12

FREELANCE COURT REPORTER

23

Commission Meeting				February 24, 2012
		18:1	active (1)	21,23;24:2;26:12,16,21
•		4's (2)	15:18	al (3)
\$	2	14:15,16	activities (7)	39:6,8,12
		4th (1)		
\$1.1 (1)	2 (1)	33:3	7:3;14:13;15:17;17:8;	ALABAMA (17)
6:2	39:19	33.3	29:22;30:2,7	1:1,9,11,13;3:12;
\$216,000 (1)	20 (1)	5	activity (2)	12:18;13:18;16:2,3,8;
6:21	7:10	5	13:22;29:16	17:10,17;19:8,13,17;
\$260,000 (1)	2008 (1)	(-)	actual (1)	29:15,18
6:20	34:17	5th (2)	29:11	Alabama's (3)
\$30 (1)	2010 (2)	37:23;38:4	addition (1)	16:11;18:10;19:11
17:19	5:15;37:10		29:11	ALDOT (1)
\$4 (1)	2011 (8)	6	additional (5)	13:9
10:14	16:3;18:22;23:10;		6:1,5,6;10:22;15:2	Alliance (1)
·	35:15;37:11,22,23;38:4	65 (1)	address (1)	39:10
*	2012 (7)	10:18	31:9	allocated (1)
	1:14;3:14;9:9;21:8;	67 (1)	ADEM (19)	6:20
***************************************	33:3;38:5;41:4	11:11	3:18;6:19;7:6;10:21;	allotment (3)
41:16	2013 (8)	69 (2)	13:6;15:7,10,16,19;	5:8,23;7:13
41,10		10:5,8	17:23;26:11;28:12;	allow (2)
1	6:13;7:2,4,7,19;9:10;	6th (1)	32:14;34:3;36:16;37:5,	9:8,15
1	20:17;21:8	33:4	6;39:6,11	allowed (1)
	20th (1)	33.4	ADEM's (2)	30:11
1 (1)	41:3	7		
24:5	22 (1)	/	23:12;32:5	allowing (1)
1,300 (1)	15:8	50 000 (1)	adjourn (1)	20:4
18:3	23rd (1)	70,000 (1)	41:9	along (3)
100 (1)	35:15	10:10	administering (1)	9:5;15:11;16:8
18:9	24 (1)	7th (3)	24:14	ALR040000 (1)
11:00 (2)	1:14	27:14;35:15,16	Administrative (13)	39:18
1:15;3:1	24th (1)		23:7,12;24:21;26:12;	Although (3)
11:42 (1)	27:13	8	28:12;29:5,10;32:6,15;	5:5;6:16;18:5
41:15	25,000 (1)	,	34:4;35:9;36:17;37:7	aluminum (1)
11-05 (1)	10:21	8 (1)	adopt (10)	12:4
39:8	25th (1)	32:18	31:16;33:13;34:10;	amend (1)
	37:22		36:4,8;37:13;38:7,10,19;	34:13
11-06 (1)	31.22	9	40:4	amendment (7)
39:13	2		adopted (6)	24:23;25:8,10,12,17;
12 (3)	3	0.(1)	16:4;23:9,19,22;	26:11;28:12
5:6,23;6:4		9 (1) 23:10	26:23;39:20	amendments (6)
13 (3)	30th (2)			
20:23;34:11,15	24:9;37:10	9th (2)	adopting (1)	23:8;31:17;32:5;
14 (3)	319 (4)	18:22;27:13	33:8	33:14;34:10;36:16
34:2,14;37:6	5:14;6:18,21,22		adoption (6)	among (2)
1400 (1)	335-13 (1)	A	9:4;26:10;28:11;32:4;	19:17;34:22
1:12	34:4		34:1;36:16	amount (1)
17 (1)	335-14 (1)	able (2)	adverse (1)	6:19
1:23	36:17	7:1;8:4	17:22	analogous (1)
18 (2)	335-2 (3)	absorb (1)	affect (1)	12:3
29:1;30:19	23:13;26:12;27:20	6:8	20:12	analyzing (1)
	335-3 (1)	accept (3)	after-market (1)	18:22
18-month (1)	27:21	25:9,10,16	12:1	announced (1)
30:22	1	accomplish (1)	again (2)	6:11
18th (1)	335-6 (1)	16:13	20:3;36:21	annually (1)
38:5	28:13	accurate (1)	agencies (1)	37:5
19 (2)	335-8 (2)	23:4	29:23	anticipate (1)
6:11;9:5	32:6,15		agency (3)	17:5
1988 (2)	36110-2400 (1)	achieve (2)	3:18;32:23;37:2	anymore (1)
17:9;18:1	1:13	4:8;15:20		27:9
1989 (1)	3rd (1)	act (4)	ago (1)	
15:5	31:1	9:22;13:19;19:10;	26:23	appeal (1)
19th (1)		34:17	agreement (1)	39:17
14:5	4	action (7)	16:22	Appeals (1)
1st (1)		15:4,20;17:21;18:4;	agreements (3)	24:10
37:10	4 (2)	26:19;27:5;33:8	11:6,12;33:1	appears (1)
• , , - •	10:11;14:23	actions (3)	air (12)	20:15
	4,700 (1)	4:16,18;6:7	19:2,8,10,11,20;23:11,	applause (1)
	-,, -, -,	<u> </u>		<u> </u>

- Commission Meeting			1	1 cordary 24, 2012
20:1	34:15	books (1)	1:23	23:12;26:12;28:12;
applicable (1)	automatic (6)	24:6	CCR# (1)	32:6,15;34:4;36:17;37:7
35:11	28:21;29:4,9,12;30:5,	boots (1)	1:23	Coke (4)
applied (1)	13	11:13	century (1)	14:10,14,18,20
17:16	automatically (2)	both (1)	14:5	Coliseum (1)
appreciate (2)	29:1;30:19	13:15	certain (6)	1:12
26:17;31:7	automation (1)	bottom (1)	5:16;6:5,7;16:6;23:20;	collected (1)
approached (2)	4:19	9:3	35:3	11:20
12:23;15:10	available (1)	Boulevard (1)	certifies (1)	collection (1)
approaching (1)	4:11	1:12	25:2	11:18
3:15	average (1)	BP (1)	CHAIRMAN (45)	Columbia (1)
appropriate (1)	19:9	8:20	3:3,6;22:1,15;23:15;	24:11
17:20	avoid (4)	Branch (1)	25:18,21;26:3,6,9,15;	coming (1)
appropriation (1)	8:11;24:7,19;25:6	28:18	27:19;28:1,3,6,10;31:7,	8:3
7:11	avoided (1)	briefly (1)	11,14,19,22;32:3,9;	comment (6)
approval (1)	25:14	8:14	33:11,16,19,23;36:6,11,	27:12;31:4;33:6;
28:19	aware (3)	brighter (1)	14,20;38:13,16,21;39:2;	35:14,21;38:3
approximately (2)	4:2,13;14:1	9:14	40:2,5,8,11,14,18,21;	comments (7)
17:19;18:9	aye (10)	bring (2)	41:2,8,12	27:15;31:4;33:5,5;
April (1)	26:4,5;28:4,5;31:20,	15:19;22:8	challenges (1)	35:17;38:1,2
41:3	21;33:17,18;40:9,10	bringing (1)	9:9	COMMISSION (19)
area (6)		18:18	challenging (1)	1:2;3:13;4:4;22:2,17;
13:23;14:3,4;15:3,11,	В	broken (1)	12:6	23:9,10,16;29:18;32:10;
23		4:5	changes (8)	34:7,10;36:4,15,20;
areas (2)	back (2)	budget (14)	8:9;16:10;27:13;33:9;	38:10,14;40:15;41:3
5:2;9:15	5:15;24:14	3:20;4:7;5:19,21;6:4,	36:4;37:15,18,21	commissioner (3)
arise (1)	balance (1)	14;7:5,8,22;9:17;20:8,	Chapter (3)	22:6;23:3;25:19
30:5	3:23	14;21:1,5	23:12;34:2,14	Commissioners (6)
arising (1)	bank (1)	budgetary (1)	Chief (4)	3:10;28:16;31:10,12;
25:13	21:19	5:6	28:17;34:8,22;36:21	32:2;41:5
Army (1) 29:19	beach (2)	budgeting (1) 20:16	chiefs (1) 19:21	committed (2)
29:19 around (4)	6:16,20 become (1)	budgets (3)	Chilton (1)	4:9;13:3 Committee (4)
11:4;14:9;16:15;17:2	4:16	9:3;20:18,19	27:2	23:7;24:21;38:6;39:23
aside (1)	began (3)	build (2)	Chip (1)	Committee's (1)
13:18	15:5;17:9;37:22	13:1,7	28:17	25:7
ASMC (2)	beginning (3)	business (9)	citizens (1)	communities (1)
30:9,20	4:5;17:23;30:11	12:10;26:10;34:1;	9:11	18:15
asphalt (1)	begins (1)	39:5,9;40:15,16,19,22	clarification (1)	companies (1)
13:11	3:1		30:14	15:3
assessment (1)	begun (4)	C	clarifications (1)	completed (1)
18:18	8:21;16:21;29:3;30:21		37:15	33:2
assistance (1)	behalf (1)	CAIR (5)	clarifies (1)	components (1)
11:3	22:17	24:1,5,15,17;25:4	25:1	17:4
assurance (1)	believes (1)	Calhoun (1)	clarify (2)	Composting (2)
21:14	30:14	39:6	29:12;37:19	34:2,20
assure (1)	beneficial (2)	call (4)	classified (2)	compounding (1)
9:11	10:1;12:5	20:18;23:14;26:14;	10:8,19	7:17
attack (1)	benefit (1)	28:14	clean (4)	compromising (1)
11:22	27:9	called (1)	9:23;19:10;23:11,23	9:10
attendance (1)	Bentley (1)	14:21	cleaned (4)	concern (1)
31:2	7:8	can (9)	10:15,18,23;18:2	14:5
attention (2)	best (1)	3:4;4:8;7:18;8:8,8;	cleanup (3)	concerned (1)
14:2;18:18	4:14	15:19;25:14;30:5,8	9:20;11:5;17:12	21:14 concerning (2)
Auburn (2)	better (2)	cans (1) 12:4	close (3) 18:17;20:3;35:21	concerning (2) 35:20;39:17
16:18,23	20:11;22:13 Birmingham (8)		closely (1)	concerns (2)
Audience (1) 20:1	Birmingham (8) 13:21,23;14:3,10;	capacity (1) 20:5	8:1	7:2,23
authority (1)	15:6,11,23;27:8	case (1)	coal (3)	concludes (1)
8:12	Black (2)	24:12	29:14,15;30:18	41:15
authorization (1)	39:7,11	cases (2)	Coastal (2)	conclusion (1)
37:3	body (1)	9:1;29:19	32:6,15	15:20
authorized (1)	26:23	Castillo (1)	Code (8)	concurred (1)

Commission Meeting			T	February 24, 2012
38:6	costs (2)	DEPARTMENT (32)	DR (13)	end-users (2)
concurrent (1)	8:18,21	1:9;4:8;6:8;8:6,17;	26:2;28:2,16;31:18;	12:15;13:7
30:3	Counsel (1)	11:2,16;12:18,19;16:8,9;	32:2;33:15;34:7;36:10;	enforcement (4)
conditions (1)	23:18	23:14;25:2,16;26:14,20;	38:18,20;40:4,7;41:10	4:19;15:4;18:23;19:11
30:17	counties (3)	28:14,19;29:17;30:14,	dramatically (1)	engaged (2)
conform (1)	11:8,11;27:2	23;31:3;32:17;33:7;	7:18	3:21;34:20
23:22	county (3)	34:13;35:2,19;36:3,22;	drop (1)	engineered (1)
conformity (3)	11:3,18;39:6	37:13,17;38:9	5:15	13:13
24:7,19;25:6	couple (1)	departmental (2)	due (1)	Engineers (1)
confusion (3)	21:12	5:9,10	7:15	29:20
24:8,19;25:6	COURT (5)	Department's (7)	dump (2)	enlisted (1)
congressional (3)	3:5;24:10,13,16;25:14	9:18;18:19;23:17,21;	12:2,7	11:3
7:2,5;21:1	created (1)	32:11;34:11;38:7	dumps (2)	ensure (3)
consequences (1)	9:22	design (2)	9:23;10:2	8:3;18:13;36:23
25:13	creating (1)	35:2,7	during (4)	ensures (1)
consider (7)	34:14	designed (1)	15:8;31:4;33:5;38:3	15:21
12:15;23:6;26:10;	creation (1)	17:11	duties (1)	enter (1)
28:11;36:15;39:14,15	17:10	develop (1)	4:17	39:20
consideration (4)	CROCKETT (2)	12:1	duty (1)	entered (2)
31:8;32:4;36:1;37:8	28:15,17	developed (1)	13:3	11:12;24:11
consistent (1)	crucial (1)	16:14	15.5	entering (2)
32:19	12:21	developing (1)	E	11:6;16:22
consolidated (1)	current (3)	4:20		entirely (1)
39:16	3:16,23;5:12	development (2)	eclipse (1)	7:3
consolidating (1)	Currently (1)	13:17;39:10	3:17	entities (1)
39:9	28:23	dictate (1)	economically (1)	12:23
construction (3)	cut (3)	4:8	12:10	environment (3)
29:2;30:11,20	6:2,22;7:16	different (1)	effect (4)	9:13;15:22;35:5
contained (3)	cuts (4)	4:23	7:17;16:2;24:18;25:4	ENVIRONMENTAL (10)
10:10,11,20	6:6,16;7:6,6	difficult (2)	effectiveness (1)	1:1,9;3:12;6:23;8:22;
contaminated (1)		5:3;9:17	11:5	9:20;13:19;14:2;15:12;
14:11	D	dire (1)	effects (1)	37:1
contamination (1)		4:6	17:22	envisioned (1)
14:19	date (2)	directed (1)	efficiencies (1)	13:17
continue (4)	22:8;35:20	16:7	8:7	EPA (13)
4:7;8:1,5;24:14	day (1)	director (1)	efficient (2)	6:16;7:3,6;8:13;14:14;
continued (1)	9:2	3:7	4:17;11:15	15:5,13,16;24:3,14;37:3,
9:6	deal (1)	discovered (2)	effort (4)	10,16
continues (3)	14:1	18:14;35:20	9:2,20;13:1,9	EPA's (3)
3:17;14:1,23	Debi (2)	disposal (2)	efforts (2)	5:19;18:23;24:13
continuing (2)	22:23;40:16	11:19;16:11	8:20;29:23	equivalency (1)
5:13;21:5	Debi's (1)	distributions (1)	either (1)	37:4
continuously (1)	22:18	21:22	21:11	equivalent (1)
29:21	December (10)	District (1)	elected (1)	37:1
contractors (1)	18:22;23:10,18;24:9;	24:11	15:5	erase (1)
10:6	27:13,14;35:15,16;	division (13)	eliminated (2)	27:7
control (3)	37:23;38:4	19:20;24:2;26:17,21;	6:17;8:8	error (2)
15:6;26:13;35:3	decision (1)	28:18;32:11,18;34:4,9,	eliminating (1)	35:19,22
coordinating (1)	32:19	11,15;36:22;37:6	6:19	errors (1)
29:21	declared (2)	Docket (2)	EMC (2)	37:18
Corps (1)	21:9,11	39:8,13	39:8,12	establish (2)
29:19	declaring (1)	document (1)	emergencies (1)	34:18;35:7
corrected (1)	16:5	32:13	8:23	establishing (1)
35:22	declined (1)	dollars (1)	Emergency (2)	11:17
correcting (1)	18:7	11:15	8:16,18	et (3)
37:17	decrease (1)	done (1)	employees (1)	39:6,7,12
Corrections (2)	7:12	12:3	22:3	evaluate (1)
12:19;37:14	delayed (1)	double (1)	enacted (1)	8:5
corrective (2)	20:17	22:20	34:17	evaluating (1)
17:21;18:4	delivering (1)	doubt (1)	encourage (1)	11:17
cost (5)	4:3	5:9	17:2	even (6) 5:3,16;9:17;19:16;
8:11,15;9:7;10:12; 27:8	demand (2) 13:7,16	down (1) 21:17	ended (1) 38:3	20:18;21:4

Commission Meeting	T			February 24, 201
exact (1)	11:14	5:5,23;6:4,13;7:7,19;	HARDY (5)	12:2
24:17	fill (1)	9:9,10	34:6,8;36:19,21;39:1	incidents (1)
excellent (1)	13:14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	hat (1)	18:2
19:19	final (2)	G	19:20	include (2)
except (2)	7:4;20:14		Hazardous (4)	4:18;7:5
5:14;8:19	financial (5)	gallon (1)	36:17,23;37:9,21	incorporate (1)
excuse (1)	4:6,13,22;5:4;9:9	17:16	Health (4)	37:8
27:12	find (1)	gasoline (4)	16:9;17:22;18:15;38:6	Incorporated (2)
existing (2)	12:9	17:13;27:1,4,8	healthful (2)	39:7,12
18:13;35:11	first (9)	gave (1)	9:12;15:21	increase (2)
expanded (1)	4:12;5:4;9:21,23;	20:9	hearing (12)	6:12;9:5
16:6	12:22;17:5;23:6;24:12;	general (14)	3:1;27:11,14;29:10;	increased (1)
expect (4)	29:8	4:20;5:8,11,23;6:4;	31:1;32:12;33:2;35:16;	4:18
6:1;8:8;19:19;20:10	fiscal (4)	7:8,12,22;14:21;15:2;	37:23;38:2;39:16;41:15	indicated (1)
expends (1)	3:13,16;4:1;7:4	18:21;23:17;39:17,19	heavily (1)	15:16
17:18	fiscally (1)	gentlemen (1)	14:4	indications (1)
experiencing (1)	8:4	26:16	heavy (1)	5:12
9:16	five (1)	Gerald (3)	13:3	individually (1)
expiration (6)	10:4	34:8;36:15,21	held (5)	22:6
28:22;29:4,9,13;30:6,	fixing (1)	Gisele (3)	27:11;30:23;33:2;	industrial (1)
13	26:18	22:16,17;40:18	35:16;37:23	13:22
expire (2)	focus (1)	Gisele's (1)	help (2)	industrialized (1)
29:1;30:19	4:22	39:3	13:7;27:2	14:4
extremely (1)	focused (1)	given (2)	high (1)	industry (1)
11:15	4:15	14:2;26:18	18:17	15:10
	folks (1)	glad (1)	highest (1)	information (3)
${f F}$	22:4	38:11	19:9	23:1,3,4
	following (2)	Good (6)	highlight (1)	informed (1)
faced (1)	4:21;35:20	3:9,10;28:16;32:8;	5:1	22:4
3:22	form (1)	34:6;36:19	highly (1)	initial (2)
facilitate (1)	12:13	GORE (3)	29:16	12:11;13:5
13:4	formal (1)	26:15,16;28:9	Historically (1)	initiative (1)
facilities (4)	16:22	government (3)	8:17	8:15
34:20,23;35:9,11	Forty-one (1)	3:19;11:10;21:20	hope (1)	input (1)
facility (3)	11:11	governments (1)	15:18	17:3
29:3;30:21;34:3	four (3)	11:4	hurdle (1)	Inspector (1)
fairly (1)	10:8;19:4,6	Governor (1)	12:8	18:21
6:15	frame (3)	7:8		intended (1)
far (3)	20:11;29:3;30:22	grants (3)	I	24:18
10:22;20:20;21:13	fuel (1)	5:10,17;21:16		intent (1)
favor (5)	17:16	great (2)	identified (1)	30:12
26:4;28:4;31:20;	fulfill (1)	5:2;14:1	10:22	interested (1)
33:17;40:9	3:22	ground (1)	identifies (1)	15:12
favorable (1)	functions (1)	11:13	14:22	Interstate (2)
33:8	8:7	group (1)	illegal (2)	23:11;24:1
February (2)	fund (17)	26:21	9:23;10:2	Intervenors (1)
1:14;31:1	5:8,23;6:4;7:1,8,12,	groups (2)	impact (1)	39:12
federal (21)	22;9:21,21;10:7,16;11:1,	15:11;16:18	6:10	intervention (1)
5:7,10,13,17;6:14;	7,21;17:11,12,18	guess (1)	impartial (1)	39:21
7:14,17;20:23;21:5,13,	funded (2)	20:22	18:20	into (5)
16,20;23:22;25:4;27:4,	5:17;17:15		implement (2)	6:13;11:6,12;16:22;
10;32:21,22,23;37:13,16	funding (12)	H	13:10;35:2	35:5
fee (3)	3:23;4:1;5:7,9,13;		implemented (3)	investigate (1)
6:11;9:5;17:15	6:16,17;7:19;11:19;	half (1)	8:16;9:7;18:8	17:20
fees (1)	19:18;20:21;21:16	21:15	important (2)	investigation (1)
5:10	funds (6)	halfway (2)	5:2;16:23	18:4
few (2)	5:11;7:14,16,18;11:6;	3:15;5:5	Impressive (2)	investing (1)
9:15;14:12	13:2	happen (1)	19:15,16	12:15
fewer (1)	further (2)	21:3	improve (1)	involve (1)
·· \-/	6:22;12:16	happy (2)	11:4	17:1
4:17			į	
4:17 Field (1)	,		inability (2)	involves (1)
Field (1)	future (1)	31:9;33:10	inability (2) 7:15;12:9	involves (1) 13:9
	,		inability (2) 7:15;12:9 incentive (1)	

Commission Meeting	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			February 24, 20
26:17	10:8,9,14	4:23	22:5	20.15.40.2.41.0
issuance (2)	largely (1)	local (1)		39:15;40:3;41:9
29:2;30:20	27:5	11:10	members (6)	move (6)
issued (1)	larger (2)	locations (1)	8:2;23:16;31:2;32:9;	16:1;31:16;33:13;
30:9	7:5,6	14:12	34:7;36:20	36:8;38:18;40:4
issues (1)	largest (3)	longer (1)	mention (1)	moved (3)
14:3	8:20;10:10,13	25:4	8:14	25:23;27:23;41:10
item (7)	last (5)		message (1)	Moving (1)
3:17;23:6;26:10;	4:4;15:8;21:4;23:18;	look (1)	4:3	13:20
28:11;32:4;34:1;39:5	39:3	22:13	mics (1)	MS4 (1)
items (1)		looking (1)	3:4	39:19
3:17	lead (1)	22:18	might (2)	much (3)
3.17	14:17	loss (1)	19:22;36:2	5:18;18:12;23:2
T T	leadership (1)	7:14	migration (1)	Municipal (1)
J	7:21	lot (1)	35:4	39:18
1 (4)	leaking (1)	22:21	Miller (3)	municipally (1)
January (4)	17:13	lowest (1)	32:2;40:4;41:10	12:19
24:5;33:3,4;38:5	least (1)	19:17	million (4)	must (1)
Jefferson (1)	30:10		6:3;10:11,14;17:19	37:5
27:1	leaves (1)	M	mine (1)	
JENKINS (3)	18:3		30:8	N
32:8,10;33:22	LeFLEUR (4)	maintain (5)	mining (4)	
JOHNSTON (2)	3:9;20:2,13;22:10	8:4;24:7,19;25:5;37:4	29:14,15,18;30:18	nation (3)
23:15,16	legacy (1)	maintained (1)	mission (2)	18:11;19:14,18
joining (1)	14:2	11:10	3:22;9:11	near (2)
15:13	legal (1)	major (1)	mitigated (1)	15:14;16:23
joins (1)	40:23	5:17	6:10	1
32:2	legislation (2)	making (2)	model (1)	nearly (3)
Joint (2)	16:4,7	5:2;32:20	12:10	5:5;10:13;18:1
23:6;24:20	legislative (5)	MANAGEMENT (6)	modest (1)	necessary (4)
judicial (1)	7:21;23:7;24:20;25:3,	1:1,10;3:13;5:20;	6:15	12:14;13:4;16:10;35:4
29:5	11	28:18;34:17		net (1)
July (1)	legislature (6)	mandate (1)	modification (1)	7:12
37:10	7:9;8:2;9:22;16:4;	16:13	32:14	new (10)
Jump-starting (1)	20:14,17		modified (1)	8:6,14;16:6;18:5,9;
12:4	less (2)	mark (1)	13:10	24:4,13;34:2;35:11;36:9
June (1)	6:21;10:21	3:16	modify (4)	newly (2)
37:10		market (1)	28:20;29:7;30:16;	9:7;18:13
57.10	LESTER (43)	13:17	32:18	news (1)
K	3:3,6;22:1,15;25:18,	match (2)	money's (2)	20:9
N	21;26:3,6,9;27:19;28:1,	7:15,17	21:13,19	next (10)
	3,6,10,16;31:11,14,19,	material (3)	monies (1)	16:20;17:7;21:11;
keep (1)	22;32:3,9;33:11,16,19,	12:14;13:8,12	13:18	26:9;28:10;32:3;34:1;
22:3	23;34:7;36:6,11,14;	materialize (1)	monitor (1)	36:15;39:5;41:2
known (3)	38:13,16,21;39:2;40:2,5,	6:6	17:20	NOAA (2)
10:14;18:2;23:23	8,11,14,18,21;41:2,8,12	Materials (1)	monitoring (2)	32:20,22
_	letter (1)	34:16	6:17,21	noncritical (1)
${f L}$	14:21	matter (2)	Montgomery (1)	8:7
	letters (1)	39:9,13	1:13	nonetheless (1)
LAIER (6)	15:2	may (5)	months (5)	4:9
26:2;31:18;33:15;	level (2)	8:11;14:6;20:18,21;	8:3;15:9;21:12;29:1;	Nonpoint (1)
36:10;38:20;40:7	17:17;21:10	21:20	30:19	5:14
Lance (1)	levels (3)	meaning (2)	moratorium (2)	normal (1)
22:5	5:15;14:6;19:18	10:20;37:19	16:2,5	1 ' '
Lance's (1)	light (2)	means (1)	more (6)	5:18
22:20	7:2;24:15	10:17	, ,	normally (2)
land (4)	likely (1)	measures (1)	4:16;5:1;9:2;11:13;	21:10,15
19:2,20;34:8;36:21	15:1		12:6;19:16	north (7)
landfill (3)	line (1)	35:3	morning (7)	13:20,23;14:3,10;
		meet (1)	3:9,10;20:10;28:16;	15:6,11,22
12:20;16:2;17:3	9:3	9:8	32:8;34:6;36:19	note (2)
landfills (1)	lines (1)	MEETING (4)	most (2)	9:14;18:17
16:7	32:20	1:2;3:12;23:18;41:3	9:18;18:11	notice (4)
language (1)	listed (1)	meetings (4)	motion (10)	14:21;15:2;37:20;
	100			
25:12 large (3)	19:9 little (1)	4:4;17:2,6;22:7 meets (1)	25:22;26:3;27:22;	39:20

				rebruary 24, 2012
6:1	opposite (1)	17:19	12:14;31:5	6:15;7:7;21:2;23:8;
notwithstanding (1)	24:18	percent (4)	present (2)	24:2,23;25:7,10,17;
30:1	oral (2)	6:11;7:10;9:5;21:18	3:11;14:6	26:11;28:11;31:17;32:5,
NPDES (6)	35:17;38:1	perform (2)	presentations (1)	14;33:9,14;34:2,18,22;
28:22,23;29:13;30:6,	orally (1)	4:17;30:8	16:17	35:6,12;36:16;37:20;
18;39:17	27:16	performance (4)	presented (1)	38:8
NPDES-related (2)	order (7)	4:10;18:19;19:10,19	35:23	proposes (1)
39:8,13	24:11,16;25:14;30:15;	performed (1)	President (1)	34:13
number (3)	37:2;39:15,20	14:8	6:15	proposing (2)
6:23;14:9;18:5	ordered (1)	perhaps (1)	prevent (3)	32:17;37:13
Numerous (2)	24:13	22:14	10:2;17:21;35:4	proration (2)
12:22;29:19	organization (1)	period (6)	previous (1)	6:2;21:9
0	18:20	27:12;31:4;33:6;	4:15	prospects (1)
O	organizations (1)	35:14,21;38:3	previously (2)	4:1
Ob (1)	15:12	permit (11)	6:11,20	protected (1)
Obama (1) 6:15	otherwise (1)	9:5;28:23;29:6,11,13;	primarily (1)	18:16
	22:14	30:6,9,18,20;39:17,19	10:7	Protection (1)
obtain (2)	out (3)	permits (5)	prior (1)	37:2
13:3;35:1	12:18;15:1;22:19	4:20;28:22;29:17;	30:8	provide (2)
obviously (1) 21:1	outcome (1) 15:21	32:22;35:1	private (3)	7:15;10:16
October (2)	outside (1)	permitted (2)	10:6;12:9,22	provided (3)
27:13;35:15	18:20	29:2;30:21	private/public (1)	11:7,21;31:6
off (2)	over (4)	permitting (6)	13:1	provides (1)
14:17;24:6	8:2,13;10:4;15:9	8:12;16:6;29:22;30:2;	probably (1)	11:13
Office (3)	overall (4)	34:3;35:10 pertain (1)	20:21	provision (1)
5:20;18:21;23:17	7:18;19:5,7,13	28:21	problem (4)	29:8
officer (1)	overcome (1)	Phase (1)	11:14,23;12:17;41:6	Public (17)
39:16	12:17	39:19	process (6)	16:9;17:1,3,5;27:11,
officially (1)	oversight (2)	PHILLIPS (9)	6:14;8:9;15:14,19;	11,14;30:23;31:2,4;
15:13	18:23;32:23	20:7;21:23;25:23;	16:21;20:16 processed (1)	33:2;35:14,16;37:20,22;
off-site (1)	ozone (1)	26:1;27:23;31:16;33:13;	13:12	38:1,6
14:17	27:2	36:8;41:11	processing (1)	Q
oil (1)		pilot (1)	12:16	· · · · · ·
8:20	P	12:21	productive (2)	Quality (6)
old (2)		place (1)	9:12;15:22	13:19;27:1,4,10;
24:6,15	package (3)	17:6	Program (24)	28:13,20
once (1)	23:19;24:22;32:12	Plan (2)	5:15;6:18,22;8:17;9:8;	quartile (2)
20:3	paid (1)	16:12;17:5	14:15,16;17:9,9,15,23;	19:13,14
one (13)	10:6	Planning (1)	18:7,10;19:8;26:13;	quartiles (2)
3:16;5:7,7;8:14;9:2,	paradox (1)	16:20	28:13;32:6,16,22;34:5,	19:4,7
18;12:8;13:8;18:10;	30:5	plant (1)	12;35:13;36:5,18	
19:4,6;20:7;31:3	part (3)	14:10	programs (8)	R
ones (2)	8:6;17:1;32:12	played (1)	5:14;8:10;9:19;19:1,3,	
22:12,12	participation (1)	15:7	5,7,12	ran (1)
only (1)	15:15	point (3)	projects (6)	35:14
29:17	parties (2)	6:9;7:11;11:22	7:1;12:21;13:11,14,	ranged (1)
open (1)	8:19,23	pollution (3)	16;32:21	10:13
33:4	partner (2)	13:22;17:12;26:12	promote (1)	ranked (2)
perated (1)	12:20;15:17	portion (1)	10:1	19:3,6
12:20	party (1)	6:18	promulgated (2)	rate (1)
operating (1)	14:23	portions (1)	24:4;37:9	5:18
5:19	past (2)	28:20	proper (1)	RCRA (1)
operational (2)	10:4;15:9	possible (3)	11:19	14:15
34:19;35:8	paving (1)	6:1;7:4;8:10	property (1)	reached (1)
operations (3) 8:4;9:6;32:11	13:11	possibly (1)	14:18	12:18
0.4.7.0.32.11	peak (1)	9:10	proposal (3)	realize (1)
			16:18;30:16;31:8	22.2
perator (1)	4:9	potential (5)		23:2
operator (1) 30:8	4:9 pending (3)	6:10;11:17;12:15;	Proposals (2)	really (1)
operator (1) 30:8 opposed (8)	4:9 pending (3) 24:12;27:17;36:2	6:10;11:17;12:15; 13:7;17:21	Proposals (2) 16:14,16	really (1) 22:4
pperator (1) 30:8 ppposed (8) 26:7;28:7;31:23;	4:9 pending (3) 24:12;27:17;36:2 people (2)	6:10;11:17;12:15; 13:7;17:21 potentially (1)	Proposals (2) 16:14,16 propose (2)	really (1) 22:4 reappearing (1)
operator (1) 30:8 opposed (8)	4:9 pending (3) 24:12;27:17;36:2 people (2) 22:11;23:2	6:10;11:17;12:15; 13:7;17:21	Proposals (2) 16:14,16	really (1) 22:4

Commission Meeting				February 24, 2012
21:14	7:3,21;14:17	Request (2)	RICHARDSON (2)	16:19
reasons (1)	Region (3)	16:14;29:10	28:2;38:18	sending (1)
25:15	14:15,15,23	requests (2)	right (2)	15:1
recall (1)	regulated (1)	33:8;38:10	20:22;21:21	sent (3)
5:9	29:16	1		
		require (1)	right-of-ways (1)	7:9;14:20;16:15
receive (1)	Regulation (4)	27:7	11:9	Separate (1)
33:4	23:8;24:21;33:9;34:3	required (2)	Riverkeeper (2)	39:18
received (8)	Regulations (24)	32:20;36:22	39:7,11	September (1)
21:15,18;27:15;31:3;	23:11,21;26:13,22;	requirements (8)	road (1)	37:22
33:5;35:18;38:1,2	27:7;28:13,21;32:7,18,	23:23;27:4,10;34:19,	13:13	serve (1)
recent (1)	21;34:5,12,14,18;35:6,	23;35:1,8,10	Robert (1)	20:4
13:21	13,18;36:9,18,23;37:2,5,	requires (1)	40:21	service (2)
recently (4)	9,21	9:2	role (2)	20:4;25:3
7:9;8:15;11:2;14:15	Regulatory (3)	requiring (1)	15:7,19	session (2)
receptive (1)	18:7;32:19;37:14	29:16	Ron (1)	16:3;20:19
7:23	reject (1)	rescinded (1)	26:16	set (2)
recognition (1)	25:9	8:12	Room (2)	13:18;31:3
19:21	related (2)	research (1)	1:11;22:11	seven (1)
recognized (1)	13:13;32:13	15:1	rubber (1)	10:22
19:16	relative (1)	resolutions (1)	13:10	several (2)
recommend (2)	20:8	21:6	Rule (9)	4:4;15:9
16:10;34:9	release (1)	resources (2)	23:11;24:1,1,4,13,15,	Sewer (1)
recommendation (2)	18:2	4:10,18	15;27:12;30:13	39:18
38:7;39:15	released (1)	respective (1)	rulemaking (5)	shredded (1)
recommended (2)	18:21	30:2	23:9,19;24:22;33:1;	12:13
7:16;38:11	releases (3)	respond (5)	37:12	shredders (1)
recommends (1)	17:22;18:6,9	26:5;28:5;31:21;	rules (14)	13:3
25:16	reliable (1)	33:18;40:10	23:20;24:3,6,17;25:1,	shredding (1)
reconciliation (1)	12:13	Response (19)	4,10,27:18;30:17;32:16;	12:22
31:5	remain (2)	8:16,18,20;9:1;25:20;	37:16,18;38:8,11	side (2)
record (3)	5:6;37:1	26:8;28:8;31:13;32:1;	37.10,10,30.0,11	6:14;20:23
4:5;32:13;33:3	remained (1)	33:21;36:13;38:15,23;	S	significant (1)
records (1)	33:3		3	
		1 40.1 14 17 70.41.7 14	I.	1 12·X
		40:1,13,17,20;41:7,14	safe (2)	12:8
25:12	remaining (3)	responsible (4)	safe (2) 9:12:15:21	simply (1)
25:12 recovered (1)	remaining (3) 10:15,18;18:12	responsible (4) 8:19,23;14:23;39:10	9:12;15:21	simply (1) 30:2
25:12 recovered (1) 8:18	remaining (3) 10:15,18;18:12 remediate (2)	responsible (4) 8:19,23;14:23;39:10 restoration (1)	9:12;15:21 same (2)	simply (1) 30:2 site (2)
25:12 recovered (1) 8:18 recovering (1)	remaining (3) 10:15,18;18:12 remediate (2) 10:12,17	responsible (4) 8:19,23;14:23;39:10 restoration (1) 6:23	9:12;15:21 same (2) 4:3;8:5	simply (1) 30:2 site (2) 14:10,18
25:12 recovered (1) 8:18 recovering (1) 8:21	remaining (3) 10:15,18;18:12 remediate (2) 10:12,17 remediated (2)	responsible (4) 8:19,23;14:23;39:10 restoration (1) 6:23 restrictions (1)	9:12;15:21 same (2) 4:3;8:5 Sampling (1)	simply (1) 30:2 site (2) 14:10,18 sites (14)
25:12 recovered (1) 8:18 recovering (1) 8:21 recovery (2)	remaining (3) 10:15,18;18:12 remediate (2) 10:12,17 remediated (2) 10:5;18:14	responsible (4) 8:19,23;14:23;39:10 restoration (1) 6:23 restrictions (1) 30:7	9:12;15:21 same (2) 4:3;8:5 Sampling (1) 14:8	simply (1) 30:2 site (2) 14:10,18 sites (14) 10:5,8,9,9,12,15,17,18,
25:12 recovered (1) 8:18 recovering (1) 8:21 recovery (2) 8:15;9:7	remaining (3) 10:15,18;18:12 remediate (2) 10:12,17 remediated (2) 10:5;18:14 remediation (2)	responsible (4) 8:19,23;14:23;39:10 restoration (1) 6:23 restrictions (1) 30:7 result (3)	9:12;15:21 same (2) 4:3;8:5 Sampling (1) 14:8 scarce (1)	simply (1) 30:2 site (2) 14:10,18 sites (14) 10:5,8,9,9,12,15,17,18, 20,23;11:18,21;12:7;
25:12 recovered (1) 8:18 recovering (1) 8:21 recovery (2) 8:15;9:7 Recyclable (1)	remaining (3) 10:15,18;18:12 remediate (2) 10:12,17 remediated (2) 10:5;18:14 remediation (2) 10:20;12:6	responsible (4) 8:19,23;14:23;39:10 restoration (1) 6:23 restrictions (1) 30:7 result (3) 7:14;8:9,11	9:12;15:21 same (2) 4:3;8:5 Sampling (1) 14:8 scarce (1) 11:15	simply (1) 30:2 site (2) 14:10,18 sites (14) 10:5,8,9,9,12,15,17,18, 20,23;11:18,21;12:7; 18:14
25:12 recovered (1) 8:18 recovering (1) 8:21 recovery (2) 8:15;9:7 Recyclable (1) 34:16	remaining (3) 10:15,18;18:12 remediate (2) 10:12,17 remediated (2) 10:5;18:14 remediation (2) 10:20;12:6 removal (2)	responsible (4) 8:19,23;14:23;39:10 restoration (1) 6:23 restrictions (1) 30:7 result (3) 7:14;8:9,11 resulted (1)	9:12;15:21 same (2) 4:3;8:5 Sampling (1) 14:8 scarce (1) 11:15 scheduled (1)	simply (1) 30:2 site (2) 14:10,18 sites (14) 10:5,8,9,9,12,15,17,18, 20,23;11:18,21;12:7; 18:14 siting (1)
25:12 recovered (1) 8:18 recovering (1) 8:21 recovery (2) 8:15;9:7 Recyclable (1) 34:16 recycled (1)	remaining (3) 10:15,18;18:12 remediate (2) 10:12,17 remediated (2) 10:5;18:14 remediation (2) 10:20;12:6 removal (2) 11:8;14:16	responsible (4) 8:19,23;14:23;39:10 restoration (1) 6:23 restrictions (1) 30:7 result (3) 7:14;8:9,11 resulted (1) 14:11	9:12;15:21 same (2) 4:3;8:5 Sampling (1) 14:8 scarce (1) 11:15 scheduled (1) 24:4	simply (1) 30:2 site (2) 14:10,18 sites (14) 10:5,8,9,9,12,15,17,18, 20,23;11:18,21;12:7; 18:14 siting (1) 17:3
25:12 recovered (1) 8:18 recovering (1) 8:21 recovery (2) 8:15;9:7 Recyclable (1) 34:16 recycled (1) 13:8	remaining (3) 10:15,18;18:12 remediate (2) 10:12,17 remediated (2) 10:5;18:14 remediation (2) 10:20;12:6 removal (2) 11:8;14:16 removed (1)	responsible (4) 8:19,23;14:23;39:10 restoration (1) 6:23 restrictions (1) 30:7 result (3) 7:14;8:9,11 resulted (1) 14:11 resulting (1)	9:12;15:21 same (2) 4:3;8:5 Sampling (1) 14:8 scarce (1) 11:15 scheduled (1) 24:4 schools (1)	simply (1) 30:2 site (2) 14:10,18 sites (14) 10:5,8,9,9,12,15,17,18, 20,23;11:18,21;12:7; 18:14 siting (1) 17:3 situation (5)
25:12 recovered (1) 8:18 recovering (1) 8:21 recovery (2) 8:15;9:7 Recyclable (1) 34:16 recycled (1) 13:8 recycling (2)	remaining (3) 10:15,18;18:12 remediate (2) 10:12,17 remediated (2) 10:5;18:14 remediation (2) 10:20;12:6 removal (2) 11:8;14:16 removed (1) 14:12	responsible (4) 8:19,23;14:23;39:10 restoration (1) 6:23 restrictions (1) 30:7 result (3) 7:14;8:9,11 resulted (1) 14:11 resulting (1) 30:9	9:12;15:21 same (2) 4:3;8:5 Sampling (1) 14:8 scarce (1) 11:15 scheduled (1) 24:4 schools (1) 14:9	simply (1) 30:2 site (2) 14:10,18 sites (14) 10:5,8,9,9,12,15,17,18, 20,23;11:18,21;12:7; 18:14 siting (1) 17:3 situation (5) 4:14,22;5:4;15:7;27:3
25:12 recovered (1) 8:18 recovering (1) 8:21 recovery (2) 8:15;9:7 Recyclable (1) 34:16 recycled (1) 13:8 recycling (2) 11:20;17:3	remaining (3) 10:15,18;18:12 remediate (2) 10:12,17 remediated (2) 10:5;18:14 remediation (2) 10:20;12:6 removal (2) 11:8;14:16 removed (1) 14:12 repeal (4)	responsible (4) 8:19,23;14:23;39:10 restoration (1) 6:23 restrictions (1) 30:7 result (3) 7:14;8:9,11 resulted (1) 14:11 resulting (1) 30:9 retain (2)	9:12;15:21 same (2) 4:3;8:5 Sampling (1) 14:8 scarce (1) 11:15 scheduled (1) 24:4 schools (1) 14:9 Scrap (22)	simply (1) 30:2 site (2) 14:10,18 sites (14) 10:5,8,9,9,12,15,17,18, 20,23;11:18,21;12:7; 18:14 siting (1) 17:3 situation (5) 4:14,22;5:4;15:7;27:3 situations (1)
25:12 recovered (1) 8:18 recovering (1) 8:21 recovery (2) 8:15;9:7 Recyclable (1) 34:16 recycled (1) 13:8 recycling (2) 11:20;17:3 reduce (2)	remaining (3) 10:15,18;18:12 remediate (2) 10:12,17 remediated (2) 10:5;18:14 remediation (2) 10:20;12:6 removal (2) 11:8;14:16 removed (1) 14:12 repeal (4) 23:10;24:16;26:21;	responsible (4) 8:19,23;14:23;39:10 restoration (1) 6:23 restrictions (1) 30:7 result (3) 7:14;8:9,11 resulted (1) 14:11 resulting (1) 30:9 retain (2) 15:5;37:3	9:12;15:21 same (2) 4:3;8:5 Sampling (1) 14:8 scarce (1) 11:15 scheduled (1) 24:4 schools (1) 14:9 Scrap (22) 9:21,23;10:2,7,11,16,	simply (1) 30:2 site (2) 14:10,18 sites (14) 10:5,8,9,9,12,15,17,18, 20,23;11:18,21;12:7; 18:14 siting (1) 17:3 situation (5) 4:14,22;5:4;15:7;27:3 situations (1) 30:4
25:12 recovered (1) 8:18 recovering (1) 8:21 recovery (2) 8:15;9:7 Recyclable (1) 34:16 recycled (1) 13:8 recycling (2) 11:20;17:3 reduce (2) 6:22;7:18	remaining (3) 10:15,18;18:12 remediate (2) 10:12,17 remediated (2) 10:5;18:14 remediation (2) 10:20;12:6 removal (2) 11:8;14:16 removed (1) 14:12 repeal (4) 23:10;24:16;26:21; 27:18	responsible (4) 8:19,23;14:23;39:10 restoration (1) 6:23 restrictions (1) 30:7 result (3) 7:14;8:9,11 resulted (1) 14:11 resulting (1) 30:9 retain (2) 15:5;37:3 returned (1)	9:12;15:21 same (2) 4:3;8:5 Sampling (1) 14:8 scarce (1) 11:15 scheduled (1) 24:4 schools (1) 14:9 Scrap (22) 9:21,23;10:2,7,11,16, 19,21,23;11:5,7,9,14,18,	simply (1) 30:2 site (2) 14:10,18 sites (14) 10:5,8,9,9,12,15,17,18, 20,23;11:18,21;12:7; 18:14 siting (1) 17:3 situation (5) 4:14,22;5:4;15:7;27:3 situations (1) 30:4 slow (1)
25:12 recovered (1) 8:18 recovering (1) 8:21 recovery (2) 8:15;9:7 Recyclable (1) 34:16 recycled (1) 13:8 recycling (2) 11:20;17:3 reduce (2) 6:22;7:18 reduced (1)	remaining (3) 10:15,18;18:12 remediate (2) 10:12,17 remediated (2) 10:5;18:14 remediation (2) 10:20;12:6 removal (2) 11:8;14:16 removed (1) 14:12 repeal (4) 23:10;24:16;26:21; 27:18 repealed (2)	responsible (4) 8:19,23;14:23;39:10 restoration (1) 6:23 restrictions (1) 30:7 result (3) 7:14;8:9,11 resulted (1) 14:11 resulting (1) 30:9 retain (2) 15:5;37:3 returned (1) 24:22	9:12;15:21 same (2) 4:3;8:5 Sampling (1) 14:8 scarce (1) 11:15 scheduled (1) 24:4 schools (1) 14:9 Scrap (22) 9:21,23;10:2,7,11,16, 19,21,23;11:5,7,9,14,18, 21,23;12:1,5,12;13:2,12,	simply (1) 30:2 site (2) 14:10,18 sites (14) 10:5,8,9,9,12,15,17,18, 20,23;11:18,21;12:7; 18:14 siting (1) 17:3 situation (5) 4:14,22;5:4;15:7;27:3 situations (1) 30:4 slow (1) 21:21
25:12 recovered (1) 8:18 recovering (1) 8:21 recovery (2) 8:15;9:7 Recyclable (1) 34:16 recycled (1) 13:8 recycling (2) 11:20;17:3 reduce (2) 6:22;7:18 reduced (1) 3:22	remaining (3) 10:15,18;18:12 remediate (2) 10:12,17 remediated (2) 10:5;18:14 remediation (2) 10:20;12:6 removal (2) 11:8;14:16 removed (1) 14:12 repeal (4) 23:10;24:16;26:21; 27:18 repealed (2) 23:20;25:1	responsible (4) 8:19,23;14:23;39:10 restoration (1) 6:23 restrictions (1) 30:7 result (3) 7:14;8:9,11 resulted (1) 14:11 resulting (1) 30:9 retain (2) 15:5;37:3 returned (1) 24:22 reuse (2)	9:12;15:21 same (2) 4:3;8:5 Sampling (1) 14:8 scarce (1) 11:15 scheduled (1) 24:4 schools (1) 14:9 Scrap (22) 9:21,23;10:2,7,11,16, 19,21,23;11:5,7,9,14,18, 21,23;12:1,5,12;13:2,12, 18	simply (1) 30:2 site (2) 14:10,18 sites (14) 10:5,8,9,9,12,15,17,18, 20,23;11:18,21;12:7; 18:14 siting (1) 17:3 situation (5) 4:14,22;5:4;15:7;27:3 situations (1) 30:4 slow (1) 21:21 slower (1)
25:12 recovered (1) 8:18 recovering (1) 8:21 recovery (2) 8:15;9:7 Recyclable (1) 34:16 recycled (1) 13:8 recycling (2) 11:20;17:3 reduce (2) 6:22;7:18 reduced (1) 3:22 reducing (1)	remaining (3) 10:15,18;18:12 remediate (2) 10:12,17 remediated (2) 10:5;18:14 remediation (2) 10:20;12:6 removal (2) 11:8;14:16 removed (1) 14:12 repeal (4) 23:10;24:16;26:21; 27:18 repealed (2) 23:20;25:1 repealing (1)	responsible (4) 8:19,23;14:23;39:10 restoration (1) 6:23 restrictions (1) 30:7 result (3) 7:14;8:9,11 resulted (1) 14:11 resulting (1) 30:9 retain (2) 15:5;37:3 returned (1) 24:22 reuse (2) 10:1;12:5	9:12;15:21 same (2) 4:3;8:5 Sampling (1) 14:8 scarce (1) 11:15 scheduled (1) 24:4 schools (1) 14:9 Scrap (22) 9:21,23;10:2,7,11,16, 19,21,23;11:5,7,9,14,18, 21,23;12:1,5,12;13:2,12, 18 Second (18)	simply (1) 30:2 site (2) 14:10,18 sites (14) 10:5,8,9,9,12,15,17,18, 20,23;11:18,21;12:7; 18:14 siting (1) 17:3 situation (5) 4:14,22;5:4;15:7;27:3 situations (1) 30:4 slow (1) 21:21 slower (1) 5:18
25:12 recovered (1) 8:18 recovering (1) 8:21 recovery (2) 8:15;9:7 Recyclable (1) 34:16 recycled (1) 13:8 recycling (2) 11:20;17:3 reduce (2) 6:22;7:18 reduced (1) 3:22 reducing (1) 12:12	remaining (3) 10:15,18;18:12 remediate (2) 10:12,17 remediated (2) 10:5;18:14 remediation (2) 10:20;12:6 removal (2) 11:8;14:16 removed (1) 14:12 repeal (4) 23:10;24:16;26:21; 27:18 repealed (2) 23:20;25:1 repealing (1) 24:3	responsible (4) 8:19,23;14:23;39:10 restoration (1) 6:23 restrictions (1) 30:7 result (3) 7:14;8:9,11 resulted (1) 14:11 resulting (1) 30:9 retain (2) 15:5;37:3 returned (1) 24:22 reuse (2) 10:1;12:5 review (3)	9:12;15:21 same (2) 4:3;8:5 Sampling (1) 14:8 scarce (1) 11:15 scheduled (1) 24:4 schools (1) 14:9 Scrap (22) 9:21,23;10:2,7,11,16, 19,21,23;11:5,7,9,14,18, 21,23;12:1,5,12;13:2,12, 18 Second (18) 4:21;5:20,22;10:1;	simply (1) 30:2 site (2) 14:10,18 sites (14) 10:5,8,9,9,12,15,17,18, 20,23;11:18,21;12:7; 18:14 siting (1) 17:3 situation (5) 4:14,22;5:4;15:7;27:3 situations (1) 30:4 slow (1) 21:21 slower (1) 5:18 small (2)
25:12 recovered (1) 8:18 recovering (1) 8:21 recovery (2) 8:15;9:7 Recyclable (1) 34:16 recycled (1) 13:8 recycling (2) 11:20;17:3 reduce (2) 6:22;7:18 reduced (1) 3:22 reducing (1) 12:12 reduction (3)	remaining (3) 10:15,18;18:12 remediate (2) 10:12,17 remediated (2) 10:5;18:14 remediation (2) 10:20;12:6 removal (2) 11:8;14:16 removed (1) 14:12 repeal (4) 23:10;24:16;26:21; 27:18 repealed (2) 23:20;25:1 repealing (1) 24:3 replace (1)	responsible (4) 8:19,23;14:23;39:10 restoration (1) 6:23 restrictions (1) 30:7 result (3) 7:14;8:9,11 resulted (1) 14:11 resulting (1) 30:9 retain (2) 15:5;37:3 returned (1) 24:22 reuse (2) 10:1;12:5 review (3) 5:20;16:9;24:22	9:12;15:21 same (2) 4:3;8:5 Sampling (1) 14:8 scarce (1) 11:15 scheduled (1) 24:4 schools (1) 14:9 Scrap (22) 9:21,23;10:2,7,11,16, 19,21,23;11:5,7,9,14,18, 21,23;12:1,5,12;13:2,12, 18 Second (18) 4:21;5:20,22;10:1; 11:22;26:2,4;28:1,2;	simply (1) 30:2 site (2) 14:10,18 sites (14) 10:5,8,9,9,12,15,17,18, 20,23;11:18,21;12:7; 18:14 siting (1) 17:3 situation (5) 4:14,22;5:4;15:7;27:3 situations (1) 30:4 slow (1) 21:21 slower (1) 5:18 small (2) 10:19,22
25:12 recovered (1) 8:18 recovering (1) 8:21 recovery (2) 8:15;9:7 Recyclable (1) 34:16 recycled (1) 13:8 recycling (2) 11:20;17:3 reduce (2) 6:22;7:18 reduced (1) 3:22 reducing (1) 12:12 reduction (3) 6:3;7:10;30:10	remaining (3) 10:15,18;18:12 remediate (2) 10:12,17 remediated (2) 10:5;18:14 remediation (2) 10:20;12:6 removal (2) 11:8;14:16 removed (1) 14:12 repeal (4) 23:10;24:16;26:21; 27:18 repealed (2) 23:20;25:1 repealing (1) 24:3 replace (1) 24:5	responsible (4) 8:19,23;14:23;39:10 restoration (1) 6:23 restrictions (1) 30:7 result (3) 7:14;8:9,11 resulted (1) 14:11 resulting (1) 30:9 retain (2) 15:5;37:3 returned (1) 24:22 reuse (2) 10:1;12:5 review (3) 5:20;16:9;24:22 reviewing (1)	9:12;15:21 same (2) 4:3;8:5 Sampling (1) 14:8 scarce (1) 11:15 scheduled (1) 24:4 schools (1) 14:9 Scrap (22) 9:21,23;10:2,7,11,16, 19,21,23;11:5,7,9,14,18, 21,23;12:1,5,12;13:2,12, 18 Second (18) 4:21;5:20,22;10:1; 11:22;26:2,4;28:1,2; 29:12;31:18;33:15,17;	simply (1) 30:2 site (2) 14:10,18 sites (14) 10:5,8,9,9,12,15,17,18, 20,23;11:18,21;12:7; 18:14 siting (1) 17:3 situation (5) 4:14,22;5:4;15:7;27:3 situations (1) 30:4 slow (1) 21:21 slower (1) 5:18 small (2) 10:19,22 smaller (1)
25:12 recovered (1) 8:18 recovering (1) 8:21 recovery (2) 8:15;9:7 Recyclable (1) 34:16 recycled (1) 13:8 recycling (2) 11:20;17:3 reduce (2) 6:22;7:18 reduced (1) 3:22 reducing (1) 12:12 reduction (3) 6:3;7:10;30:10 reductions (1)	remaining (3) 10:15,18;18:12 remediate (2) 10:12,17 remediated (2) 10:5;18:14 remediation (2) 10:20;12:6 removal (2) 11:8;14:16 removed (1) 14:12 repeal (4) 23:10;24:16;26:21; 27:18 repealed (2) 23:20;25:1 repealing (1) 24:3 replace (1) 24:5 replaced (1)	responsible (4) 8:19,23;14:23;39:10 restoration (1) 6:23 restrictions (1) 30:7 result (3) 7:14;8:9,11 resulted (1) 14:11 resulting (1) 30:9 retain (2) 15:5;37:3 returned (1) 24:22 reuse (2) 10:1;12:5 review (3) 5:20;16:9;24:22 reviewing (1) 16:16	9:12;15:21 same (2) 4:3;8:5 Sampling (1) 14:8 scarce (1) 11:15 scheduled (1) 24:4 schools (1) 14:9 Scrap (22) 9:21,23;10:2,7,11,16, 19,21,23;11:5,7,9,14,18, 21,23;12:1,5,12;13:2,12, 18 Second (18) 4:21;5:20,22;10:1; 11:22;26:2,4;28:1,2; 29:12;31:18;33:15,17; 36:10;38:20;40:6,7;	simply (1) 30:2 site (2) 14:10,18 sites (14) 10:5,8,9,9,12,15,17,18, 20,23;11:18,21;12:7; 18:14 siting (1) 17:3 situation (5) 4:14,22;5:4;15:7;27:3 situations (1) 30:4 slow (1) 21:21 slower (1) 5:18 small (2) 10:19,22 smaller (1) 11:8
25:12 recovered (1) 8:18 recovering (1) 8:21 recovery (2) 8:15;9:7 Recyclable (1) 34:16 recycled (1) 13:8 recycling (2) 11:20;17:3 reduce (2) 6:22;7:18 reduced (1) 3:22 reducing (1) 12:12 reduction (3) 6:3;7:10;30:10 reductions (1) 8:11	remaining (3) 10:15,18;18:12 remediate (2) 10:12,17 remediated (2) 10:5;18:14 remediation (2) 10:20;12:6 removal (2) 11:8;14:16 removed (1) 14:12 repeal (4) 23:10;24:16;26:21; 27:18 repealed (2) 23:20;25:1 repealing (1) 24:3 replace (1) 24:5 replaced (1) 7:13	responsible (4) 8:19,23;14:23;39:10 restoration (1) 6:23 restrictions (1) 30:7 result (3) 7:14;8:9,11 resulted (1) 14:11 resulting (1) 30:9 retain (2) 15:5;37:3 returned (1) 24:22 reuse (2) 10:1;12:5 review (3) 5:20;16:9;24:22 reviewing (1)	9:12;15:21 same (2) 4:3;8:5 Sampling (1) 14:8 scarce (1) 11:15 scheduled (1) 24:4 schools (1) 14:9 Scrap (22) 9:21,23;10:2,7,11,16, 19,21,23;11:5,7,9,14,18, 21,23;12:1,5,12;13:2,12, 18 Second (18) 4:21;5:20,22;10:1; 11:22;26:2,4;28:1,2; 29:12;31:18;33:15,17; 36:10;38:20;40:6,7; 41:11	simply (1) 30:2 site (2) 14:10,18 sites (14) 10:5,8,9,9,12,15,17,18, 20,23;11:18,21;12:7; 18:14 siting (1) 17:3 situation (5) 4:14,22;5:4;15:7;27:3 situations (1) 30:4 slow (1) 21:21 slower (1) 5:18 small (2) 10:19,22 smaller (1) 11:8 smallest (1)
25:12 recovered (1) 8:18 recovering (1) 8:21 recovery (2) 8:15;9:7 Recyclable (1) 34:16 recycled (1) 13:8 recycling (2) 11:20;17:3 reduce (2) 6:22;7:18 reduced (1) 3:22 reducing (1) 12:12 reduction (3) 6:3;7:10;30:10 reductions (1) 8:11 Reference (1)	remaining (3) 10:15,18;18:12 remediate (2) 10:12,17 remediated (2) 10:5;18:14 remediation (2) 10:20;12:6 removal (2) 11:8;14:16 removed (1) 14:12 repeal (4) 23:10;24:16;26:21; 27:18 repealed (2) 23:20;25:1 repealing (1) 24:3 replace (1) 24:5 replaced (1) 7:13 report (5)	responsible (4) 8:19,23;14:23;39:10 restoration (1) 6:23 restrictions (1) 30:7 result (3) 7:14;8:9,11 resulted (1) 14:11 resulting (1) 30:9 retain (2) 15:5;37:3 returned (1) 24:22 reuse (2) 10:1;12:5 review (3) 5:20;16:9;24:22 reviewing (1) 16:16 Review's (1) 23:8	9:12;15:21 same (2) 4:3;8:5 Sampling (1) 14:8 scarce (1) 11:15 scheduled (1) 24:4 schools (1) 14:9 Scrap (22) 9:21,23;10:2,7,11,16, 19,21,23;11:5,7,9,14,18, 21,23;12:1,5,12;13:2,12, 18 Second (18) 4:21;5:20,22;10:1; 11:22;26:2,4;28:1,2; 29:12;31:18;33:15,17; 36:10;38:20;40:6,7; 41:11 secretary (2)	simply (1) 30:2 site (2) 14:10,18 sites (14) 10:5,8,9,9,12,15,17,18, 20,23;11:18,21;12:7; 18:14 siting (1) 17:3 situation (5) 4:14,22;5:4;15:7;27:3 situations (1) 30:4 slow (1) 21:21 slower (1) 5:18 small (2) 10:19,22 smaller (1) 11:8 smallest (1) 10:9
25:12 recovered (1) 8:18 recovering (1) 8:21 recovery (2) 8:15;9:7 Recyclable (1) 34:16 recycled (1) 13:8 recycling (2) 11:20;17:3 reduce (2) 6:22;7:18 reduced (1) 3:22 reducing (1) 12:12 reduction (3) 6:3;7:10;30:10 reductions (1) 8:11 Reference (1) 25:3	remaining (3) 10:15,18;18:12 remediate (2) 10:12,17 remediated (2) 10:5;18:14 remediation (2) 10:20;12:6 removal (2) 11:8;14:16 removed (1) 14:12 repeal (4) 23:10;24:16;26:21; 27:18 repealed (2) 23:20;25:1 repealing (1) 24:3 replace (1) 24:5 replaced (1) 7:13 report (5) 3:8;4:12;6:7;13:22;	responsible (4) 8:19,23;14:23;39:10 restoration (1) 6:23 restrictions (1) 30:7 result (3) 7:14;8:9,11 resulted (1) 14:11 resulting (1) 30:9 retain (2) 15:5;37:3 returned (1) 24:22 reuse (2) 10:1;12:5 review (3) 5:20;16:9;24:22 reviewing (1) 16:16 Review's (1) 23:8 revise (1)	9:12;15:21 same (2) 4:3;8:5 Sampling (1) 14:8 scarce (1) 11:15 scheduled (1) 24:4 schools (1) 14:9 Scrap (22) 9:21,23;10:2,7,11,16, 19,21,23;11:5,7,9,14,18, 21,23;12:1,5,12;13:2,12, 18 Second (18) 4:21;5:20,22;10:1; 11:22;26:2,4;28:1,2; 29:12;31:18;33:15,17; 36:10;38:20;40:6,7; 41:11 secretary (2) 22:20,21	simply (1) 30:2 site (2) 14:10,18 sites (14) 10:5,8,9,9,12,15,17,18, 20,23;11:18,21;12:7; 18:14 siting (1) 17:3 situation (5) 4:14,22;5:4;15:7;27:3 situations (1) 30:4 slow (1) 21:21 slower (1) 5:18 small (2) 10:19,22 smaller (1) 11:8 smallest (1) 10:9 soil (2)
25:12 recovered (1) 8:18 recovering (1) 8:21 recovery (2) 8:15;9:7 Recyclable (1) 34:16 recycled (1) 13:8 recycling (2) 11:20;17:3 reduce (2) 6:22;7:18 reduced (1) 3:22 reducing (1) 12:12 reduction (3) 6:3;7:10;30:10 reductions (1) 8:11 Reference (1)	remaining (3) 10:15,18;18:12 remediate (2) 10:12,17 remediated (2) 10:5;18:14 remediation (2) 10:20;12:6 removal (2) 11:8;14:16 removed (1) 14:12 repeal (4) 23:10;24:16;26:21; 27:18 repealed (2) 23:20;25:1 repealing (1) 24:3 replace (1) 24:5 replaced (1) 7:13 report (5) 3:8;4:12;6:7;13:22; 18:22	responsible (4) 8:19,23;14:23;39:10 restoration (1) 6:23 restrictions (1) 30:7 result (3) 7:14;8:9,11 resulted (1) 14:11 resulting (1) 30:9 retain (2) 15:5;37:3 returned (1) 24:22 reuse (2) 10:1;12:5 review (3) 5:20;16:9;24:22 reviewing (1) 16:16 Review's (1) 23:8 revise (1) 37:5	9:12;15:21 same (2) 4:3;8:5 Sampling (1) 14:8 scarce (1) 11:15 scheduled (1) 24:4 schools (1) 14:9 Scrap (22) 9:21,23;10:2,7,11,16, 19,21,23;11:5,7,9,14,18, 21,23;12:1,5,12;13:2,12, 18 Second (18) 4:21;5:20,22;10:1; 11:22;26:2,4;28:1,2; 29:12;31:18;33:15,17; 36:10;38:20;40:6,7; 41:11 secretary (2) 22:20,21 sector (4)	simply (1) 30:2 site (2) 14:10,18 sites (14) 10:5,8,9,9,12,15,17,18, 20,23;11:18,21;12:7; 18:14 siting (1) 17:3 situation (5) 4:14,22;5:4;15:7;27:3 situations (1) 30:4 slow (1) 21:21 slower (1) 5:18 small (2) 10:19,22 smaller (1) 11:8 smallest (1) 10:9 soil (2) 14:7,11
25:12 recovered (1) 8:18 recovering (1) 8:21 recovery (2) 8:15;9:7 Recyclable (1) 34:16 recycled (1) 13:8 recycling (2) 11:20;17:3 reduce (2) 6:22;7:18 reduced (1) 3:22 reducing (1) 12:12 reduction (3) 6:3;7:10;30:10 reductions (1) 8:11 Reference (1) 25:3 refiled (1) 25:11	remaining (3) 10:15,18;18:12 remediate (2) 10:12,17 remediated (2) 10:5;18:14 remediation (2) 10:20;12:6 removal (2) 11:8;14:16 removed (1) 14:12 repeal (4) 23:10;24:16;26:21; 27:18 repealed (2) 23:20;25:1 repealing (1) 24:3 replace (1) 24:5 replaced (1) 7:13 report (5) 3:8;4:12;6:7;13:22;	responsible (4) 8:19,23;14:23;39:10 restoration (1) 6:23 restrictions (1) 30:7 result (3) 7:14;8:9,11 resulted (1) 14:11 resulting (1) 30:9 retain (2) 15:5;37:3 returned (1) 24:22 reuse (2) 10:1;12:5 review (3) 5:20;16:9;24:22 reviewing (1) 16:16 Review's (1) 23:8 revise (1) 37:5 Revision (2)	9:12;15:21 same (2) 4:3;8:5 Sampling (1) 14:8 scarce (1) 11:15 scheduled (1) 24:4 schools (1) 14:9 Scrap (22) 9:21,23;10:2,7,11,16, 19,21,23;11:5,7,9,14,18, 21,23;12:1,5,12;13:2,12, 18 Second (18) 4:21;5:20,22;10:1; 11:22;26:2,4;28:1,2; 29:12;31:18;33:15,17; 36:10;38:20;40:6,7; 41:11 secretary (2) 22:20,21 sector (4) 10:6;12:9,23;13:1	simply (1) 30:2 site (2) 14:10,18 sites (14) 10:5,8,9,9,12,15,17,18, 20,23;11:18,21;12:7; 18:14 siting (1) 17:3 situation (5) 4:14,22;5:4;15:7;27:3 situations (1) 30:4 slow (1) 21:21 slower (1) 5:18 small (2) 10:19,22 smaller (1) 11:8 smallest (1) 10:9 soil (2)
25:12 recovered (1) 8:18 recovering (1) 8:21 recovery (2) 8:15;9:7 Recyclable (1) 34:16 recycled (1) 13:8 recycling (2) 11:20;17:3 reduce (2) 6:22;7:18 reduced (1) 3:22 reducing (1) 12:12 reduction (3) 6:3;7:10;30:10 reductions (1) 8:11 Reference (1) 25:3 refiled (1)	remaining (3) 10:15,18;18:12 remediate (2) 10:12,17 remediated (2) 10:5;18:14 remediation (2) 10:20;12:6 removal (2) 11:8;14:16 removed (1) 14:12 repeal (4) 23:10;24:16;26:21; 27:18 repealed (2) 23:20;25:1 repealing (1) 24:3 replace (1) 24:5 replaced (1) 7:13 report (5) 3:8;4:12;6:7;13:22; 18:22 REPORTER (1)	responsible (4) 8:19,23;14:23;39:10 restoration (1) 6:23 restrictions (1) 30:7 result (3) 7:14;8:9,11 resulted (1) 14:11 resulting (1) 30:9 retain (2) 15:5;37:3 returned (1) 24:22 reuse (2) 10:1;12:5 review (3) 5:20;16:9;24:22 reviewing (1) 16:16 Review's (1) 23:8 revise (1) 37:5	9:12;15:21 same (2) 4:3;8:5 Sampling (1) 14:8 scarce (1) 11:15 scheduled (1) 24:4 schools (1) 14:9 Scrap (22) 9:21,23;10:2,7,11,16, 19,21,23;11:5,7,9,14,18, 21,23;12:1,5,12;13:2,12, 18 Second (18) 4:21;5:20,22;10:1; 11:22;26:2,4;28:1,2; 29:12;31:18;33:15,17; 36:10;38:20;40:6,7; 41:11 secretary (2) 22:20,21 sector (4)	simply (1) 30:2 site (2) 14:10,18 sites (14) 10:5,8,9,9,12,15,17,18, 20,23;11:18,21;12:7; 18:14 siting (1) 17:3 situation (5) 4:14,22;5:4;15:7;27:3 situations (1) 30:4 slow (1) 21:21 slower (1) 5:18 small (2) 10:19,22 smaller (1) 11:8 smallest (1) 10:9 soil (2) 14:7,11 sole (1)
25:12 recovered (1) 8:18 recovering (1) 8:21 recovery (2) 8:15;9:7 Recyclable (1) 34:16 recycled (1) 13:8 recycling (2) 11:20;17:3 reduce (2) 6:22;7:18 reduced (1) 3:22 reducing (1) 12:12 reduction (3) 6:3;7:10;30:10 reductions (1) 8:11 Reference (1) 25:3 refiled (1) 25:11 reflected (1)	remaining (3) 10:15,18;18:12 remediate (2) 10:12,17 remediated (2) 10:5;18:14 remediation (2) 10:20;12:6 removal (2) 11:8;14:16 removed (1) 14:12 repeal (4) 23:10;24:16;26:21; 27:18 repealed (2) 23:20;25:1 repealing (1) 24:3 replace (1) 24:5 replaced (1) 7:13 report (5) 3:8;4:12;6:7;13:22; 18:22 REPORTER (1) 3:5	responsible (4) 8:19,23;14:23;39:10 restoration (1) 6:23 restrictions (1) 30:7 result (3) 7:14;8:9,11 resulted (1) 14:11 resulting (1) 30:9 retain (2) 15:5;37:3 returned (1) 24:22 reuse (2) 10:1;12:5 review (3) 5:20;16:9;24:22 reviewing (1) 16:16 Review's (1) 23:8 revise (1) 37:5 Revision (2) 32:15;35:12	9:12;15:21 same (2) 4:3;8:5 Sampling (1) 14:8 scarce (1) 11:15 scheduled (1) 24:4 schools (1) 14:9 Scrap (22) 9:21,23;10:2,7,11,16, 19,21,23;11:5,7,9,14,18, 21,23;12:1,5,12;13:2,12, 18 Second (18) 4:21;5:20,22;10:1; 11:22;26:2,4;28:1,2; 29:12;31:18;33:15,17; 36:10;38:20;40:6,7; 41:11 secretary (2) 22:20,21 sector (4) 10:6;12:9,23;13:1 seeking (2)	simply (1) 30:2 site (2) 14:10,18 sites (14) 10:5,8,9,9,12,15,17,18, 20,23;11:18,21;12:7; 18:14 siting (1) 17:3 situation (5) 4:14,22;5:4;15:7;27:3 situations (1) 30:4 slow (1) 21:21 slower (1) 5:18 small (2) 10:19,22 smaller (1) 11:8 smallest (1) 10:9 soil (2) 14:7,11 sole (1) 15:6

				February 24, 201
16,21;35:4,13;36:4 somehow (1)	structural (1)	thanking (1)	37:17	27:10
• •	13:14	20:3		─ virtually (1)
7:13	struggle (1)	Thanks (1)	U	9:1
sometime (1)	3:21	21:23		volumes (1)
21:11	study (1)	Therefore (1)	under (3)	11:8
somewhat (2)	17:1	24:20	14:14;18:3;30:17	vote (2)
9:14;12:3	stuff (1)	third (1)	undergoing (1)	25:8,9
sound (1)	41:1	3:11	5:19	2010,5
4:5	subject (2)	thousands (1)	Underground (5)	\mathbf{W}
Source (1)	34:23;35:14	17:13	17:8,10,14,17;18:6	
5:14	submitted (2)	three (4)	undertake (2)	Walter (4)
special (3)	16:16,18	10:14;21:12;37:18;	12:11,21	
20:19;27:1,8	submitting (1)	39:4		14:10,14,18,20
specialized (1)	16:17	three-fourths (1)	undertaken (1)	Warrior (2)
5:1	substances (1)	18:1	14:13	39:7,11
specific (1)	14:6		undertaking (1)	Waste (12)
30:17		thus (1)	13:4	16:11;17:5;34:5,11,
	substantial (1)	7:6	unintended (1)	21;35:5,13;36:5,17,23;
spill (1)	8:9	timely (1)	25:13	37:9,21
8:21	substantive (1)	15:20	United (1)	Wastes (1)
spills (1)	37:15	times (4)	24:10	34:16
8:22	success (1)	4:7;5:3;9:17;30:1	universal (1)	water (6)
spring (1)	9:16	tip (1)	15:15	19:2,12,20;28:13,18,
17:6	successful (2)	19:19	universities (1)	20
stand (2)	9:19;18:11	Tire (17)	16:15	way (1)
19:23;22:16	suggested (1)	9:21,23;10:7,16,19;	University (1)	25:5
stands (1)	7:10	11:1,5,7,14,18,21,23;	16:19	
7:16	supercede (1)	12:14;13:2,8,12,19	unknown (1)	ways (1)
state (18)	27:5	tires (9)		29:8
3:19;5:11;7:7;8:12;	Superfund (1)	10:2,10,11,21;11:9,20;	5:22	week (1)
9:12;10:16;11:4,23;	14:16			22:6
16:15;17:2,14;18:23;	supervision (1)	12:1,5,12	5:6	weeks (1)
19:3,18;20:21;21:10;	14:14	today (2)	unsafe (1)	39:4
		22:23;35:23	14:6	Welcome (1)
24:17;38:5	supply (2)	today's (1)	up (9)	3:11
statement (1)	12:13;13:15	4:12	9:23;10:13,15,19,23;	what's (1)
31:5	support (1)	toll (1)	18:3;22:8,13;27:3	21:2
states (3)	15:15	29:9	upcoming (1)	whereby (1)
19:5,11;24:10	supported (1)	tolled (1)	4:2	11:6
statewide (2)	9:20	29:4	update (3)	wholesale (1)
16:11;17:4	sure (1)	Tom (2)	4:21;9:15;17:7	17:17
stay (2)	20:20	23:16;25:19	use (3)	withdrawal (1)
29:5,11	surface (4)	took (1)	11:15;13:10,11	39:21
stayed (1)	29:13,15,18;30:18	26:19	uses (1)	within (2)
24:13	surrounding (1)	top (3)	13:13	34:3,15
step (3)	18:15	6:2;19:12,13	UST (2)	
12:11,22;13:5	System (1)	total (1)		without (3)
steps (1)	39:19	10:5	17:22,23	9:10;22:19,23
16:20	33.13		utilizing (2)	wonderful (1)
Steve (1)	T	transporting (1)	10:6,23	20:9
32:10	1	12:11	¥.7	work (5)
still (3)	4-11 (1)	Trust (2)	V	8:1;18:12;19:21;22:3,
• •	talks (1)	17:11,18		21
9:4;18:3,8	7:20	turn (1)	varies (1)	working (3)
Stimulating (1)	TAMBLING (1)	3:4	10:13	13:6,9;22:13
13:15	40:23	turned (1)	ventures (1)	worse (1)
Storage (5)	Tank (4)	8:13	13:2	4:1
17:8,11,14,18;18:6	17:8,11,18;18:7	two (4)	version (1)	worst (1)
Storm (1)	tanks (2)	5:6;21:4;24:12;29:8	35:22	6:9
39:18	17:14;18:6	two-year (1)	versus (2)	worth (1)
Stormwater (1)	technical (4)	16:5	39:6,10	27:9
28:17	34:19;35:3,7;37:14	types (1)	viable (1)	writing (1)
streamlining (2)	ten (2)	13:16	12:10	27:15
4:19;9:6	21:17;26:23	typographic (1)	Victoria (1)	l .
strides (1)	terms (1)	35:19	1:23	written (3)
		1 20.47	1.43	31:3;35:17;38:2
5:3	20:14	typographical (1)	view (1)	

Commission Meeting				February 24, 2012
	_			
Y				
yards (1) 14:9 year (9) 3:13,16;4:1,2;7:4; 17:19;18:9;20:22;21:17 years (4) 10:4;15:8;21:4;26:23 you-all (1) 3:3				
3.3		į.		
			1	
			,	
:				
į				
			,	
	i			

Attachment Index

Attachment 1	Agenda
Attachment 2	Resolution to accept the amendments to ADEM Admin. Code Div. 3, Air Pollution Control Program Regulations, with the amendments suggested by the Joint Legislative Committee on Administrative Regulation Review (Agenda Item 4)
Attachment 3	Resolution to adopt amendments to ADEM Admin. Code Div. 3, Air Pollution Control Program Regulations (Agenda Item 5)
Attachment 4	Resolution to adopt amendments to ADEM Admin. Code Div. 6, Water Quality Program Regulations (NPDES-Related Matter) (Agenda Item 6)
Attachment 5	Resolution to adopt amendments to ADEM Admin. Code Div. 8, Coastal Area Management Program Regulations (Agenda Item 7)
Attachment 6	Resolution to adopt amendments to add a new Chapter 14 to ADEM Admin. Code Div. 13, Solid Waste Program Regulations (Agenda Item 8)
Attachment 7	Resolution to adopt amendments to ADEM Admin. Code Div. 14, Hazardous Waste Program Regulations (Agenda Item 9)
Attachment 8	Order to adopt Order/Recommendation of the Hearing Officer (Agenda Item 10)

Attachment 1

AGENDA* MEETING OF THE

ALABAMA ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT COMMISSION

DATE: February 24, 2012 TIME: 11:00 a.m.

LOCATION: Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM) Building
Alabama Room (Main Conference Room)
1400 Coliseum Boulevard

Montgomery, Alabama 36110-2400

	<u>ITEM</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
1.	Consideration of minutes of meeting held on December 9, 2011**	3
2.	Report from the Director	3
3.	Report from the Commission Chair	3
4.	Consideration of the Joint Legislative Committee on Administrative Regulation Review's Proposed Amendments to the AEMC Rulemaking to Repeal the State Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR) Regulations in ADEM Admin. Code Chapter 335-3	3
5.	Consideration of adoption of proposed amendments to ADEM Admin. Code Division 335-3, Air Pollution Control Program Regulations	3
6.	Consideration of adoption of proposed amendments to ADEM Admin. Code Division 335-6, Water Quality Program Regulations (NPDES-Related Matter)	3
7.	Consideration of adoption of proposed amendments to ADEM Admin. Code Division 335-8, Coastal Area Management Program Regulations	4
8.	Consideration of adoption of proposed new Chapter 14, Composting Facility Permitting Regulations within ADEM Admin. Code Division 335-13, Solid Waste Program Regulations	4
9.	Consideration of adoption of proposed amendments to ADEM Admin. Code Division 335-14, Hazardous Waste Program Regulations	4
10.	Calhoun County, et al. v. ADEM, and Black Warrior Riverkeeper, Inc., et al., Intervenors, EMC Docket No. 11-05 (NPDES-Related Matter); consolidated with Business Alliance for Responsible Development v. ADEM, and Black Warrior Riverkeeper, Inc., et al., Intervenors, EMC Docket No. 11-06 (NPDES-Related Matter)	5

	<u>ITEM</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
11.	Other business	5
12.	Future business session	5

^{*} The Agenda for this meeting will be available on the ADEM website, www.adem.alabama.gov, under Environmental Management Commission.

^{**} The Minutes for this meeting will be available on the ADEM website under Environmental Management Commission.

- 1. CONSIDERATION OF MINUTES OF MEETING HELD ON DECEMBER 9, 2011
- 2. REPORT FROM THE DIRECTOR
- 3. REPORT FROM THE COMMISSION CHAIR
- 4. CONSIDERATION OF THE JOINT LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ON ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATION REVIEW'S PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE AEMC RULEMAKING TO REPEAL THE STATE CLEAN AIR INTERSTATE RULE (CAIR) REGULATIONS IN ADEM ADMIN. CODE CHAPTER 335-3

On January 13, 2012, the Joint Legislative Committee on Administrative Regulation Review disapproved and proposed amendments to the rulemaking adopted by the Commission on December 9, 2011, to repeal the state Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR) regulations in ADEM Admin. Code Chapter 335.3. The Committee's proposed amendments clarify that the repeal of the subject regulations "shall take effect upon certification by the Alabama Department of Environmental Management to the Legislative Reference Service that the corresponding federal Clean Air Interstate Rules (CAIR) are no longer in effect." The Commission will consider the Legislative Committee's proposed amendments and will vote to accept or reject them.

5. CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTION OF PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO ADEM ADMIN. CODE DIVISION 335-3, AIR POLLUTION CONTROL PROGRAM REGULATIONS

The Commission will consider the adoption of proposed amendments to ADEM Admin. Code Division 335-3, Air Pollution Control Program Regulations, Chapter 335-3-20. The proposed revisions to Chapter 335-3-20 would sunset the special gasoline quality requirement in Jefferson and Shelby Counties. Federal standards for quality of gasoline have largely superseded Alabama's standards. The Department held a public hearing on the proposed amendments on December 7, 2011.

6. <u>CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTION OF PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO ADEM ADMIN CODE DIVISION 335-6, WATER QUALITY PROGRAM REGULATIONS (NPDES-RELATED MATTER)</u>

The Commission will consider the adoption of proposed amendments to ADEM Admin. Code Division 335-6, Water Quality Program Regulations, Chapters 335-6-6-.02 and 335-6-6-.05. The proposed revisions would toll the automatic, 18-month expiration of an NPDES permit by any administrative request for hearing in addition to an administrative or judicial stay. In addition, the proposed revision would modify the automatic, 18-month expiration of an NPDES permit for a surface coal mine, such that the permit will expire 18 months from the issuance of the permit if construction has not begun, unless the Permittee has not begun construction pending the issuance of a permit by the Alabama Surface Mining Commission (ASMC), in which case, the NPDES permit will expire 18 months from the issuance of the ASMC permit. The Department held a public hearing on the proposed amendments on February 3, 2012.

7. CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTION OF PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO ADEM ADMIN. CODE DIVISION 335-8, COASTAL PROGRAM REGULATIONS

The Commission will consider the adoption of proposed amendments to ADEM Admin. Code Division 335-8, Coastal Area Management Program Regulations. The Department proposes to modify these rules to be consistent with the existing statutory and regulatory timelines of Section 307 of the Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA) and 15 Code of Federal Regulations (C.F.R.) part 930. The Department held a public hearing on the proposed amendments on January 4, 2012.

8. CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTION OF PROPOSED NEW CHAPTER 14, COMPOSTING FACILITY PERMITTING REGULATIONS WITHIN ADEM ADMIN. CODE DIVISION 335-13, SOLID WASTE PROGRAM REGULATIONS

The Commission will consider the adoption of a proposed new Chapter 14 within ADEM Admin. Code Division 335-13, Solid Waste Program titled Chapter 335-13-14, Composting Facility Permitting Regulations, pursuant to amendments to the Solid Wastes and Recyclable Materials Management Act enacted in 2008. The Department proposes to establish technical and operational requirements for facilities engaged in the composting of solid waste. Chief among the proposed requirements for subject facilities would be the requirements to obtain permits from the Department and to implement certain control measures necessary to prevent the mitigation of solid waste into the environment. The Department held a public hearing on the proposed new chapter on December 7, 2011.

9. CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTION OF PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO ADEM ADMIN. CODE DIVISION 335-14, HAZARDOUS WASTE PROGRAM REGULATIONS

The Commission will consider the adoption of proposed amendments to ADEM Admin. Code Division 335-14, Hazardous Waste Program Regulations, Chapters 335-14-1 to 335-14-8, and 335-14-17. The proposed revisions would adopt federal hazardous waste regulation additions/modifications that occurred from July 2010 to June 2011 so the Department's hazardous waste program is equivalent to the federal program. The Department held a public hearing on the proposed amendments on December 5, 2011.

10. CALHOUN COUNTY, ET AL. V. ADEM, AND BLACK WARRIOR RIVERKEEPER, INC., ET AL., INTERVENORS, EMC DOCKET NO. 11-05 (NPDES-RELATED MATTER); CONSOLIDATED WITH BUSINESS ALLIANCE FOR RESPONSIBLE DEVELOPMENT V. ADEM, AND BLACK WARRIOR RIVERKEEPER, INC., ET AL., INVERVENORS, EMC DOCKET NO. 11-06 (NPDES-RELATED MATTER)

The Commission will consider the Order/Recommendation of the Hearing Officer in this consolidated appeal concerning National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) General Permit No. ALR040000 (Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) General Permit, Phase II), issued on January 31, 2011. The Commission will also notice the withdrawal of the Intervenors' Intervention.

- 11. <u>OTHER BUSINESS</u>
- 12. <u>FUTURE BUSINESS SESSION</u>

Attachment 2

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT COMMISSION RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, on December 9, 2011, the Alabama Environmental Management Commission (EMC) adopted rulemaking to repeal from Alabama's air regulations certain rules (as identified in Attachment "A") that had been adopted to implement federal requirements known as the Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR); and

WHEREAS, on December 9, 2011, the EMC also adopted rulemaking to renumber two pre-existing rules, 335-3-8-.14 and 335-3-8-.15, as rules 335-3-8-.05 and 335-3-8-.06, respectfully, upon the repeal of the state CAIR rules; and

WHEREAS, the EMC repealed the identified rules upon notice from EPA that EPA was promulgating a new rule, the Cross State Air Pollution Rule (CSAPR), to replace the CAIR rule; and

WHEREAS, on December 30, 2011, prior to the effective date of the repeal of the state CAIR rules, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia entered an Order staying EPA's new CSAPR rule and directing EPA to continue to implement the CAIR rule [EME Homer City Generation, L.P. v. Environmental Protection Agency, (D.C. Circuit, Case No. 11-1302)(12/30/2011)]; and

WHEREAS, on January 13, 2012, pursuant to <u>Ala. Code</u> §41-22-23(c), the Joint Committee on Administrative Regulation Review (JCARR) disapproved the December 9, 2011, rulemaking and returned it to the agency with the following suggested amendment to each rule: "The repeal of this rule shall take effect upon certification by the Alabama Department of Environmental Management to the Legislative Reference Service that the corresponding federal Clean Air Interstate Rules (CAIR) are no longer in effect;" and

WHEREAS, on January 13, 2012, pursuant to Ala. Code §41-22-23(c), the Joint Committee on Administrative Regulation Review (JCARR) disapproved the renumbering of Rule 335-3-8-.14 as Rule 335-3-8-.05, and returned it to the agency with the following suggested amendment: "The adoption of this rule as Rule 335-3-8-.05 shall take effect upon certification by the Alabama Department of Environmental Management to the Legislative Reference Service that the corresponding federal Clean Air Interstate Rules (CAIR) are no longer in effect;" and

WHEREAS, on January 13, 2012, pursuant to Ala. Code §41-22-23(c), the Joint Committee on Administrative Regulation Review (JCARR) disapproved the renumbering of Rule 335-3-8-.15 as Rule 335-3-8-.06, and returned it to the agency with the following suggested amendment: "The adoption of this rule as Rule 335-3-8-.06 shall take effect upon certification by the Alabama Department of Environmental Management to the Legislative Reference Service that the corresponding federal Clean Air Interstate Rules (CAIR) are no longer in effect;" and

WHEREAS, pursuant to <u>Ala. Code</u> §41-22-23(c), in the event the agency accepts the rule as amended by JCARR, the agency may re-submit the rule as amended; and

WHEREAS, the Commission has considered the JCARR's suggested amendments and has determined they should be accepted.

NOW THEREFORE, pursuant to <u>Ala. Code</u> §§ 22-22A-5, 22-22A-6, 22-22A-8, and 41-22-23(c), as duly appointed members of the Commission, we do hereby accept the rules with the amendments suggested by JCARR as set forth in Attachment "A" and instruct the Agency Secretary to resubmit the rules as amended.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, we have affixed our signatures below on this 24th day of February, 2012.

APPROVED:	
Commissioner	Commissioner
Commissioner Commissioner	Commissioner
Commissioner	Commissioner
Commissioner D. Richardson	
DISAPPROVED:	
Commissioner	Commissioner
Commissioner	This is to certify that this Resolution is a true and accurate account of the actions taken by the Environmental Management Commission on this 24th day of February 2012. John H. Lester, Chair Environmental Management Commission Certified this 24th day of February 2012

EXHIBIT "A"

The repeal of Rules 335-3-1-.14, 335-3-1-.16, 335-3-5-.06, 335-3-5-.07, 335-3-5-.08, 335-3-5-.11, 335-3-5-.12, 335-3-5-.13, 335-3-5-.14, 335-3-8-.05, 335-3-8-.06, 335-3-8-.07, 335-3-8-.08, 335-3-8-.09, 335-3-8-.10, 335-3-8-.11, 335-3-8-.12, 335-3-8-.13, 335-3-8-.14, 335-3-8-.15, 335-3-8-.16, 335-3-8-.17, 335-3-8-.18, 335-3-8-.20, 335-3-8-.21, 335-3-8-.22, 335-3-8-.23, 335-3-8-.24, 335-3-8-.25, 335-3-8-.26, 335-3-8-.27, 335-3-8-.29, 335-3-8-.30, 335-3-8-.31, 335-3-8-.32, and 335-3-8-.33, certified to the Legislative Reference Service on December 12, 2011, is disapproved with the following suggested amendment to each rule: "The repeal of this rule shall take effect upon certification by the Alabama Department of Environmental Management to the Legislative Reference Service that the corresponding federal Clean Air Interstate Rules (CAIR) are no longer in effect."

The adoption of new Rule 335-3-8-.05, certified to the Legislative Reference Service on December 12, 2011, is disapproved with the following suggested amendment: "The adoption of this rule as Rule 335-3-8.05 shall take effect upon certification by the Alabama Department of Environmental Management to the Legislative Reference Service that the federal Clean Air Interstate Rules (CAIR) are no longer in effect."

The adoption of new Rule 335-3-8-.06, certified to the Legislative Reference Service on December 12, 2011, is disapproved with the following suggested amendment: "The adoption of this rule as Rule 335-3-8.06 shall take effect upon certification by the Alabama Department of Environmental Management to the Legislative Reference Service that the federal Clean Air Interstate Rules (CAIR) are no longer in effect."



STATE OF ALABAMA

Jerry L. Bassett Secretary

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Alabama State House, Suite 613 11 South Union Street Montgomery, Alabama 36130-3550 Phone 334 242-7560 FAX 334 242-4358

January 31, 2012

Mr. Thomas Johnston, General Counsel Alabama Department of Environmental Management P. O. Box 301463 Montgomery, Alabama 36130-1463

Dear Mr. Johnston:

The committee considered the following rules of the Alabama Environmental Management Commission at a meeting of the Joint Committee on Administrative Regulation Review held on Tuesday, January 13, 2012:

Rules 335-3-1-.14, 335-3-1-.16, 335-3-5-.06, 335-3-5-.07, 335-3-5-.08, 335-3-5-.11, 335-3-5-.12, 335-3-5-.13, 335-3-5-.14, 335-3-8-.05, 335-3-8-.06, 335-3-8-.07, 335-3-8-.08, 335-3-8-.09, 335-3-8-.10, 335-3-8-.11, 335-3-8-.12, 335-3-8-.13, 335-3-8-.14, 335-3-8-.15, 335-3-8-.16, 335-3-8-.17, 335-3-8-.18, 335-3-8-.20, 335-3-8-.21, 335-3-8-.22, 335-3-8-.23, 335-3-8-.24, 335-3-8-.25, 335-3-8-.26, 335-3-8-.27, 335-3-8-.29, 335-3-8-.30, 335-3-8-.31, 335-3-8-.32, and 335-3-8-.33, the repeals of which were certified to the Legislative Reference Service by the Department of Environmental Management on December 12, 2011, and new Rules 335-3-8-.05 and 335-3-8-.06, the adoptions of which were certified to the Legislative Reference Service by the Department of Environmental Management on December 12, 2011.

The committee disapproved the repeal of Rules 335-3-1-.14, 335-3-1-.16, 335-3-5-.06, 335-3-5-.07, 335-3-5-.08, 335-3-5-.11, 335-3-5-.12, 335-3-5-.13, 333-5-.14, 335-3-8-.05, 335-3-8-.06, 335-3-8-.07, 335-3-8-.08, 335-3-8-.09, 335-3-8-.10, 335-3-8-.11, 335-3-8-.12, 335-3-8-.13, 335-3-8-.14, 335-3-8-.15, 335-3-8-.16, 335-3-8-.17, 335-3-8-.18, 335-3-8-.20, 335-3-8-.21, 335-3-8-.22, 335-3-8-.23, 335-3-8-.24, 335-3-8-.25, 335-3-8-.26, 335-3-8-.27, 335-3-8-.29, 335-3-8-.30, 335-3-8-.31, 335-3-8-.32, and 335-3-8-.33 with the following suggested amendment to each rule: The repeal of this rule shall take effect upon certification by the Alabama Department of Environmental Management to the Legislative Reference Service that the corresponding federal Clean Air Interstate Rules (CAIR) are no longer in effect.

The committee disapproved the adoption of new Rule 335-3-8-.05, with the following suggested amendment: The adoption of this rule as Rule 335-3-8-.05 shall take effect upon certification by the Alabama Department of Environmental Management to the Legislative Reference Service that the federal Clean Air Interstate Rules (CAIR) are no longer in effect.

The committee disapproved the adoption of new Rule 335-3-8-.06, with the following suggested amendment: The adoption of this rule as Rule 335-3-8-.06 shall take effect upon certification by the Alabama Department of Environmental Management to the Legislative Reference Service that the federal Clean Air Interstate Rules (CAIR) are no longer in effect.

Very truly yours,

Jerry L. Bassett

Secretary

JLB/cj

Attachment 3

WHEREAS, the Alabama Department of Environmental Management gave notice of a public hearing on the proposed revisions to ADEM Admin. Code 335-3 of the Department's Air Division – Air Pollution Control Program Rules in accordance with <u>Ala. Code</u> § 22-22A-8 (2006 Rplc. Vol.) and <u>Ala. Code</u> § 41-22-4 (2000 Rplc. Vol.); and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held before a representative of the Alabama Department of Environmental Management designated by the Environmental Management Commission for the purpose of receiving data, views and arguments on the amendment of such proposed rules; and

WHEREAS, the Alabama Department of Environmental Management did not receive any written or oral comments at the public hearing or during the public comment period.

NOW THEREFORE, pursuant to <u>Ala. Code</u>. §§ 22-22A-5, 22-22A-6, 22-22A-8 (2006 Rplc. Vol.), and <u>Ala. Code</u>. § 41-22-5 (2000 Rplc. Vol.), as duly appointed members of the Environmental Management Commission, we do hereby adopt and promulgate these revisions to division 335-3 [rule 335-3-20/Control of Fuels (Repeal] of the Department's Air Division – Air Pollution Control Program rules, administrative code attached hereto, to become effective thirty-five days, unless otherwise indicated, after filing with the Alabama Legislative Reference Service.

ADEM Admin. Code division 335-3 - Air Pollution Control Program

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, we have affixed our signatures below on this $24\underline{th}$ day of February 2012.

APPROVED:	
Janus & Sen	
W. Swaftung	
John Lih	
Lung D. Richardson	
DISAPPROVED:	
	This is to certify that this Resolution is a true and accurate account of the actions taken by the Environmental Management Commission on this 24th day of February 2012.
ABSTAINED:	Join H. Lester, Chair Environmental Management Commission Certified this 24th day of February 2012

Attachment 4

WHEREAS, the Alabama Department of Environmental Management gave notice of a public hearing on the proposed revisions to ADEM Admin. Code 335-6 of the Department's Water Division's Water Quality Program Rules and Regulations in accordance with Ala. Code § 22-22A-8 (2006 Rplc. Vol.) and Ala. Code § 41-22-4 (2000 Rplc. Vol.); and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held before a representative of the Alabama Department of Environmental Management designated by the Environmental Management Commission for the purpose of receiving data, views and arguments on the amendment of such proposed rules; and

WHEREAS, the Alabama Department of Environmental Management has reviewed the oral and written submissions introduced into the hearing record, and has prepared a concise statement of the principal reasons for and against the adoption of the proposed rules incorporating therein its reasons for the adoption of certain revisions to the proposed rules in response to oral and written submissions, such revisions, where appropriate, having been incorporated into the proposed rules attached hereto; and

WHEREAS, the Environmental Management Commission has considered fully all oral and written submissions respecting the proposed amendments and the Reconciliation Statement prepared by the Alabama Department of Environmental Management.

NOW THEREFORE, pursuant to Ala. Code §§ 22-22A-5, 22-22A-6, 22-22A-8 (2006 Rplc. Vol.), and Ala. Code § 41-22-5 (2000 Rplc. Vol.), as duly appointed members of the Environmental Management Commission, we do hereby adopt and promulgate these revisions to rules [335-6-6.02/Definitions (Amend); 335-6-6-.05/Duration of Permits (Amend)] of the administrative code attached hereto, to become effective thirty-five days, unless otherwise indicated, after filing with the Alabama Legislative Reference Service.

ADEM Admin. Code division 335-6- Water Quality Program Regulations

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, we have affixed our signatures below on this 24th day of February, 2012.

APPROVED: Mulis Stein W. Slott Tullip	·
DISAPPROVED:	
ABSTAINED:	This is to certify that this Resolution is a true and accurate account of the actions taken by the Environmental Management Commission on this 24th day of February 2012. John H. Lester, Chair Environmental Management Commission Certified this 24th day of February 2012

Attachment 5

WHEREAS, the Alabama Department of Environmental Management gave notice of a public hearing on the proposed revisions to ADEM Admin. Code 335-8 of the Department's Coastal Program Rules in accordance with <u>Ala. Code</u> § 22-22A-8 (2006 Rplc. Vol.) and <u>Ala. Code</u> § 41-22-4 (2000 Rplc. Vol.); and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held before a representative of the Alabama Department of Environmental Management designated by the Environmental Management Commission for the purpose of receiving data, views and arguments on the amendment of such proposed rules; and

WHEREAS, the Alabama Department of Environmental Management did not receive any written or oral comments at the public hearing or during the public comment period.

NOW THEREFORE, pursuant to <u>Ala. Code</u>. §§ 22-22A-5, 22-22A-6, 22-22A-8 (2006 Rplc. Vol.), and <u>Ala. Code</u>. § 41-22-5 (2000 Rplc. Vol.), as duly appointed members of the Environmental Management Commission, we do hereby adopt and promulgate these revisions to division 335-8 [rules 335-8-1-.09/ Review Process for Federally Regulated Activities (Amend) and 335-8-1-.10/Review Process for Federal Projects, Activities and Assistance (Amend)] of the Department's Coastal Program rules, administrative code attached hereto, to become effective thirty-five days, unless otherwise indicated, after filing with the Alabama Legislative Reference Service.

ADEM Admin. Code division 335-8 - Coastal Program

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, we have affixed our signatures below on this 24th day of February 2012.

APPROVED:	
M. Swa Thuis	(erry D. Richardson)
DISAPPROVED:	
	This is to certify that this Resolution is a true and accurate
ABSTAINED:	account of the actions taken by the Environmental Management Commission on this 24th day of February 2012 John H. Lester, Chair Environmental Management Commission Certified this 24th day of February 2012

Attachment 6

WHEREAS, the Alabama Department of Environmental Management gave notice of a public hearing on the proposed revisions to ADEM Admin. Code 335-13 of the Department's Land Division – Solid Waste Program Rules in accordance with <u>Ala. Code</u> § 22-22A-8 (2006 Rplc. Vol.) and <u>Ala. Code</u> § 41-22-4 (2000 Rplc. Vol.); and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held before a representative of the Alabama Department of Environmental Management designated by the Environmental Management Commission for the purpose of receiving data, views and arguments on the amendment of such proposed rules; and

WHEREAS, the Alabama Department of Environmental Management did not receive any written or oral comments at the public hearing or during the public comment period.

NOW THEREFORE, pursuant to <u>Ala. Code</u>. §§ 22-22A-5, 22-22A-6, 22-22A-8 (2006 Rplc. Vol.), and <u>Ala. Code</u>. § 41-22-5 (2000 Rplc. Vol.), as duly appointed members of the Environmental Management Commission, we do hereby adopt and promulgate these revisions to add to division 335-13 [rule 335-13-14/Composting Facilities (New)] of the Department's Land Division – Solid Waste Program rules, administrative code attached hereto, to become effective thirty-five days, unless otherwise indicated, after filing with the Alabama Legislative Reference Service.

ADEM Admin. Code division 335-13 – Solid Waste Program

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, we have affixed our signatures below on this $24\underline{th}$ day of February 2012.

APPROVED: N. Stoa Turn. Al Sertin	Jerry D. Richardson
DISAPPROVED:	
ABSTAINED:	This is to certify that this Resolution is a true and accurate account of the actions taken by the Environmental Management Commission on this 24th day of February 2012. John H. Lester, Chair
	Environmental Management Commission Certified this 24th day of February 2012

Attachment 7

WHEREAS, the Alabama Department of Environmental Management gave notice of a public hearing on the proposed revisions to ADEM Admin. Code 335-14 of the Department's Hazardous Waste Program Rules and Regulations in accordance with <u>Code of Alabama</u> 1975, §§ 22-22A-8 and 41-22-4; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held before a representative of the Alabama Department of Environmental Management designated by the Environmental Management Commission for the purpose of receiving data, views and arguments on the amendment of such proposed rules; and

WHEREAS, the Alabama Department of Environmental Management did not receive any written or oral comments at the public hearing or during the public comment period.

NOW THEREFORE, pursuant to Ala. Code. §§ 22-22A-5, 22-22A-6, 22-22A-8 (2006 Rplc. Vol.), and Ala. Code. § 41-22-5 (2000 Rplc. Vol.), as duly appointed members of the Environmental Management Commission, we do hereby adopt and promulgate these revisions to division 335-14 [Amendments to 335-14-1-.02/Definitions and References (Amend); 335-14-2-.01/General (Amend); 335-14-2-.04/Lists of Hazardous Waste (Amend); 335-14-2-Appendix VIII/Hazardous Constituents (Amend); 335-14-2-Appendix IX/Wastes Excluded Under 335-14-1-.03(2) (Amend); 335-14-3-.01/General (Amend); 335-14-3-.03/Pre-Transport Requirements (Amend); 335-14-3-.08/Special Requirements for Generators of Waste Destined for Disposal at Commercial Hazardous Waste Disposal Facilities Located in the State of Alabama (Amend); 335-14-3-.12/Alternative requirements for Hazardous Waste Determination and Accumulation of

Unwanted Material for Laboratories Owned by Eligible Academic Entities (Amend); 335-14-4-.01/General (Amend); 335-14-5-.01/General; 335-14-5-.02/General Facility Standards (Amend); 335-14-5-.04/Contingency Plan and Emergency Procedures (Amend); 335-14-5-.05/Manifest System, Recordkeeping and Reporting (Amend); 335-14-5-.06/Releases from Solid Waste Management Units (Amend): 335-14-5-.23/Drip Pads (Amend): 335-14-6-.02/General Facility Standards (Amend); 335-14-6-.05/Manifest System, Recordkeeping and Reporting (Amend); 335-14-6-.07/Closure and Post Closure (Amend); 335-14-6-.08/Financial Requirements (Amend); 335-14-6-.11/Surface Impoundments (Amend); 335-14-6-.14/Landfills (Amend); 335-14-6-.15/Incinerators (Amend); 335-14-6-.16/Thermal Treatment (Amend); 335-14-6-.23/Drip Pads (Amend); 335-14-6-.31/Hazardous Waste Munitions and Explosive Storage (Amend); 335-14-6-Appendix I/Recordkeeping Instructions (Amend); 335-14-8-.01/General Information (Amend); 335-14-8-.02/Permit Application-Treatment, Storage and Disposal Facilities (Amend); 335-14-8-.03/Permit Conditions-Treatment, Storage and Disposal Facilities (Amend); 335-14-8-.04/Changes to Permits-Treatment, Storage and Disposal Facilities (Amend); 335-14-8-.06/Special Forms of Permits-Treatment, Storage and Disposal Facilities (Amend); 335-14-8-.08/Procedures for Decision-making-Treatment, Storage and Disposal Facility Permits (Amend); 335-14-8-.09/Permit Application-Transporters (Amend); 335-14-8-.10/Permit Conditions-Transporters (Amend); 335-14-8-.15/Integration with Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT) Standards (Amend); 335-14-17-.02/Applicabilty (Amend); 335-14-17-.03/Standards for Used Oil Generators (Amend); 335-14-17-.05/Standards for Used Oil Transporter and Transfer

Facilities (Amend); 335-14-17-.06/Standards for Used Oil Processors and Refiners (Amend); 335-14-17-.07/Standards for Used Oil Burners Who Burn Off-Specification Used Oil for Energy Recovery (Amend); 335-14-17-.09/Standards for Disposal of Used Oil (Amend)] of the Department's Land Division – Hazardous Waste Program rules, administrative code attached hereto, to become effective thirty-five days after filing, unless otherwise indicated, with the Alabama Legislative Reference Service.

ADEM Admin. Code division 335-14 – Hazardous Waste Program

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, we have affixed our signatures below on this $\underline{24th}$ day of February 2012.

APPROVED:	
James & Sem	ary D. Richardson
N. Swa Fuir	
John Leity	
Am Mr	
DISAPPROVED:	
	This is to certify that this Resolution is a true and accurate account of the actions taken by the Environmental Management Commission on this 24th day of February 2012
ABSTAINED:	John H. Lester, Chair Environmental Management Commission Certified this 24th day of February 2012

Attachment 8

BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT COMMISSION OF THE ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

CALHOUN COUNTY, et al.)
Petitioners,)
V.) EMC DOCKET NO. 11-05
ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT, Respondent,)))
BLACK WARRIOR RIVERKEEPER, INC., et al., Intervenors,) CONSOLIDATED WITH
BUSINESS ALLIANCE FOR RESPONSIBLE DEVELOPMENT, Petitioner,)))))))))) () () () () ()
v.) EMC DOCKET NO. 11-06
ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT, Respondent,)))
BLACK WARRIOR RIVERKEEPER, INC., et al., Intervenors.))

ORDER

This cause having come before the Environmental Management Commission pursuant to the Order/Recommendation of the Hearing Officer concerning National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) General Permit No. ALR040000 (Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) General Permit, Phase II); the Joint Motion to Modify Permit; the Order of the Hearing Officer concerning Intervenors' Conditional Motion for Withdrawal; and Intervenors' Conditional Motion for Withdrawal for the above-referenced consolidated appeals and having considered the same, the Commission hereby ORDERS, ADJUDGES, and DECREES as follows:

1. That the Order/Recommendation of the Hearing Officer concerning National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) General Permit No. ALR040000 (Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) General Permit, Phase II) is hereby adopted; and

- 2. That pursuant to the adoption of the Order/Recommendation of the Hearing Officer concerning National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) General Permit No. ALR040000 (Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) General Permit, Phase II), the Joint Motion to Modify Permit is hereby granted; and
- 3. That notice is taken of the Intervenors' withdrawal of their Intervention; and
- 4. That this action has been taken and this Order shall be deemed rendered effective as of the date shown below; and
- 5. That a copy of this Order, along with copies of the Order/Recommendation of the Hearing Officer and the Joint Motion to Modify Permit attached hereto and made a part hereof, shall be forthwith served upon each of the parties hereto either personally, or by certified mail, return receipt requested.

ISSUED this 24th day of February 2012.

APPROVED:	
Janes Ellen	Verry D. Richardson
Commissioner Slott Lulyn	Commissioner
Commissioner Lenn	Commissioner
Commissioner	Commissioner
Commissioner	
DISAPPROVED:	
Commissioner	Commissioner
Commissioner	This is to certify that this Order is a true and accurate account of the actions taken by the Environmental Management Commission on this 24th day of February 2012. John M. Lester, Chair
	Environmental Management Commission Qertified this 24th day of February 2012

BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT COMMISSION OF THE



CALHOUN COUNTY, et al.,	
Petitioners,	
v.)	EMC DOCKET NO. 11-05
THE ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT,)))
Respondent,))
BLACK WARRIOR RIVERKEEPER, INC., et al.,))
Intervenors.))
BUSINESS ALLIANCE FOR RESPONSIBLE DEVELOPMENT,	CONSOLIDATED WITH)
Petitioner,))
v.	EMC DOCKET NO. 11-06
THE ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT,)))
Respondent,))
BLACK WARRIOR RIVERKEEPER, INC., et al.,))
Intervenors.))

ORDER

Before the Hearing Officer is the Joint Motion to Modify the above Permit on Consolidated Appeals filed with the Hearing Officer by the remaining parties, the Intervenor Black Warrior Riverkeeper et al having withdrawn their Intervention. In consideration of this Joint Motion, and these Intervenors' withdrawal, the Hearing Officer Recommends mends the Commission grant the remaining parities' Joint Motion, in the manner attached thereto as Exhibit A, while taking notice of these Intervenor's withdrawal of their Intervention.

Done this 16th day of **Febru**, 2012.

James J. Hamptor

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, James L. Wright, hereby certify that I have served a copy of ADEM's "Proposed Order" by sending a copy of the same to each of the following in the below stated manner:

U.S. MAIL and E-MAIL

Honorable James F. Hampton

Administrative Law Judge

4265 Lomac Street

Montgomery, AL 36106

(334) 213-0213/Office (334) 213-0266/Fax

jfh@jamesfhampton.com

Charlie D. Waldrep
Leslie M. Klasing
Waldrep, Stewart & Kendrick, LLC
2323 2nd Ave. North
Birmingham, AL 35203
(205) 254-3216/Office (205) 324-3802/Fax
waldrep@wskllc.com
klasing@wskllc.com

Rob P. Fowler
Joel I. Gilbert
Thomas G. DeLawrence
Balch & Bingham LLP
1901 Sixth Avenue North
Birmingham, AL 35203
(205) 251-8100/Office (205) 226-8798/Fax
rfowler@balch.com
jgilbert@balch.com
tdelawrence@balch.com

James E. Turnbach
Turnbach, Warren, Roberts & Lloyd, PC
P.O. Box 129
Gadsden, AL 35902-0129
(256) 543-3664/Office (256) 543-3674/Fax
jturnbach@twrlpc.com

Gilbert Rogers
The Southern Environmental Law Center
127 Peachtree Street, Ste. 605
Atlanta, GA 30303-1800
(404) 521-9900/Office
grogers@selcga.org

HAND DELIVERY

Debi Thomas

Alabama Environmental Management Commission 1400 Coliseum Boulevard Montgomery, AL 36110-2059

DONE this day of February, 2012.

BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT COMMISSION OF THE

ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

CALHOUN COUNTY, ET AL.,)	
Petitioner,)	
v.)	DOCKET NO. 11-05
THE ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT,)	
Respondent,)	
	,	Consolidated With
BUSINESS ALLIANCE FOR RESPONSIBLE DEVELOPMENT,)	
Petitioner,)	
v.)	DOCKET NO. 11-06
THE ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT,)))	
Respondent,	,))	
	 ′	

JOINT MOTION TO MODIFY PERMIT

Pursuant to ADEM Admin. Code r. 335-2-1-.21(3) and as a settlement of this matter, the Petitioners, the Respondent, and the Intervenors hereby move this Hearing Officer to recommend to the Environmental Management Commission the modifications of National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System General Permit No. ALR040000 attached hereto as Exhibit A.

Respectfully submitted this the day of February, 2012.

One of the Attorneys for the Business Alliance for Responsible Development

OF COUNSEL:

Rob P. Fowler Joel I. Gilbert Thomas G. DeLawrence Balch & Bingham, LLP 1901 Sixth Avenue North Birmingham, AL 35203 Phone: (205) 251-8100 One of the Attorneys for Calhoun

County, Tuscaloosa County, City of Atalla, City of Fultondale, City of Graysville, City

of Hokes Bluff, City of Oxford

OF COUNSEL:

Charlie D. Waldrep Leslie M. Klasing Waldrep, Stewart & Kendrick, LLC 2323 2nd Avenue North Birmingham, AL 35203

Phone: (205) 254-3216 Fax: (205) 324-3802

One of the Attorneys for Rainbow City, Etowah County

OF COUNSEL:

James Turnbach Turnbach, Warren, Roberts & Lloyd, PC 200 Chestnut Street, Suite A P.O. Box 129 Gadsden, AL 35902-0129

Phone: (256) 543-3664 Fax: (256) 543-3674 One of the Attorneys for City of Tuscumbia

OF COUNSEL:

H. Thomas Heflin, Jr.

Law Offices of H. Thomas Heflin, Jr. LLC 105 West 2nd Street

Tuscumbia, AL 35674

Phone: (256) 383-3661 Fax: (256) 381-4612

One of the Attorneys for the Alabama

Department of Environmental

Management

OF COUNSEL:

James L. Wright

Schuyler K. Espy

Laura T. Eubank

P.O. Box 301463

Montgomery, AL 36130-1463

Phone: (334) 271-7855 Fax: (334) 394-4332 Exhibit A

- (iv) The plan to detect and address illicit discharges to your system, including discharges from illegal dumping and spills. The Permittee's plan must include, to the extent practicable, dry weather field screening for non-storm water flows and field tests of chemical parameters you selected as indicators of discharge sources. The plan must also address on-site sewage disposal systems that flow into the storm drainage system. The description must address the following, at a minimum:
 - Procedures for locating priority areas which includes areas with higher likelihood of illicit connections (e.g., areas with older sanitary sewer lines, for example) or ambient sampling to locate impacted reaches.
 - Procedures for tracing the source of an illicit discharge, including the specific techniques you will use to detect the location of the source.
 - Procedures for removing the source of the illicit discharge.
 - Procedures for program evaluation and assessment.
- (v) How the Permittee plans to inform the public employees, businesses, and the general public of hazards associated with illegal discharges and improper disposal of waste. Include in the description how this plan will coordinate with the public education minimum measure and the pollution prevention/good housekeeping minimum measure programs.
- (vi) Who is responsible for overall management and implementation of the illicit discharge detection and elimination program and, if different, who is responsible for each of the BMPs identified for this program.
- (vii) How the Permittee will evaluate the success of this minimum measure, including how the Permittee selected the measurable goal for each minimum measure.

4. Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control

- (a) Within 730 days from the effective date of coverage under this permit, all Permittees must develop, implement, and enforce a program to reduce, to the maximum extent practicable, pollutants in any storm water runoff to the regulated MS4 from construction activities that result in a total land disturbance of greater than or equal to one acre and activities that disturb less than one acre but are part of a larger common plan of development or sale that would disturb one acre or more (hereinafter—qualifying construction sites—).
- (b) The SWMP must include the following components for construction site storm water runoff control:
 - (i) To the extent allowable under State law, an ordinance or other regulatory mechanism to require crosion and sediment controls, sanctions to ensure compliance, and to provide all other authorities needed to implement the requirements of Part III.B.4. of this permit.
 - (ii) A training program for MS4 site inspection staff in the identification of appropriate construction best management practices (example: QCI training in accordance with ADEM Admin Code. r. 335-6-12 or the Alabama Construction Site General Permit);
 - (iii) Procedures for the periodic inspection of qualifying construction sites to verify the use of appropriate erosion and sediment control practices that are consistent with the <u>Alabama Handbook for Erosion Control, Sediment Control, And Stormwater Management on Construction Sites and Urban Areas</u> published by the Alabama Soil and Water Conservation Committee (hereinafter the "Alabama Handbook"). The frequency and prioritization of inspection activities shall be documented in the SWMP and must include a minimum inspection frequency of once each month for priority construction sites.

- (ii) Plan to ensure compliance with the erosion and sediment control regulatory mechanism, including the sanctions and enforcement mechanisms the Permittee will use to ensure compliance. Describe the procedures for when the Permittee will use certain sanctions. Possible sanctions include nonmonetary penalties (such a stop work orders), fines, bonding requirements, and/or permit denials for non-compliance.
- (iii) The requirements for construction site operators to implement appropriate erosion and sediment control BMPs and control waste at construction sites that may cause adverse impacts to water quality. Such waste includes discarded building materials, concrete truck washouts, chemicals, litter, and sanitary waste.
- (iv) The procedures for plan review, including the review of pre-construction site plans, which incorporate consideration of potential water quality impacts. For construction projects that discharge the pollutant or pollutants of concern to a water body that is listed on the State of Alabama's 303(d) list or has an EPA approved or EPA developed TMDL, you must follow the requirements of Part IV.D. of this permit.
- (v) The procedures for receipt and consideration of information submitted by the public. Consider coordinating this requirement with the public education program.
- (vi) The procedures for site inspection and enforcement of control measures, including how the Permittee will prioritize sites for inspection.
- (vii) Who is responsible for overall management and implementation of the Permittee's construction site storm water control program and, if different, who is responsible of each of the BMPs identified for this program.
- (viii) Describe how the Permittee will evaluate the success of this minimum measure, including how the Permittee selected the measurable goals for each of the BMPs.
- 5. Post-Construction Storm water management in new Development and Redevelopment Post-Construction Stormwater Management refers to the activities that take place after construction occurs, and includes structural and non-structural controls to obtain permanent stormwater management over the life of the property's use. All Permittees must implement the requirements of Part III.B.5. within 730 days from the effective date of coverage.
 - (a) The Permittee shall develop and implement project review, approval, and enforcement procedures for qualifying-new development and redevelopment projects that disturb greater than one acre, and projects less than one acre that are part of a larger common plan of development or sale. Further requirements for project review and approval are as follows:
 - Develop procedures for the site-plan review and approval process and a required re-approval process when changes to post-construction controls are required.
 - (ii) Develop procedures for a post-construction process to demonstrate and document that post-construction stormwater measures have been installed per design specifications, which includes enforceable procedures for bringing noncompliant projects into compliance.
 - (b) The Permittee must develop and implement strategies which include a combination of structural and/or non-structural BMPs designed to ensure, to the maximum extent practicable, that the volume and velocity of pre-construction stormwater runoff is not significantly exceeded. A design rainfall event with an intensity up to that of a 2yr-24hr storm event shall be the basis for the design and implementation of post-construction BMPs.

- Illicit Connection means any man-made conveyance connecting an illicit discharge directly to municipal separate storm sewer.
- 8. Illicit Discharge is defined at 40 CFR Part 122.26(b)(2) and refers to any discharge to a municipal separate storm sewer that is not entirely composed of storm water, except discharges authorized under an NPDES permit (other than the NPDES permit for discharges from the MS4) and discharges resulting from fire fighting activities.
- 9. Indian Country, as defined in 18 USC 1151, means (a) all land within the limits of any Indian reservation under the jurisdiction of the United States Government, notwithstanding the issuance of any patent, and including rights-of-way running through the reservation; (b) all dependent Indian communities within the borders of the United States whether within the original or subsequently acquired territory thereof, and whether within or without the limits of a State, and (c) all Indian allotments, the Indian titles to which have not been extinguished, including rights-of-way running through the same. This definition includes all land held in trust for an Indian tribe.
- 10. MEP is an acronym for "Maximum Extent Practicable," the technology-based discharge standard for municipal separate storm sewer systems to reduce pollutants in storm water discharges that was established by CWA Section 402(p). A discussion of MEP as it applies to small MS4s is found at 40 CFR Part 122.34.
- 11. MS4 is an aeronym for "Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System" and is used to refer to either a large, medium, or small municipal separate storm sewer system. The term is used to refer to either the system operated by a single entity or a group of systems within an area that are operated by multiple entities.
- 12. Municipal Separate Storm System is defined at 40 CFR Part 122.26(b)(8) and means a conveyance or system of conveyances (including roads with drainage systems, municipal streets, catch basins, curbs, gutters, ditches, man-made channels, or storm drains): (i) Owned or operated by a State, city, town, borough, county, parish, district, association, or other public body (created by or pursuant to State law) having jurisdiction over disposal of sewage, industrial wastes, storm water, or other wastes, including special districts under State law such as a sewer district, flood control district or drainage district, or similar entity, or a designated and approved management agency under section 208 of the CWA that discharges to waters of the United States; (ii) Designed or used for collecting or conveying storm water; (iii) Which is not a combined sewer; and (iv) Which is not part of a Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW) as defined in ADEM Admin, Code r. 335-6-6-02(nn).
- 13. NOI is an acronym for "Notice of Intent" to be covered by this permit and is the mechanism used to "register" for coverage under a general permit.
- 14. Department means the Alabama Department of Environmental Management or an authorized representative.
- 15. Priority construction site means any qualifying construction site in an area where the MS4 discharges to a waterbody which is listed on the most recently approved 303(d) list of impaired waters for turbidity, siltation, or sedimentation, any waterbody for which a TMDL has been finalized or approved by EPA for turbidity, siltation, or sedimentation, any waterbody assigned the Outstanding Alabama Water use classification in accordance with ADEM Admin. Code r. 335-6-10-.09, and any waterbody assigned a special designation in accordance with 335-6-10-.10.
- 16. Qualifying Construction Site means any construction activity that results in a total land disturbance of one or more acres and activities that disturb less than one acre but are part of a larger common plan of development or sale that would disturb one or more acres. Qualifying construction sites do not include land disturbances conducted by entities under the jurisdiction and supervision of the Alabama Public Service Commission.
- 35-17. Qualifying New Development and Redevelopment means any site after 730 days from the effective date of permit coverage that results from the disturbance of one acre of more of land or the disturbance of less than one acre of land if part of a larger common plan of development or sale that is greater than one acre. Qualifying new development and

- redevelopment does not include land disturbances conducted by entities under the jurisdiction and supervision of the Alabama Public Service Commission.
- Small municipal separate storm sewer system is defined at 40 CFR Part 122.26(b)(16) and refers to all separate storm sewers that are owned or operated by the United States, a State, city, town, borough, county, parish, district, association, or other public body (created by or pursuant to State law) having jurisdiction over disposal of sewage, industrial wastes, storm water or other wastes, including special districts under State law such as a sewer district, flood control district or drainage district, or similar entity, or an Indian tribe or an authorized Indian tribal organization, or a designated and approved management agency under section 208 of the CWA that discharges to water of the United States, but is not defined as "large" or "medium" municipal separate storm sewer system. This term includes systems similar to separate storm sewer systems in municipalities, such as systems at military bases, large hospital or prison complexes, and highways and other thoroughfares. The term does not include separate storm sewers in very discrete areas, such as individual buildings.
- 12-19. Storm water is defined at 40 CFR Part 122.26(b) (13) and means storm water runoff, snow melt runoff, and surface runoff and drainage.
- 44.20. Storm Water Management Program (SWMP) refers to a comprehensive program to manage the quality of storm water discharged from the municipal separate storm sewer system.
- 49.21. SWMP is an acronym for "Storm Water Management Program."
- 20-22. Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) means the calculated maximum permissible pollutant loading to a waterbody at which water quality standards can be maintained. The sum of wasteload allocations (WLAs) and load allocations (LAs) for any given pollutant.
- 24-23. "You" and "Your" as used in this permit is intended to refer to the Permittee, the operator, or the discharger as the context indicates and that party's responsibilities (e.g., the city, the country, the flood control district, the U.S. Air Force, etc.).

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, James L. Wright, hereby certify that I have served a copy of the foregoing "Joint Motion to Modify Permit" by sending a copy of the same to each of the following in the below stated manner:

U.S. MAIL and E-MAIL

James F. Hampton
Administrative Law Judge
4265 Lomac Street
Montgomery, AL 36106
(334) 213-0213/Office (334) 213-0266/Fax
jfh@jamesfhampton.com

Charlie D. Waldrep
Leslie M. Klasing
Waldrep, Stewart & Kendrick, LLC
2323 2nd Ave. North
Birmingham, AL 35203
(205) 254-3216/Office (205) 324-3802/Fax
waldrep@wskllc.com
klasing@wskllc.com

James E. Turnbach Turnbach, Warren, Roberts & Lloyd, PC P.O. Box 129 Gadsden, AL 35902-0129 (256) 543-3664/Office (256) 543-3674/Fax

Rob P. Fowler
Joel I. Gilbert
Thomas G. Delawrence
Balch & Bingham LLP
Birmingham, AL 35203
(205) 251-8100/Office (205) 226-8798/Fax
rfowler@balch.com
jgilbert@balch.com
tdelawrence@balch.com

Gilbert Rogers
The Southern Environmental Law Center
127 Peachtree Street, Ste. 605
Atlanta, GA 30303-1800
(404) 521-9900/Office

grogers@selcga.org

HAND DELIVERY

Debi Thomas
Alabama Environmental Management Commission
1400 Coliseum Boulevard
Montgomery, AL 36110-2059

DONE this

day of

۸.

James L. Wi